

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

Ruchi Garg^{1*}, Ms. Babita Prusty²

ABSTRACT

Juvenile crime is a burning issue all over the world. The cases of juvenile offenders are increasing day by day this has made law and psychologist to critically look at the leading causes of these crimes. This paper discusses the role of psychological and social factors that influence juvenile delinquency. 35 pieces of literature have been reviewed which includes research papers, abstracts, articles, and reviews. It also focuses on how some studies contradict regarding the issue of juvenile crimes. Lastly, the review of the researches and abstracts, are explored and summarized here.

Keywords: *Juvenile, Psychosocial, Predictors*

A standout amongst the most vital issues in crime today is **juvenile offenses**. Till nineteenth century, youngsters who carried out crimes confronted about the same discipline as and grown-up hoodlums like open disgracing, imprisonment and even execution by hanging. Towards end of nineteenth century, reformers, for example, Jane Addams of Chicago attempted to create another arrangement of adolescent equity intended to secure the ill-used youth from mischief and change inconvenience making youth. According to the detail accessible, there are 10.2 adolescent guilty parties for every 1 need populace on the planet. In India the rate of recorded adolescent criminal acts are around 0.9 to 1% of aggregate criminal acts. Juvenile Delinquency is one of the smoldering issues everywhere throughout the world.

Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015

According to Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 Juvenile in discord with law of 16- 18 age group, involved in flagitious offence, can be tested as adults. The Act came into effect from 15 January 2016.

The act received its update after the 2012 Delhi gang rape where the accused was couple of months from being 18 years old. So, he was attempted in juvenile court.

¹ MA, Clinical Psychology, Amity Institute of Behavioural Health and Allied Sciences, Uttar Pradesh, Noida, India

² Associate Professor, Clinical Psychology, Amity Institute of Behavioural Health and Allied Sciences, Uttar Pradesh, Noida, India

**Responding Author*

Received: September 29, 2017; Revision Received: October 23, 2017; Accepted: November 22, 2017

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

Definitions

1. **Juvenile:** Definition of juvenile according to Juvenile Justice Act 2000 is a person who has been found to have committed offense. Another definition given was "juvenile" or "child" means a person who has not completed eighteenth year of age.
2. **Juvenile Offenders:** Juvenile offender by law is defined as a child or young person who has been found guilty of some offense, act of vandalism, or antisocial behavior before a juvenile court.
3. **Juvenile in Conflict with Law:** According to JJ Act 2000 "juvenile in conflict with law" means a juvenile who is alleged to have committed an offense.
4. **Delinquency:** Definition of delinquency in the book *Juvenile Delinquency in Diverse Society* is "Delinquency is an act committed by an individual under an age of 18 that violates the penal code of the region in which the act is committed."
5. **Status Offenses:** They are the demonstrations which are not considered unlawful acts however society does not need adolescents to do them. For these offenses grown-ups can't be called culprit. These incorporate school truancy, predictable rebellious conduct at home, fleeing from home. Adolescents taking part in status offenses ought not to go under delinquents prompting captures. At the same time in some cases when the conduct is methodical and dreary and tended to in home, school or in social administration organization then it may lead to arrest and are called endless status offenses.
6. **Anti-social Behaviour in Juveniles:** Reserved conduct in adolescents can be characterized as infringement of social damages and demonstrations of hurting others. It incorporates battling, taking, hitting others, harming property. To be considered as standoffish the conduct ought to be high in power and recurrence and ought to meddle in typical working of child.
7. **Destructive Behaviour Disorder:** At the point when a youngster is carrying on upsetting exercises, overlooking standards, prodding others or emitting in insubordination at being told "no"—the whole family feels the impact, one may feel powerless to control one's tyke's fretfulness or resentment, unsure how to react or at a misfortune in the matter of how to give back some feeling of security and commonality to the family environment.

Problematic conduct issue is a gathering of behavioral issues. They are called "disruptive" on the grounds that influenced kids truly disturb the individuals and exercises around them (counting at home, at school and with companions).

The most widely recognized sorts of problematic conduct issue are Oppositional Deficient Disorder (ODD) and conduct disorder.

Youngsters with oppositional Deficient disorder show an industrious example of irate upheavals, contentions and rebellion. While this conduct is normally controlled at power figures, in the same way as folks and educators, it can likewise target kin, cohorts and other kids.

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

Conduct disorder is a much more genuine condition that can include savagery to creatures and individuals, other savage practices and criminal movement.

COMMON FACTORS INFLUENCING JUVENILE BEHAVIOR

Juvenile offender is socially lacking modification from individual on diverse situations. The components which make up these circumstances (together with mental and physical conditions) impact a singular's ability to alter, constitute the reasons for crime. It has been seen that diverse set of reasons are included in every individual case. The accompanying layout includes the components which have been basically discovered to be a reason of adolescent offense:

Psychological Factors- These variables incorporate emotional instability, unrivaled knowledge, psychopathic constitution, introspection and ego centrism, vindictiveness, suggestibility, restraint and substitution.

Family- Family-level danger variables for adolescent misconduct that have been distinguished experimentally incorporate expansive family size, low family financial status, parental clash and partition, witnessing of high levels of family stress, experience of frequent residential mobility (Farrington et al 2007). Maternal smoking or medication utilization is likewise connected with expanded danger for later misconduct (Green et al 2009). Criminal conduct inside families is likewise solid indicator of adolescent offenses (Murrey & Farrington, 2005). Teen parenthood or standoffish folks likewise foresee criminal conclusions. (Aaron et al 2005). Flimsiness in parental conjugal status and mother's low training level are likewise connected with future criminal conclusions (Lipsey & Derzon, 1999). Kids who are presented to viciousness, including aggressive behavior at home, abuse, group violence and even media brutality builds danger of getting to be brutal wrongdoers (Becker & McClosky et al 2002) Physical damage victimized people additionally have higher likelihood of taking part in criminal exercises (Loeber, et al 2001). Poor family administration hones (Kashani, et al 1999) and poor child rearing aptitudes raise the possibility of criminal feelings among kids and adolescents (Farrington, 2006). Family holding and nurturing collaborations may serve as defensive elements for kids in impeded families (Barrett, 2007; Mccord, 199) (20)

Educational Risk Factor - Studies found that there is immediate relationship between absence of school engagement in training or professional exercises and genuine criminal culpable by youngsters. School is imperative, not just helping a youngster with scholarly abilities to attain joy and achievement, it likewise shows master social disposition and aptitudes, it aides create kinship and structure a feeling of having a place. These things help improvement of respect toward oneself. School keep youngster possessed for some hours.

Studies demonstrate that scholastic disappointment is a solid indicator of misconduct at the level of the individual student, as is truancy (attendance rate underneath 80%) and early school dropout (Browning & Huizinga, 1999). Other understudy level dangers incorporate

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

poor demeanor and successive school moves (Zhang et al). School atmosphere calculates that can prompt adolescent wrongdoing are high misconduct rates, inward city neighborhood area, lacking tenet requirement, and inadequately characterized school regulations and standards (Christle, et al 2005) (20)

Peer Risk Factors - Companions have stronger impact for danger on school age youngsters than adolescents. (Gorman, et al 2000). Peer relations fundamentally impact choice making procedure and behavioral examples among youths. Having reprobate associates or kin has been indicated as solid danger of hostile to social conduct. (Rodgers et al & Sullivan et al 2007). Peer dismissal likewise predicts adolescent offending. (Sullivan, 2006).

Group enrollment by definition includes social abnormality and misconduct, and these impacts with time get to be escalated and dense. Normally, these kids have powerless family binds and low holding to class. (Slope et al 1999). Posse participation additionally includes incredible future danger of association in genuine and fierce law violations.

Biological Risk Factors-There is by all accounts a connection in the middle of genes and offending. The connection is stronger forever course tireless sort of guilty party than the pre-adult onset wrongdoer.. The Dunedin Longitudinal Study has uncovered that a single person with low levels of the MAOA compound and a history of ill-use as a kid has a more serious danger of hostile to social or fierce conduct. Poor mental well-being is an issue found in both adolescent onset and life course tireless guilty parties. Numerous youngsters display mental well-being issues running from anxiety and depression to schizophrenia. While numerous mental well-being issues will basically exist together with culpable it, the facts must prove that it is causative of culpable now and again.

Mind volume has been found lessin youngsters with behavior issue. Cerebrum outputs of adolescents with behavior issue uncover mind structure contrasts that may be interfaced to their forceful and hostile to social practices.

The examination discovering uncovered that two mind locales insula and amygdala connected with compassion, feeling recognition and perceiving pain in others were fundamentally more modest in youngsters with reserved conduct. The cerebrum contrasts were obvious regardless of the period of onset for the issue, whether it surfaced amid adolescence or puberty. Essentially, the youngsters with the most noticeably awful conduct issues had the diminished insula volume.

Sub-atomic hereditary studies have demonstrated relationship between hereditary variations of qualities of the dopaminergic and serotonergic frameworks and behavior issue.

Socioeconomic Factors - The causal connection between low financial status and adolescent misconduct can be considered on the start that kids from such homes fail to offer a steady and satisfactory supply of essential conveniences, for example, nourishment, medicines, materials. The children additionally get to be casualty of tolerant good instruction, home

congestion, long and normal nonattendance of low paid working folks, accordingly giving the "abandoned youngsters" the slack for degenerate conduct.

TREATMENT

Positive Parenting Program (Triple P) -It is multi-level preventative arranged child rearing and family help method created by creators and associates at The University of Queensland in Brisbane, Australia. The system expects to avoid extreme behavioral, passionate and formative issues in kids by upgrading the information, abilities and certainty of folks. It has five levels of intercession on a layered continuum of expanding quality for folks of youngsters and young people from conception to age 16.

- 1. LEVEL 1** - In level 1 media based guardian data crusade is carried out. Likewise called Universal Triple P. The target populace is all folks intrigued by data about advancing their kid's improvement. Mediation strategy are Brief data is given on the best way to comprehend formative and minor behavioral issues. It may include self -regulated assets, broad communications methods and phone referral administrations.
- 2. LEVEL 2** -It is concise determination mediation. Likewise called Selected Triple P OR Selected Teen Triple P. The Target populace is folks with particular worry about kids conduct or advancement. The mediation routines are procurement of particular counsel to discrete tyke behavioral issue. It includes up close and personal cooperation with clinician contact or gathering association or phone or may act naturally coordinated.
- 3. LEVEL 3**- It is tight center child rearing preparing. Additionally called Primary Care Triple P or Primary Care Teen Triple P. The Target populace is folks with particular worry about youngster's conduct or improvement who oblige discussion or dynamic abilities preparing. The mediation strategy is short Therapy Program (1 to 4 center sessions) consolidating prompt, practice, and self-assessment to instruct folks to deal with a discrete child issue conduct. It includes up close and personal cooperation with clinician contact or gathering collaboration or phone or may act naturally guided.
- 4. LEVEL 4**- It is an expansive center child rearing preparing. It is likewise called Standard Triple P, Group Triple p, Group Teen Triple P and self-controlled Triple P. The target populace is Parents needing concentrated preparing in positive child rearing abilities - normally folks of adolescents with more extreme conduct issues. The mediation strategies is escalated project focusing on guardian -teen association and the application of child rearing aptitudes to expansive scope of target conduct. Incorporates speculation improvement systems.

Going Stones Triple P - It is a piece of level 4. The target populace is groups of pre-school youngsters with inabilities who have or are at danger of creating behavioral or passionate issue. The mediation system includes a parallel 10 session separately custom-made project with a concentrate on incapacity. Session commonly last 60-90 minutes.

- 5. Level 5** - Also called Enhanced Triple P, this level includes offering mediation to folks with crew brokenness, for example, parental discouragement or push or clash in the middle of accomplices and folks of kids with simultaneous conduct issues and family brokenness. Mediation strategies include serious exclusively custom-made projects, for

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

example, inclination administration methods, home visit to upgrade child rearing abilities, anxiety adapting aptitudes and accomplice supporting abilities. It includes up close and personal association with clinician contact or gathering collaboration or phone or may act naturally controlled. Pathways Triple P- It is some piece of Level 5. The target populace is folks at danger of abusing their kids. It targets outrage administration issues and different variables connected with misuse. Mediation incorporates outrage administration and attribution retraining.

Functional Family Therapy (FFT) - This is a transient behavioral intercession that includes 12-24 hours of specialist contact with family to lessen juvenile behavior issue by changing family's example of cooperation and correspondence. FFT is intended to enhance correspondence and correspondence between relatives. It incorporates a lot of people family based mediation that are some piece of guardian preparing projects like incredible years, adjusted for youths. There are right now few professionals prepared in FFT.

FFT comprises of five real parts. Each has its objectives, center and intercession strategies and methods Engagement- In this the adviser endeavor to exhibit an earnest yearning to help, tune in, admiration and "match" to the relatives in a manner that is delicate and conscious of individual, family and social convictions, viewpoints and qualities. The specialist's Center is on quick responsiveness to family needs and keeping up a quality based social centering. Exercises incorporate high accessibility, phone effort, .contact with whatever number relatives as could reasonably be expected, "matching" and a conscious disposition.

Motivation - The objective of this stage is to make a positive motivational setting by diminishing family antagonism, clash and accuse, expanding trust and building adjusted co operations with relatives. Specialist work to change the importance of family connections by underlining passing on acknowledgment and sensitivity to differences, keeping up a non-judge mental approach, and underscoring conceivable cheerful choices. Exercises incorporate interference of very negative example, indicating process, sequencing and re framing of the topics by approving negative effect of conduct while presenting conceivable respectable (however confused) thought processes of conduct.

Relational Assessment - The objective of this stage is to recognize the examples of association inside the family to comprehend the social "capacity". The center is regulated to additional family and intra-family connection and limits (e.g., attributions, values, cooperation examples, capacities, wellsprings of safety, asset and impediments). Specialist exercises include perception, addressing, derivations in regards to the capacities of negative practices and changing from the individual issue center to social point of view.

Behavior Change - The objective of this stage is to decrease or dispose of referral issues by enhancing family working and individual aptitude advancement. Aptitudes, for example, instructing, organizing, sorting out, and understanding behavioral evaluation are needed. Specialist concentrates on correspondence preparing, utilizing. specialized helps, doling out

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

assignments, and preparing in clash determination. Procedures and methods regularly incorporate confirmation based cognitive behavioral strategies for tending to family working and referral issues.

Generalization Phase- The essential objectives in this spot are to augment the upgrades made amid conduct change into numerous territories and arrangement for future difficulties. This regularly includes making arrangements for backslide aversion, expanding positive family working into new circumstances or frameworks, getting ready for backslide avoidance, and consolidating group frameworks and joining group frameworks into the treatment process.

Multi systemic therapy

This individualized intercession focuses on the greatest danger figures in a youngster's life (school, family, companions, neighborhood and association the youngster join with. It is group based, short term (five to six months) and escalated intercession. Experts are accessible by the head every minute of every day, have low caseloads(three to six) and give an escalated level of customer contact(multiple contacts for every week, in individual or by telephone), particularly right on time in the intercession process. Administration conveyance can be out of hours as indicated by the family requirements. Professionals are thoroughly regulated by MST prepared heads to guarantee that they stick to nine standards of MST, intercessions are objective centered, and are confirmation based. MST has been assessed in New Zealand and have been found to fit for diminishing culpable and other family and associate related danger elements.

The nine standards of MST are as per the following:

1. The main role of appraisal is to comprehend the "fit" between the distinguished issues and their more extensive systemic setting.
2. Helpful contacts stress the positive and use systemic qualities as levers for change.
3. Mediation is intended to advance capable conduct and diminishing flippant conduct among relatives.
4. Mediation is activity situated and present-centered, focusing on particular and decently characterized issues.
5. Mediation target groupings of conduct inside and between numerous frameworks that keep up the recognized issues'
6. Mediation is formative proper and fit the formative needs of the adolescent.
7. Mediation is intended to oblige every day or week by week exertion by relatives.
8. Mediation adequacy is assessed constantly from various viewpoints with suppliers accepting responsibility for overcoming boundaries to fruitful results,
9. Mediation is intended to advance treatment speculation and long haul upkeep of restorative change by enabling parental figures to address relative's requirements crosswise over numerous systemic settings.

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile in 2012 Delhi Rape case (Nirbhaya Case)

The adolescent had seemingly come from an exceptionally poor family which neither nourished him enough nor showed any love and affection. He had escaped from home however got into awful association. In the bus rape episode, apparently, he simply needed to demonstrate that he could do what the adults in his association did. (Leslee Udwin, 2015)

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A study was done by Bridges in 1927. The topic of study was *Factors Contributing To Juvenile Delinquency*. The article was published in Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology. The study included review of literature on juvenile offense and sample from School was collected. The study revealed that factors leading to juvenile offense include forcible and genial factors, place conditions, academic conditions, locality conditions and working environment.

A study was done by Omboto et al. in 2012. The topic of study was *Factors Influencing Youth Crime and Juvenile Delinquency*. The objective of study was to find out causes of youth crime and juvenile offenses in youth imprisoned in Youth Corrective Training Center in Kenya. The study involved 55 inmates selected by simple random sampling method from a sample of 120 inmates. The age was 18-21 years. Use of the questionnaires and personal interview was done. The study found out that poverty was one of the contributors of youth crime. Another major reason was drug dependency; cases of the violators whose violation were being in possession of bhang were recorded. Other reasons are dysfunctional home (some boys were orphan, had one parent, guardians were alcohol dependent before arrest) and low educational achievement (out of 55 prisoners 43 didn't went to school after grade six). Some other influences are large group size where the parents were not able to give consideration to their adolescent because of many siblings in family (five or six). One influences came out to be carry out crime out of adventure games and not related to necessity living.

Another study was done by Bacor et al in 2012. The subject of investigation was *Common Factors of Juvenile Delinquent acts*. The influences that were taken into account were family, academy, climate and other branches of government. The study investigated that among the influences forces or never forces youth to execute law breaking activity. The responses of two hundred twenty seven respondent's were examined through four qualitative described which were absolutely not, rarely, many times or consistently for the predictors of juvenile offenders. The participators were divided into four groups: guardians, faculty, disciple and police bureaucrats. Questionnaires made by investigators were the device used. The study announced that four group have distinguish responses. It can be considered that none of the factor forces youth to mold the law. The neighborhoods made to make youth misbehave many times. Also family and academy rarely cause youth to have clash with law. Further, police agents said that other branches of government are at no time responsible for forcing youth to execute ill legal actions.

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

A research was conducted by Haveripet. The subject of research was *Causes and Consequences of juvenile delinquency in India*. The aim of research was to find out reasons, after effect and *variation* of criminal acts by adolescent. Data had been chosen purposely and social survey method was taken to collect data. The study revealed that no specific reason is responsible for juvenile offenses, whereas there are many reasons which are lack of family control, conflict in family, situation of neighborhood, affect of movies, lack of basic needs and recreation through earning money.

A review of literature was done by Farrington in 1996. The topic of study was *Understanding and Preventing Youth Crime*. The study found out that major risk factors that lead to youth crime are low intelligence and low school attainment, low income and poor housing, a high degree of impulsiveness and hyperactivity, living in deteriorated inner city areas, poor parental supervision and harsh and erratic discipline and parental conflict and broken families.

A review of literature was done by Govt. of Canada in 2013. The aim of the study was to identify risk Factors that increase delinquent and anti-social behavior in children and youth. Risk factors were identified by view of articles both published and unpublished and sites (mostly gov). The risk factors which were identified were associated with individual, family, peer, school and neighborhood.

A study was done by Ngale in 2009. The topic of study was *socioeconomic status and juvenile delinquency*: Correctional Center Betamba, Center Province of Cameroon. The relationship between family structure and juvenile delinquency was explored in a purposive probability sample of 120 adolescents of the Betamba children's correctional center using a questionnaire. The results revealed that (1) Juvenile delinquents mostly come from homes in which parents are married (2) The moral education of juvenile delinquents is undertaken more by others than their biological parents; (3) Most delinquent children come from the lowest socio-economic stratum of society; (4) About two-thirds of juveniles come from home where 7 persons or above live in same roof; (5) Most parents of our respondents have low paid jobs which keep them for long periods away from their children.

A study was done by Veenstra et al in 2006. The topic of research was *Temperament, environment and antisocial behavior* in population sample of preadolescent boys and girls. The study aims at checking the risk-buffering interactions temperament, sex, perceived parenting and socioeconomic status in relation to anti-social behavior in Dutch population in sample of preadolescents. (N=2230). The strongest risk buffering interactions were found for SES which was only related to antisocial behavior among children with high level of frustration or low level of effortful control. Also the association of SES with antisocial behavior were higher for boys than girls. Thus, the effects of SES depend on both the temperament and sex of the child.

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

Family Risk Factors: A study was done by Fergusson & Lynskey in 1997 on a topic *Physical Punishment / maltreatment during childhood and adjustment in young adulthood*. The study found that during childhood exposure to abusive or harsh treatment lead to increase in risk of juvenile offending.

A study was done by Luthar in 2000 on a topic Resilience at an Early Age and Its Impact on Child Psycho social Development. It was published on the book name Resilience in development: A synthesis of Research Across Five Decades. The study found out that the environment influences the child's brain development and learned social behaviors. Problematic early experiences create a lens through which all subsequent relationships are viewed. These experiences inhibit the ability of child to learn from nurturing relationships which are encountered later.

A study was done by Smith and Stern in 1997. The topic of research was *The intricate relationship between family background and teen delinquency*. The study found out that Norms, values, models of behavior are poured by family into children and these factors create internalized 'blue print for the child's personality, believes and attitudes.

Another study was done by -Smith et.,all in1998. The topic of research was *Relation of Family Problems to patterns of delinquent involvement among urban youth*. The study was published in Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. It was found out that there is association between marital instability and delinquency. Discordant marital environment that includes stress, estrangement, unhealthy boundary and coldness produce disproportionately high incidence of delinquent behavior in children who grow up in these environments.

A study was done by Wallerstein & Kelly in 1996. The topic of study was *The effects of divorce on children and parents*. The study was publishes in book name surviving the breakup: How children and parents cope with divorce. The results of study suggested that discord and divorce in two parent households are much more disruptive than stable, loving one parent households

A study was done by Philips in 2007. The topic of study was *The Political Economy of School Violence in Trinidad*. The objective of study was to investigate the experiences of students in the Junior Secondary School system in TRINDAD, and to inquire into their perceptions/experiences of the root causes, consequences and outcomes of youth engagement in violence. A sample of three hundred and fifty eight was selected out of one thousand seven hundred and fifty students through simple random sampling. The study revealed that there is a poverty complex, involving parents flirting with illicit drugs, lower level of material resources, parental neglect, physical, verbal and sexual abuse of children in home leading to strong negative emotional response from children.

A study was done by Muola in 2009. The topic of study was *The Relationship between Family Functions and Juvenile Delinquency: A Case of Nakuru Municipality, Kenya*. The sample was 148 and included all 30 girls in the three homes and 118 boys selected using

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

the stratified random sampling technique from a population of 241. Two interview schedules and a questionnaire were used to collect data from the respondents. Juvenile Delinquency was found to be significantly related to family size, marital stability, marital adjustment and mode of discipline. A weak relationship between juvenile delinquency and socio-economic status was observed. The delinquency level of boys was significantly higher than that of girls.

It was concluded that there is a relationship between family functions and juvenile delinquency.

Peer Risk Factors: A study was done by Moffitt on a topic *Life-Course-Persistent versus Adolescence-Limited Antisocial Behavior*. A sample of 905 children was taken. The study found that children do not like peers who are physically aggressive but during puberty it gets dissolved and adolescents perceive their aggressive peers more influential and having higher social status.

Educational Risk Factor: A study was done by McLaren in 2000 on a topic *Tough is not enough*. The study was published in the Ministry of Youth Affairs. The study found out that attending school on a regular basis reduces chances of offending. Whereas levels of school achievement do not have a role in case of offending.

Another study was done by the Youth Court Bench in 1982 in the United Kingdom. It was published by the UK Youth Justice Board in 2005. The study found out that 44% of secondary school children who left school were offenders as compared to 15% of students who didn't leave school. Therefore, a direct correlation was found between lack of engagement in vocational activities or education and offending by youth.

A study was done by Alwin & Thornton in 1984. The topic of the study was *Family origins and schooling process: Early versus late influence of parental characteristics*. The study found out that educational opportunities are not equally distributed to all youth. Some of the reasons are socioeconomic status and demographic factors that influence education and performance of youth.

Socioeconomic status: A study was done by Sheldon in 2001 on the topic *criminal justice and the "dangerous classes"*. The study found out that youth born in "dangerous classes" i.e., children born in poor and working class background are much more likely to engage in delinquent behavior.

A study was done by Cloward & Ohlin in 1966. The topic of the study was *Delinquency and opportunity: A theory of delinquency gangs*. The research suggested that 3 distinctive kinds of delinquent subcultures arise in lower-class areas from large urban centers. These are (a) the "criminal gang"-devoted to extortion, theft, and other illegal means of securing an income, (b) the "conflict gang" in which status is represented by participation in violent acts and (c) the "retreatist gang" which is marked by addiction and stresses on consumption of

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

drugs. Before elimination or control of problem is to considered major changes in social setting of delinquency will have to made. If the pressure within society which produces delinquent behavior remains the same we cannot hope to stem the tide toward retreatism and violence among the adolescents.

Another study was done by Wilson in 1987. The topic of study was *The truly disadvantaged: The inner city, the underclass, and public policy*. The study found that large number of urban poor are caught in unemployment, teenage parenthood, chronic generational cycle of poverty, low educational achievement and welfare dependence.

A study was done by Bursik & Grasmick in 2000. The topic of study was *The effect of neighborhood dynamics on gang behavior*. The study was published in the book *The modern gang reader*. The study found that that antisocial behaviors have become attached norms within highly impoverished environments. This has made delinquency and criminality endemic facts of life for these people.

A study was done by Ekpo et al in 2013. The topic of study was *Family Socio-Economic status and Delinquency among Senior Secondary School*. The aim of study was investigating the influence of family socio-economic status and education level of parents on delinquency among senior secondary school students in Calabar south. Cross River State, Nigeria. The population used was 2640 senior secondary school students. Simple random sampling and survey research was used. 600 students were drawn from study. The result of data analysis showed that family socio-economic status and education level of family significantly influence student's delinquency.

A study was done by Bellamy in 2009. The topic of study was *Socioeconomic Status, Population Density and Juvenile Delinquency*. The aim of study was to determine the relationship between socioeconomic status, population density and juvenile delinquency. Survey method was used. The study revealed that there is link between socioeconomic status and juvenile delinquency. No link between population density and juvenile delinquency was found.

A study was done by Beyer et al in 2001. They examined that neighborhood that labeled as low socio-economic are more prone to juvenile delinquency. The results showed that there most violent crimes are committed in lower socio economic area.

A study was done by. Shamin et al in 2009. The topic of study was *A Study of Juvenile Crime in Borstal Jail, Faisalabad, Pakistan*. The aim of the study was to determine the socio-economic factors of juvenile crime. A sample of 90 juveniles was chosen from Borstal Jail through random sampling technique. Study revealed that majority of respondents were illiterate and belonged to age group of 16-18. The respondents belonged to low income group. The study concluded that low economic status and poverty promotes the rate of juvenile crime.

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

Other Factors

A study was done by DeLisi et al on the topic violent video games, delinquency, and youth violence. The data was based on the sample of institutionalized juvenile delinquents. It was found that violent video game playing is associated with violent delinquency.

A study was done by Sahmey in 2013 on topic *A Study on Factors Underlying Juvenile Delinquency and Positive Youth Development Programs*. The aim of the study was to see the impact of media (internet, games, TV) on juvenile delinquency. Data was collected taking 50 inmates out of which 5 cases of offense were analyzed in detail. The results were collected using structured interview and questionnaire. The result showed that there is no direct impact of social media on juvenile delinquency and primary causes were parental conflict, broken homes, environmental factors etc.

A study was done by Murray et al. The topic of study was on Children Antisocial behavior, Mental Health, Drug use, and Educational Performance After Parental incarceration. A systematic review was done to know the association between parents incarceration and children later antisocial behavior, mental health, drug abuse, and educational performance. Results from 40 studies were pooled in a meta-analysis. Results showed that there is association of parental incarceration and child's later antisocial behavior but no direct link can be seen for drug abuse, mental health and educational performance.

Physical Punishment: A study was done by Coleman et al in 1997. The topic of study was Do Not Spank, Researchers link it directly to antisocial behavior in kids. He analyzed 1998 and 1990 national survey data from 807 mothers of children ages 6 to 9 and compared levels of antisocial behavior among spanked and not spanked children over the interval. The study found out that spanking is counter-productive and more the parents spank their children, higher the level of antisocial behavior is found, independent of other traits like socioeconomic status and amount of love and support parents give to their children.

Another study was done by Gershoff in 2002. The topic of study was Corporal Punishment by Parents and Associated Child Behaviors and Experiences: A meta-analysis and Theoretical Review. In the study a meta-analysis was done of association between parental corporal punishment and 11 child behaviors and experiences. The study revealed parental corporal punishment was associated with all child constructs, including higher levels of immediate compliance and aggression and lower levels of moral internalization and mental health.

Watching TV: An article was written by Khan in 2013. The topic of article was Children who watch too much TV are more likely to develop antisocial behavior and end up in jail. In the article a longitudinal study was mentioned which was done by Hnacox et al. Researchers followed more than 1000 children born between 1972 and 1992, tracking their television viewing habits between the ages of 5 and 15, and their behavioral habits until the age of 26. The results were published in journal of Pediatrics. Researchers found that risk for antisocial

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

and criminal behavior increased by 30% with every hour of TV the children and teens watched per weeknight.

Alcohol Misuse: A study was done by Young et al in 2007. The topic was *A Longitudinal study of alcohol use and antisocial behavior in young people*. The aim of the study was to examine the direction of causation between young people's antisocial behavior and alcohol (mis) use in the longer and shorter term, together with their joint effects on alcohol related trouble. A sample of 2586 pupils were taken, allowing exploration of the causal effects of alcohol misuse and antisocial behavior between ages 11 and 15. The study revealed that antisocial behavior is the predictor of alcohol (mis) use and alcohol related trouble, with alcohol (mis) use impacting only modestly on antisocial behavior and alcohol related problem in short term.

Race: Brownfield et al did a study in 2001. They focused on factors that contribute to juvenile delinquency and included race and social class. The research findings suggest that risk of being a delinquent is higher in black people and low in higher class.

DISCUSSION

The main factors that came out to be significant predictors of juvenile offenders are dysfunction family, low socio economic status, peer influence, academic influences, environmental deficiencies lack of necessities, large family size, harsh punishment, parents having low paid jobs leading to low attention, abuse of drugs and alcohol by family, physical, mental and sexual abuse, gender differences, parents incarceration. Some predictors which insignificantly influence juvenile delinquency are effect of violent video games, watching too much Tv effect of culture and race and moral education of juvenile delinquents that is undertaken more by others than their biological parents. Some studies contradicted with others in the effect of alcohol misuse, violent video games and even socio-economic status

Studies that I reviewed explain various factors

One study uncovered that components prompting adolescent misconduct incorporate physical variables, mental elements, home conditions, school conditions, neighborhood conditions and word related conditions, an alternate study figured out that neediness was one of the component of youth crime .Another main consideration was drug influence, instances of the youthful wrongdoers whose offenses were being in ownership of bhang (cannabis sativa) were noted. Different reasons are broken home (a few young men were vagrant, had single guardian, folks were alcoholic before capture) and low instructive fulfillment). Some different elements are huge family measure where the folks were not able to give attention to their children due to large many children (five or six). One component turned out to be perpetrating wrongdoing out of energy amusements and not identified with productive living. The nature's turf was seen to make youth act up regularly. Likewise family and school every so often cause youth to have conflict with law. Besides, officers said that different offices and org. of government are never in charge of pushing youth to perpetrate criminal acts. An alternate study affirmed the same discoveries that no specific reason is in charge of adolescent criminal acts, though there are no of reasons which are absence of legitimate

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

family control, clash of family, circumstance of local location, effect of motion pictures, absence of fundamental needs and amusement through procuring cash.

An alternate significant danger figures that prompt youth wrongdoing are low insights and low school achievement, low pay and poor lodging, a high level of hastiness and hyperactivity, living in crumbled internal city ranges, harsh and sporadic control, parental clash and broken families. Danger components were recognized by perspective of articles both distributed and unpublished destinations (generally gov). The danger elements which were distinguished were connected with singular, family, associate, school and neighborhood.

An alternate study uncovered that (1) Juvenile delinquents generally originate from homes in which folks are married (2) The ethical instruction of adolescent delinquents is embraced more by others than their natural folks; (3) Most reprobate kids originate from the least financial stratum of society; (4) About two-thirds of adolescents originate from home where 7 persons or above live in same top; (5) Most folks of our respondents have low paid occupations which keep them for long stretches far from their youngsters.

One study recommended that strongest danger buffering collaborations were found for SES which was just identified with solitary conduct among kids with abnormal state of dissatisfaction or low level of effortful control. Likewise the relationship of SES with standoffish conduct were higher for young men than young ladies. In this manner, the impacts of SES rely on upon both the temperament and sex of the tyke.

FAMILY RISK FACTORS -A few studies concentrated on the family hazard variables prompting adolescent offenders and discovered huge part of family in adolescent delinquency. One of the study found that amid youth introduction to damaging or barbarous control lead to increment in danger of adolescent culpable.

A study figured out that the environment impacts the kid's mind health and scholarly social practices. Dangerous early encounters make a lens through which all ensuing connections are seen. These encounters represses the capacity of kid to gain from supporting connections which are experienced later. An alternate study discovered that Norms, qualities, models of conduct are put by family into youngsters and these components make disguised "blue print" for the youngster's identity, accepts and disposition. An exploration figured out that there is relationship between marital instability and misconduct. Harsh conjugal environment that incorporates stress, alienation, horrible limit and coldness deliver excessively high rate of reprobate conduct in youngsters who experience childhood in these situations. Additionally disunity and divorce in two guardian families are substantially more problematic than steady, cherishing one guardian family units. Some studies uncovered that there is a poverty, including folks playing with unlawful stimulants, lower level of material assets, parental disregard, physical, verbal and sexual ill-use of youngsters in home prompting solid negative passionate reaction from kids.

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

A study affirmed past discoveries that Juvenile Delinquency is fundamentally identified with family estimate, conjugal stability, conjugal adjustment and mode of order. A frail relationship between adolescent misconduct and financial status was watched. The delinquent level of young men was essentially higher than that of young ladies. It was be inferred that there is relationship between family capacities and adolescent misconduct.

PEER RISK FACTOR- Studies concluded that youngsters dislike peers who are physically forceful yet amid adolescence it gets broke up and youths see their forceful companions more persuasive and having higher societal position.

EDUCATIONAL RISK FACTOR- The study figured out just going to class all the time lessen possibilities of culpable. While levels of school accomplishment don't have part if there should be an occurrence of culpable. An alternate study figured out that 44% of optional school youngsters who left school were guilty parties as contrasted with 15% of understudies who didn't left school. Hence, an immediate relationship was found between absence of engagement in professional exercises or training and culpable by youth. A study discovered that instructive open doors are not similarly appropriated to all young. A portion of the reasons are financial status and demographic components that impact training and execution of youth.

SOCIO ECONOMIC FACTORS- The study discovered that adolescent born in "hazardous classes" i.e., kids born in poor and working population foundation are much prone to take part in reprobate conduct.

An alternate exploration recommended that 3 unique sorts of delinquent subcultures emerge in lower-class regions from extensive urban focuses. These are (a) the "criminal posse"-committed to coercion, burglary, and other illicit method for securing a salary, (b) the "clash group" in which status is spoken to by cooperation in vicious acts and (c) the "retreatist pack" which is stamped by habit and weights on utilization of medications. Before end or control of issue is to viewed as real changes in social setting of wrongdoing will need to made. On the off chance that the weight inside society which delivers reprobate conduct continue as before we can't would like to stem the tide to retreatism and brutality among the young people. An alternate study found that expansive number of urban poor are gotten in unemployment, young parenthood, unending generational cycle of neediness, low instructive accomplishment and welfare reliance. A study found that that solitary practices have gotten to be attached to norms inside profoundly ruined situations. This has made misconduct and guiltiness endemic unavoidable truths that apply to everyone for these individuals.. The consequence of information investigation of a study demonstrated that family financial status and training level of family altogether impact understudy's offending. A study finished up that there is connection between financial status and adolescent wrongdoing. No connection between populace thickness and adolescent wrongdoing was found. A Study uncovered that greater part of respondents were illiterate and fit in with age gathering of 16-18. The respondents fit in with low income group. Thus low monetary status and neediness advances the rate of adolescent offending.

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

OTHER FACTORS -A study found that violent video games playing is connected with juvenile offending. While an alternate study differentiated this result and presumed that feature diversions do not have noteworthy effect on child's conduct. An alternate study demonstrated that there is no immediate effect of social networking on adolescent wrongdoing and essential drivers were parental clash, broken homes, ecological elements and so forth. Some examination demonstrated that there is relationship of parental detainment and kid's later reserved conduct however no immediate connection can be seen for medication misuse, mental well-being and instructive execution,

PHYSICAL PUNISHMENT- A study discovered that hitting is counter-productive and more the folks beat their youngsters, higher the level of antisocial conduct is discovered, autonomous of different attributes like financial status and measure of affection and support folks provide for their kids. An alternate study uncovered parental beating was connected with all tyke develops, including more elevated amounts of prompt agreeability and hostility and lower levels of moral internalization and mental well-being.

WATCHING TOO MUCH TV -Analysts found that hazard for standoffish and criminal conduct expanded by 30% with each hour of TV the youngsters and teenagers viewed for every weeknight.

ALCOHOL MISUSE -The study uncovered that reserved behavior is the indicator of liquor (mis) use and liquor related inconvenience, with liquor (mis) use affecting just modestly on standoffish conduct and alcohol related issue in short term

RACE -The examination discoveries propose that danger of being a reprobate is higher in black individuals and low in higher class.

CONCLUSION

The aim of my project was to review the psychological and social predictors of juvenile offenders. After reviewing literature, I would like to conclude that factors influencing juvenile delinquency are socio economic status, family, peers, environment, effects of social media, family size, drug and alcohol. One can see that these factors are very basic and are building blocks for development of successful life .these factors on one side can make a enriched individual and here, lacking of these factors have made innocent children a juvenile delinquent.

Key Learning: The learning points were that basic necessities like parental care and attention, safe environment, education, morally excellent companions and right guidance are crucial requirements for child's psycho-social growth. Absence of any of these factors can lead to juvenile offense.

Future Direction for Research: Cases of juvenile crime are increasing day by day. There is a need to research more on psycho social factors and also on biological risk factors that lead to juvenile crime. There is a urgent need to find out preventive measures to control juvenile

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

crime cases. Also there should be proper facilities available to restore and rehabilitate children who have become juvenile delinquent.

Acknowledgments

I would like to take this opportunity to express my profound gratitude and deep regard to my supervisor, MISS BABITA PRUSTY for her exemplary guidance, valuable feedback and constant encouragement throughout the duration of the project. Her valuable suggestions were of immense help throughout my project work. Her perceptive criticism kept me working to make this project in a much better way. Working under her was an extremely knowledgeable experience for me.

Conflict of Interests: The author declared no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- American International Journal of Contemporary Research,3(4). Retrieved September 17, 2014, from http://www.aijernet.com/journals/Vol_3_No_4_April_2013/9.pdf
- Becroft, J. (2009). What causes youth crime, and what can we do about it? Retrieved September 9, 2014, from <http://www.justice.govt.nz/courts/youth/publications-and-media/speeches/what-causes-youth-crime-and-what-can-we-do-about-it>
- Becroft, J. (2009). Youth Court. Retrieved October 30, 2014, from <http://www.justice.govt.nz/courts/youth/publications-and-media/speeches/what-causes-youth-crime-and-what-can-we-do-about-it#2>
- Bellamy, S. (2009). Socioeconomic status, population density, and juvenile delinquency :: Senior Papers. Retrieved August 11, 2014, from <http://cdm15170.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/p15170col12/id/2819>
- Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development. (2012). Retrieved September 16, 2014, from <http://www.blueprintsprograms.com/>
- C. Bocar, A. (2012). Common Factors of Juvenile Delinquent Acts. Retrieved September 10, 2014, from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2191280
- C. Coleman, B. (1997). Study: Do not spank -- Researchers link it directly to antisocial behavior in kids. Retrieved August 17, 2014, from <http://nospank.net/straus11.htm>
- Day, D., & Wanklyn, S. (2013). Major Risk Factors for Antisocial and Delinquent Behaviour among Children and Youth. Retrieved September 10, 2014, from <http://www.publicsafety.gc.ca/cnt/rsrscs/pblctns/2013-mjr-risk-fctrs-ntscl/index-eng.aspx>
- Delisi, M., Vaughn, M., Gentile, D., Anderson, C., & Shook, J. (2013). Violent Video Games, Delinquency, and Youth Violence: New Evidence. *Youth Violence and Juvenile Justice*,11(2), 132-142. Retrieved September 15, 2014, from <http://yvj.sagepub.com/content/11/2/132.abstract>
- DeMaranville, H. (1998). Functional Family Therapy. Retrieved September 21, 2014, from <http://www.fftlc.com/>
- Dickens, E. (2011). Causal Factors of Juvenile Delinquency: A Proposed Study. Retrieved September 19, 2014, from https://www.academia.edu/1167032/Causal_Factors_of_Juvenile_Delinquency_AProposed_Study
- Etim, T., & EgodI, U. (2013). Family Socio-Economic Status and Delinquency among Senior Secondary School Students in Calabar South, Cross River State, Nigeria.

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

- Farrington, D.(1996). Understanding and preventing youth crime. Retrieved September 30, 2014, from <http://www.jrf.org.uk/sites/files/jrf/sp93.pdf>
- FRITZ NGALE, I.(2009). Family Structure And Juvenile Delinquency: Correctional Centre Betamba, Centre Province Of Cameroon. *Internet Journal of Criminology*, 1-18. Retrieved August 16, 2014, from http://www.internetjournalofcriminology.com/ngale_family_structure_and_juvenile_delinquency.pdf
- Gandhi, J. (Ed.). (2015, December 22). Things You Need To Know About The New Juvenile Law. NDTV. Retrieved March 1, 2016, from <http://www.ndtv.com/cheat-sheet/10-things-you-need-to-know-about-the-new-juvenile-law-1257667>
- Gershoff, E. (2002). Corporal Punishment By Parents And Associated Child Behaviors And Experiences: A Meta-analytic And Theoretical Review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128(4), 539-579. Retrieved September 15, 2014, from <http://www.endcorporalpunishment.org/pages/pdfs/Gershoff-2002.pdf>
- Guan, X. (2012). Early Behavior Problems In School, Juvenile Delinquency, And Adult Incarceration: A Longitudinal Examination Of Pathways To Crime Among A Ten-Year Birth Cohort In Louisiana. Retrieved September 12, 2014, from http://etd.lsu.edu/docs/available/etd-08172012-091735/unrestricted/GuanXian_diss.pdf
- Haveripet, P. (2013). Causes and consequences of juvenile delinquency in India. *Recent Research in Science and Technology*,5(3), 29-31. Retrieved September 11, 2014, from <http://recent-science.com/index.php/rrst/article/viewfile/15374/8725>
- Khan, A. (2013). Too Much TV Leads to Antisocial Behavior in Kids, Study Finds. Retrieved November 3, 2014, from <http://www.everydayhealth.com/kids-health/0219/too-much-tv-leads-to-antisocial-behavior-in-kids-study-finds-7591.aspx>
- M. Banham Bridges, K. (1927). Factors Contributing to Juvenile Delinquency. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 17(4), 531-580. Retrieved September 12, 2014, from <http://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2038&context=jclc&sei-redir=1&referer=http://www.google.com/url?q=http%3A%2F%2Fscholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu%2Fcgi%2Fviewcontent.cgi%3Farticle%3D203>
- M. Grohol, J. (2011). Brain Volume Reductions Found in Teen Boys with Conduct Disorder. Retrieved September 10, 2014, from <http://psychcentral.com/news/2011/04/02/brain-volume-reductions-found-in-teen-boys-with-conduct-disorder/24953.html>
- Martin, G. (2005). Juvenile Delinquency Theories of Causation. In *Juvenile justice: Process and systems*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Muola, J., Ndung'u, N., & Ngesa, F. (2010). The Relationship between Family Functions and Juvenile Delinquency: A Case of Nakuru Municipality, Kenya. *African Research Review*,5(3). Retrieved September 16, 2014, from <http://www.ajol.info/index.php/afrrrev/article/view/51142>
- Murray, J., P. Farrington, D., & Sekol, I. (2012). Children's Antisocial Behavior, Mental Health, Drug Use, and Educational Performance After Parental Incarceration: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*,138(2), 175–210-175–210. Retrieved September 10, 2014, from <http://www.apa.org/pubs/journals/features/bul-138-2-175.pdf>
- Onyango Omboto, J., O. Ondiek, G., Odera, O., & Evelyn Ayug, M. (2013). Factors Influencing Youth Crime And Juvenile Delinquency. *International Journal of*

Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders

- Research In Social Sciences,1(2), 18-21. Retrieved September 11, 2014, from http://www.ijsk.org/uploads/3/1/1/7/3117743/sociology_2.pdf
- Phillips, D. (n.d.). The Political Economy Of School Violence In Trinidad: Towards a Caribbean Theory of Youth Crime. Retrieved September 15, 2014, from <http://sta.uwi.edu/nlc/2008/documents/papers/dphillips.doc>
- R. Sanders, M., & Markie-Dadds, C. (2003). Theoretical, Scientific and Clinical Foundations of the Triple P-Positive Parenting Program: A Population Approach to the Promotion of Parenting Competence. Retrieved September 14, 2014, from <http://www30.triplep.net/cicms/assets/pdfs/pg1as100gr5so184.pdf>
- Sehmay, K. (2013). A Study on Factors Underlying Juvenile Delinquency and Positive Youth Development Programs. Retrieved August 30, 2014, from <http://ethesis.nitrkl.ac.in/4634/1/411HS1010.pdf>
- Shamim, A., Batoool, Z., I. Zafar, M., & Hashmi, N. (2009). A Study Of Juvenile Crimes In Borstal Jail, Faisalabad, Pakistan. *The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences*,19(2), 101-103. Retrieved October 19, 2014, from <http://www.thejaps.org.pk/docs/19-2-2009/09-930.pdf>
- Udwin, L. (2015, December 21). Juvenile Rapist in Nirbhaya Case Was Not A Monster, Says Leslee Udwin (P. K. Balachandran, Ed.). *New Indian Express*. Retrieved March 1, 2016, from <http://www.newindianexpress.com/world/Juvenile-Rapist-in-Nirbhaya-Case-Was-Not-A-Monster-Says-Leslee-Udwin/2015/12/21/article3189022.ece>
- Veenstra, R., Lindenberg, S., Oldehinkel, A., De Winter, A., & Ormel, J. (2006). Temperament, Environment, And Antisocial Behavior In A Population Sample Of Preadolescent Boys And Girls. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 30 (5), 422-432. Retrieved November 2, 2014, from http://www.ppsw.rug.nl/~veenstra/CV/TRAILS_Veenstra_Parenting_IJBD06.pdf
- Young, R., Sweeting, H., & West, P. (2008). A longitudinal study of alcohol use and antisocial behaviour in young people. *Alcohol and Alcoholism*,204-214. Retrieved August 17, 2014, from <http://alcalc.oxfordjournals.org/content/43/2/204.full>
- 2012 Delhi gang rape. (2017, November 12). Retrieved November 14, 2017, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2012_Delhi_gang_rape

How to cite this article: Garg R, & Prusty B (2017). Psychosocial Predictors of Juvenile Offenders. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, Vol. 5, (1), DIP: 18.01.033/20170501, DOI: 10.25215/0501.033