The International Journal of Indian Psychology ISSN 2348-5396 (e) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (p)

Volume 7, Issue 2, DIP: 18.01.072/20190702

DOI: 10.25215/0702.072

http://www.ijip.in | April - June, 2019

Research Paper



Relationship between Locus of Control and Self-efficacy among Special Educators

Ms. Malarkodi. A¹*, Dr. Sujaritha Magdalin²

ABSTRACT

Self-efficacy is the belief of a person that is related to a specific task, challenge or endeavor; which means that self-efficacy may differ according to situations. Locus of control, on the other hand, is a person's attribution towards a factor on the outcome of an event. The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationships between self-efficacy and locus of control of special educators. Ex-post facto Research Design was used in this study. The sample for the present study consisted of thirty-five women special education teachers purposively chosen from five special schools in Chennai city. The women special educators were in the age range of 25 years to 40 years, having a work experience of 2 years to 10 years and salary ranging from to rupees 4000 to 12,000 rupees. The following standardized tools were used; the Teacher Self-efficacy Scale by (Scharwzer, Schmitz & Daytner, 1999), and the Levenson's Locus of Control Scale by (Levenson, 1973), the scale yields three distinct factors; The Individual Control (I), The Chance Control (C) and The Powerful Others (P) that were used to assess the variables chosen for the study. Pearson Product Moment Correlation was calculated to understand the relationship between Locus of control and Self-efficacy. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was computed to find out the difference in Self-efficacy and the factors of Locus of Control among the special educators with regard to the chosen demographic variables (namely; age, income and years of experience).

Keywords: Locus of Control, Self-efficacy, Special Educators

Self-efficacy in teaching refers to teachers' beliefs about their own values, competencies, and accomplishment. The sources of teachers' self-efficacy come from the way they master their direct experience, their level of anxiety in facing or interpreting their tasks, their imitations of other teacher models, and the social persuasion or specific feedback from their significant others. Self-efficacy in teaching has been associated with important outcomes, including the use of effective teaching strategies, better classroom management, and greater teacher well-being. Locus of Control is another important component of individual wellness. Julian B. Rotter (1954) defined Locus of Control as an extent to which people think they could control occasions influencing them. This construct has a significant impact on

Received: April 22, 2019; Revision Received: June 13, 2019; Accepted: June 28, 2019

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Presidency College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

² Associate Professor & Head, Department of Psychology, Presidency College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India *Responding Author

^{© 2019,} Malarkodi. A., & Magdalin. S; licensee IJIP. This is an Open Access Research distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Bandura's self-efficacy theories and how individuals' expectations shape the goals, they set for themselves. Locus of control and efficacy are two closely related constructs (Lefcourt, 1981). Therefore, Locus of control and Self-efficacy are important behavioural constructs which can determine the effectiveness of teachers in different ways.

Severino, Aiello, Cascio, Ficarra, and Messina (2011) explored the role of self-efficacy and locus of control and found a significant relationship between self-efficacy and locus of control. Higher levels of teacher self-efficacy are associated with resiliency and the ability to rebound from setbacks and exercise some control over events that affect the lives of the teachers. (Erdem & Demirel, 2007; Woolfolk Hoy, 2007) studied the ability of individuals to influence the world around them which is strongly linked to the belief in their ability to bring about change. A teacher's sense of self-efficacy has also been associated with personal goal setting and the persistence to meet these goals through the belief that they have control over the outcome of events in their lives. Hans et al. (2017) found faculty members indicated a strong belief to succeed in their future endeavours on self-efficacy.

Ashagi and Beheshtifar (2015) reported that there was a direct and meaningful relationship between internal locus of control and self-efficacy beliefs and there was not a meaningful relationship between external locus of control and self-efficacy. Similarly, Cascio, Magnano, Elastico, Costantino, Zapparrata, and Battiato (2014) examined the interactions among Self-Efficacy beliefs and Locus of Control as personal capabilities to cope with environmental demands in school teachers, the results suggested that self-efficacy belief represents one of the most important "protective" factors in response to psychological stress. With regard to the role of demographic variables, Merwe (2013) evaluated teacher Efficacy and Locus of Control as part of the teacher's personal characteristics and found significant variations in the different age groups of teachers. Hence, the present study is an attempt to understand the relationship between of Locus of Control and the self-efficacy beliefs of special educators.

METHODOLOGY

Aim

• The study aimed to explore the relationship between Locus of Control and Self-efficacy of Special educators in Chennai city.

Objectives

- 1. To assess the relationship between Locus of Control and Self-efficacy of Special Educators.
- 2. To understand the influence of demographic variables (age, income level and years of experience) on Locus of Control and Self-efficacy of Special Educators.

Hypotheses

- Ha 1.1: There will be no significant relationship between Powerful Others sub-scale of Locus of Control and Self-efficacy among Special educators.
- Ha 1.2: There will be no significant relationship between Chance Control sub-scale of Locus of Control and Self-efficacy among Special educators.
- Ha 1.3: There will be no significant relationship between Individual Control sub-scale of Locus of Control and Self-efficacy among Special educators.
- Hb 2: There will be no significant difference in the Locus of Control of Special educators with reference to their age, income level and years of experience.
- Hc 3: There will be no significant difference in the Self-efficacy of Special educators with reference to their age, income level and years of experience.

Sample

An ex-post facto research design was employed in this study. Purposive sampling method was used to collect data from thirty-five women special educators belonging to five special schools in Chennai. The sample thus chosen comprised of special education teachers who belonged to the age range of 25-40 years (Mean age = 31.49), the income level of Rs. 4000 - Rs. 12,000 (Mean income = 8828.57) and years of experience range between 2 to 10 years (Mean years of experience 5.37).

Instruments

The following scales were used for the study:

1. Levenson's Scale for Locus of Control

The scale consists of 24 items which are scored on a five-point Likert type (Strongly Agree, Agree, Undecided, Disagree and Strongly Disagree). The scale yields three distinct factors. The Individual Control (I) subscale consists of eight items (items 1, 4, 5, 9, 18, 19, 21, 23) measuring the degree of a person's faith in their own abilities and the capacity to control the outcome of their own life's events. The Chance Control (C) subscale also consists of eight items (items 2, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 24) estimating the perceptions according to which luck and fate determine a person's life, thus leaving them with limited, if any control in various life situations. The Powerful Others (P) subscale contains of eight items (items 3, 8, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22) which assess the extent to which a person feels that their course of life is steered by people of power who actually control the fate of the weak. Each subscale produces a unique score by adding up the eight responses on it and the raw scores obtained. Therefore, each respondent receives three scores indicative of her relative view on each of the three factors. The split-half reliability is 0.62, 0.66 and 0.64 for I, P, C respectively.

2. Teacher Self-Efficacy scale

The Teacher Self-Efficacy scale (short form) is a 10 item measure developed by Ralf Schwarzer, Gerdamarie Schmitz, and Gary Daytner in 1999. The items were constructed following Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory (Bandura, 1997; Schwarzer, 1992, 1993). Alpha reliability coefficients for the Teacher Self-efficacy Scale were satisfactory, ranging from 0.76 to 0.82. This scale gives a total score on self-efficacy.

Procedure

Permission was sought from the school authorities and consent was obtained from the special educators to participate in the study. The sample consisted of thirty-five women special education teachers purposively chosen from five special schools in Chennai city. Participants completed the Teacher Self-efficacy Scale followed by the Levenson's Locus of Control Scale. The researcher monitored the participants to ensure they worked independently, filling out the questionnaires in a group setting.

Statistics Used

Pearson Product Moment Correlation was computed for each of the factors of Locus of Control and Self-efficacy to understand the relationship between the variables. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was computed to find out the difference in Self-efficacy and the factors of Locus of Control among the special educators with regard to the chosen demographic variables (namely; age, income and years of experience).

RESULTS

The results of the study are as follows:

Table 1, Relationship between Self-efficacy and Locus of Control of Special Educators

	Sub-scales of Locus Control					
	Powerful Others (PO)	Chance Control (CC)	Individual Control (IC)			
Self efficacy	0.46**	0.40^{*}	- 0.23 ^{NS}			

^{*}p <0.05 Significant at 0.05 level, **p<0.01 Significant at 0.01 level, NS – Not Significant

Correlation between self-efficacy scores and the subscales of Locus of Control, i.e., Powerful Others (r = 0.46**, n = 35, p > .01), Chance control (r = -0.40*, n = 35, p > .05) and Individual Control (r = 0.23, n = 35, p > .05). As noted in table 1, there was a significant relationship between sub-scales of locus of control (namely, Powerful Others and Chance Control) and self-efficacy among the special educators. Hence, the sub-hypotheses Ha 1.1 & Ha 1.2 were rejected.

However, the result did not yield a significant relationship on Individual Control sub-scale of Locus of Control with respect to self-efficacy. Hence, the sub-hypothesis Ha 1.3 was accepted.

Table 2, Influence of Demographic Variables (Age, Income and Years of Experience) on

the Locus of Control of Special Educators

Sub-scales of Locus of Control	Demographic Variables		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F
	Age	Between groups	453.66	14	32.40	
	-	Within Groups	785.08	20	39.25	0.83^{NS}
		Total	1238.74	34		
		Between	183.54	8	22.94	
Powerful	Income	groups				
Others (PO)		Within Groups	1055.20	26	40.59	0.57^{NS}
		Total	1238.74	34		
		Between	326.78	8	40.84	
	Years of	groups				
	Experience	Within Groups	911.97	26	35.08	1.17^{NS}
		Total	1238.74	34		
		Between	654.60	14	46.76	
	Age	groups				NG
Chance Control (CC)		Within Groups	928.08	20	46.40	1.01^{NS}
		Total	1582.69	34		
		Between	423.09	8	52.89	
	Income	groups				NG
		Within Groups	1159.60	26	44.60	1.19^{NS}
		Total	1582.69	34		
		Between	599.49	8	74.93	
	Years of	groups	J77. 4 7	o	14.73	
	Experience	Within Groups	983.20	26	37.82	1.98 NS
	•	Total	1582.97	34		
-						

Sub-scales of Locus of Control	Demographic Variables		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F
	Age	Between groups	223.15	14	15.94	
	C	Within Groups	209.25	20	10.46	1.52^{NS}
		Total	432.40	34		
		Between	124.30	8	15.54	
Individual	Income	groups				
Control (IC)		Within Groups	308.10	26	11.85	1.31^{NS}
		Total	432.40	34		
		Between	133.88	8	16.73	·
	Years of	groups				
	Experience	Within Groups	298.53	26	11.48	1.46^{NS}
		Total	432.40	34		

NS – Not Significant

One-way analysis of variance was carried to find the influence of Age, Income and Years of Experience of the special educators on the sub-scales of Locus of Control. There was no significant difference between the age, income and years of experience of the special education teachers and the sub-scales of Locus of Control. Thus, hypothesis Hb 2 was accepted.

Table 3, Influence of Demographic Variables (Age, Income and Years of Experience) on

Self-efficacy of Special Educators

Variable	Demographic		Sum of	df	Mean	F
	Variables		Squares		Square	
Self-efficacy		Between	268.76	14	19.20	·
	Age	groups				
		Within Groups	587.42	20	29.37	0.65^{NS}
		Total	856.17	34		
		Between	43.17	8	5.40	
	Income	groups				
		Within Groups	813.00	26	31.27	0.17^{NS}
		Total	856.17	34		
		Between	131.91	8	16.49	·
	Years of	groups				
	Experience	Within Groups	724.28	26	27.86	0.59^{NS}
		Total	856.17	34		

NS - Not Significant

Likewise, the one-way analysis of variance performed to find out the influence of the demographic variables (such as age, income and years of experience) on the self-efficacy of the special education teachers did not differ significantly. Therefore, hypothesis Hc 3 was accepted.

DISCUSSION

The results indicated that there is no significant relationship between internal locus of control (Individual Control) and the score obtained on self-efficacy. But, the results showed a significant relationship between the external locus of control (namely; Powerful Others and Change Control). Skinner and Greene (2008) argued that control beliefs have two main

functions in shaping control processes: (1) when preparing to take on an activity, expectations of control have a regulatory function in that they shape how people approach and engage in the task; and (2) following an action-outcome episode, they have an interpretative function, in that they help translate the meaning of the experience for future control. Bandura (1997) proposed that because self-efficacy beliefs were explicitly self-referent in nature and directed toward perceived abilities given specific tasks, they were powerful predictors of behaviour.

Educators with an "external locus of control" generally believe that their successes or failures result from external factors like the environment has more influence beyond their control, such as luck, fate, circumstance, injustice, bias, or colleagues who are unfair, management, administrators and policymakers who are prejudiced or more demanding. This finding was supported by other researchers (Greenwood et al., 1990; Ashton et al., 198). This attribution to external factors, they believe that the strengths and behavioural outcomes are determined by powerful persons, fate, chance and fortune (Simon, J., Dewitte, S., & Lens, W. 2004).

With regard to the influence of demographic variables age, income and the number of years of teaching experience. The finding of this study was inconsistent with those of previous studies (Woolfolk and Hoy, 1990; Lamorey and Wilcox, 2005; Tschannen-Moran & McMaster, 2009; Tschannen-Moran & Woolfolk & Hoy, 2007) on self-efficacy, which could be because the existing study did not take into account the sufficient data such as experience with the specific group of students and another reason may be the limited years of experience that the participants have in this current study. Income is considered a significant predictor of teacher efficacy and previous study had suggested that there is a connection between teacher efficacy and salary (McCarty, 2013), and this study is not consistent with this finding. This could be probably because teachers feel satisfied with their monthly income, as they equate their jobs with service attitude or the income through this source need not be their primary source to provide for their families. With respect to age as well, there was no significant difference, consistent with the studies (Hicks, 2012; Jenks, 2004; Hoy & Tschannen-Moran, 2007; Voris, 2011).

Schultz and Schultz (2005) suggested that locus of control increases in internality until middle age, decreasing thereafter. In Indian culture, women are majorly groomed in such a way that they are always influenced by the members of their family and therefore the relationship between externality and self-efficacy. Jamal et al. (2014) found out that "monthly income" of teachers positively affect their "life satisfaction" and on the other side, "age", "working mother" and "marital status" influence "locus of control" of these teachers. This could be the reason for the insignificance of income to the locus of control in this present study, in line with the above-mentioned study.

CONCLUSION

The study provides insight on how external locus of control which is a personality variable, has a significant relationship with self-efficacy belief. The findings also indicated that there was no difference in the Locus of Control and Self-efficacy with regard to the chosen demographic variables (such as; age, income and years of experience) on the Locus of Control and Self-efficacy among special education teachers. The findings of this study will be helpful while designing training programmes to enrich special educators.

REFERENCES

Alias, M., Akasah, Z.A., & Kesot, M.J. (2016). Relationships between Locus of Control, Self-Efficacy, Efforts and Academic Achievement among Engineering Students.

- *ICIEA. MATEC Web of Conferences.* Retrieved from http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. DOI: 10.1051/matecconf/20166818004.
- Ashagi, M.M., & Beheshtifar, M. (2015). The Relationship between Locus of Control (Internal External) and Self-Efficacy Beliefs of Yazd University of Medical Sciences. *International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences (IJEAS)*. 2 (8). 2394-3661
- Ashton, P. T., Webb, R. B., & Doda, N. (1983). A study of teachers' sense of efficacy; Final report (Contract No.400-79-0075). Gainesville: University of Florida, National Institute of Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 231 834)
- Bandura, A. (1986) Social Foundations of Thought and Action. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs.
- Bandura, A. (1994) Self-Efficacy. In: Ramachaudran, V.S., Ed., *Encyclopedia of Human Behavior*, Vol. 4, Academic Press, New York, 71-81.
- Cardelle-Elawar, M., Irwin, L. and Sanz de Acedo Lizarraga, M.L. (2007) A Cross Cultural Analysis of Motivational Factors that Influence Teacher Identity. *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology*, 5, 565-592.
- Cascio, M.I., Magnano, P., Elastico, S., Costantino, V., Zapparrata, V. and Battiato, A. (2014). The Relationship among Self-Efficacy Beliefs, External Locus of Control and Work Stress in Public Setting Schoolteachers. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 2, 149-156. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jss.2014.211021
- Egyed, C.J. and Short, R.J. (2006) Teacher Self-Efficacy, Burnout, Experience and Decision to Refer a Disruptive Student. *School Psychology International*, 27, 462-474. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0143034306070432
- Erdem, E. & Demirel, O. (2007). Teacher self-efficacy belief. *Social Behaviour and Personality*, 35(5), 573-586. https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2007.35.5.573
- Greenwood, G. E., Olejnik, S., & Parkay, F. W. (1990). Relationships between four teacher efficacy belief patterns and selected teacher characteristics. *Journal of Research and Development in Education*. 23(2), 102-106.
- Hans, A., Deshpande, A., Pillai, A.E., Fernandes, C,J., Arora, S., Kariya, P., & Uppoor, A. (2017). A Study on Self-Efficacy, Locus of Control and Commitment in Select Private Management Colleges in Oman. *Amity Journal of Management Research*. 2(1), (1-09).
- Jamal, Y., Yaseen, F. and Zahraa, S.T. (2014) "Life Satisfaction and Locus of Control" Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing, 5(9), 1115-1118.
- Lefcourt, H. M. (1982). Locus of Control (2nd ed.). Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Merwe, M.V.D. (2013). Perceived levels of teacher efficacy and locus of control at secondary
 - schools in lejweleputswa school district. Retrieved from
- ir.cut.ac.za/bitstream/.../Van%20der%20Merwe%2C%20Mariette%20Copy.pdf?...1...y
- Rots, I., Aelterman, A., Vlerick, P. and Vermeulen, K. (2007) Teacher Education, Graduates' Teaching Commitment and Entrance into the Teaching Profession. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. 23, 543-556. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2007.01.012
- Rotter, J. B. (1966). Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement. *Psychological Monographs: General and Applied*. 80(1), 609.
- Severino, S., Aiello, F., Cascio, M., Ficarra, L., & Messina, R. (2011). Distance education: the role of self-efficacy and locus of control in lifelong learning. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 28. 705 717.
- Skinner, E., & Greene, T. (2008). Perceived Control: Engagement, Coping, and Development In T. L. Good (Ed.), *21st Century Education: A Reference Handbook*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.

- Simon, J., Dewitte. S., & Lens, W. (2004). The role of different types of instrumentality in motivation, study strategies and performance: know why you learn, so you will know what you learn. British Journal of Educational Psychology. 74, 343-360
- Smylie, M.A. (1988). The Enhancement Function on Staff Development: Organizational and Psychological Antecedents to Individual Teacher Change. American Educational Research Journal, 25, 1-30. http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/00028312025001001
- Tschannen-Moran, M. and Woolfolk, H.A. (2001) Teacher Efficacy: Capturing and Elusive Construct. Teaching and Teacher Education. 17. 783-805. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(01)00036-1
- Woolfolk Hoy, A. (2007). Educational psychology (10th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Acknowledgment

The authors profoundly appreciate all the people who have successfully contributed in ensuring this paper in place. Their contributions are acknowledged however their names cannot be mentioned.

Conflict of Interest

The authors carefully declare this paper to bear not a conflict of interests

How to cite this article: Malarkodi. A., & Magdalin. S. (2019). Relationship between Locus of Control and Self-efficacy among Special Educators. International Journal of Indian Psychology, 7(2), 599-606. DIP:18.01.072/20190702, DOI:10.25215/0702.072