

Volume 2 | Special Issue: 2015

# IJJP ADVANCED RESEARCH ON SPORT PSYCHOLOGY

VINCENT PARNABAS, JULINAMARY  
PARNABAS AND ANTOINETTE MARY



The International Journal of  
**INDIAN PSYCHOLOGY**

Volume 2

**IJIP Advanced Research on Sport Psychology**

February 2015

**Editor in Chief**

Dr. Suresh M. Makvana

**Editor**

Mr. Ankit P. Patel

## THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INDIAN PSYCHOLOGY

This Issue (Volume 2, IJIP Advanced Research on Sport Psychology) Published,  
February 2015

-----  
**Headquarters;**

REDSHINE Publication, 88, Patel Street, Navamuvada, Lunawada, Gujarat, India, 389230  
Customer Care: +91 99 98 447091

Copyright © 2015, IJIP

No part of this publication may be reproduced, transcribed, stored in a retrieval system, or translated into any language or computer language, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, magnetic, optical, chemical, manual, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of RED'SHINE Publication except under the terms of a RED'SHINE Publishing Press license agreement.

-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --  
ISSN (Online) 2348-5396  
ISSN (Print) 2349-3429  
ZDB: 2775190-9  
IDN: 1052425984  
CODEN: IJIPD3  
OCLC: 882110133  
WorldCat Accession: (DE-600)ZDB2775190-9  
ROAR ID: 9235  
-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --

Price: 500 INR/- | \$ 8.00 USD  
2015 Edition

Website: [www.ijip.in](http://www.ijip.in)  
Email: [info.ijip@gmail.com](mailto:info.ijip@gmail.com) | [journal@ijip.in](mailto:journal@ijip.in)

Please submit your work's abstract or introduction to ( [info.ijip@gmail.com](mailto:info.ijip@gmail.com) | [www.ijip.in](http://www.ijip.in))

**Publishing fees, 500 INR OR \$ 8.33 USD only (online and print both)**

# Editorial Message

---

We welcome you to the fastest and the smartest psychology network on web. Our goal is to foster new ideas, research and knowledge related to the field of psychology and share it with the world.

The experiments and research done in the area of ‘Sports Psychology’; by Vincent Parnabas, Julinamary Parnabas and Antoinette Mary Parnabas are very advanced. We are proud and feel glad to present before you these articles about sports psychology.

All the 10 researches published in **IJIP’s Advanced Research on Sports Psychology** enlighten us deeply in the matters of sports psychology. The authors have aimed at showing you, how sports and psychology are intertwined and how both have a significant effect on each other. We hope that these researches teach you something new, help you gain insight about the dynamics of sports, psychology and any other factors related to these. We also hope that these researches form a ladder, and remain so, for further studies on sports psychology. We thank the writer of these researches for their hard work and contribution in expanding our knowledge base.

**Prof. Suresh M. Makvana, PhD**

# Acknowledgement

---

Writing research articles' is not easy. It takes a lot of time, tired and need to sacrifice many enjoyable activities. Therefore, encouragement from others especially family members is very important. I would like to express my thanks to my father, sisters, brother, niece, nephew and sister in law. Special thanks to my late mother, who take care of me since I born. Even though she is no more but I always feel she is helping me in her own way. I also would like to express my appreciation to my working mates who give me motivation to write this research articles.

**Authors**

The Editorial Board is comprised of nationally recognized scholars and researchers in the fields of Psychology, Education, Social Sciences, Home Sciences and related areas. The Board provides guidance and direction to ensure the integrity of this academic peer-reviewed journal.

Editor-in-Chief :

**Dr. Suresh M. Makvana,** INDIA  
Associate Professor. Dept. of Psychology, Saradar Patel University. Vallabh Vidhyanagar, Gujarat,  
Chairman, Board of Study, Sardar Patel University, Gujarat State

Editor :

**Mr. Ankit Patel,** INDIA  
Author of 20 Psychological Books (National and International Best Seller),  
Sardar Patel University, Gujarat.

Editorial Advisors :

**Dr. John Michel Raj. S,** INDIA  
Dean, Professor, Dept. of Social Science, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu.

**Dr. Tarni Jee,** INDIA  
President, Indian Psychological Association (IPA)  
Professor, Dept. of Psychology, University of Patana, Patana, Bihar.

**Prof. C. R. Mukundan, PhD, D.M & S.P**  
Professor Emeritus/Director  
Institute of Behavioral Science, Gujarat Forensic Sciences University,  
Gandhinagar, Gujarat,  
Author of "Brain at Work"

Co-Editor(s):

**Dr. Samir J. Patel,** INDIA  
Head, Professor, Dept. of Psychology, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidhyanagar, Gujarat.

**Dr. Navin Patel,** INDIA  
Convener, Gujarat Psychological Association (GPA)  
Head, Associate Professor, Dept. of Psychology, GLS Arts College, Ahmadabad, Gujarat,

**Dr. Mahepat Shinh Chavada** INDIA  
Chairman, Board of Study, Gujarat University, Gujarat State  
Principal, L. D Arts College, Ahmadabad, Gujarat

**Dr. M. G. Mansuri,** INDIA  
Head, Associate Professor, Dept. of Psychology, Nalini Arts College, Vallabh Vidhyanagar, Gujarat,

**Dr. D. J. Bhatt,**  
Head, Professor, Dept. of Psychology, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, Gujarat, INDIA

**Dr. Ashvin B. Jansari,**  
Head, Dept. of Psychology, Gujarat University, Ahmadabad, Gujarat, INDIA

**Dr. Savita Vaghela,**  
Head, Dept. of Psychology, M. K. Bhavanagar University, Bhavnagar, Gujarat, INDIA

**Dr. Bharat S. Trivedi,**  
Head, Associate Professor , Dept. of Psychology, P. M. Pandya Arts, Science, Commerce College, Lunawada, Gujarat, INDIA

Editorial Assistant(s):

**Dr. Raju. S,** INDIA  
Associate Professor , Dept. of Psychology, University of Kerala, Kerala,

**Dr. Sangita Pathak**  
Professor , Dept. of Psychology, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidhyanagar, INDIA  
Gujarat

**Dr. Karsan Chothani**  
Head, Associate Professor , Dept. of Psychology, C. U. Shah College, INDIA  
Ahmadabad, Gujarat,

**Dr. R. B. Rabari,** INDIA  
Head, Associate Professor, SPT Arts and Science College, Godhra, Gujarat,

**Dr. Yogesh Jogasan,** INDIA  
Associate Professor, Dept. of Psychology, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, Gujarat,

**Dr. Shailesh Raval,** INDIA  
Associate Professor, Smt. Sadguna C. U. Arts College for Girls. Lal Darwaja, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.

**Dr. Thiyam Kiran Singh,** INDIA  
Assistant Professor, Dept. of Psychology, AIBAS, Amity University, Rajasthan,

**Dr. Ravindra Kumar,** INDIA  
Assistant Professor, Mewar University, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan,

**Dr. Milan P. Patel,** INDIA  
Physical Instructor, College of Veterinary Science and A.H., Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat,

**Mr. Yoseph Shumi Robi** ETHIOPIA  
Assistant Professor. Department of Educational Psychology, Kotebe University College, Addis Ababa, KUC,

**Dr. Pankaj Suvera,** INDIA  
Assistant Professor. Department of Psychology, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidhyanagar, Gujarat,

**Dr. Ali Asgari,** IRAN  
Assistant Professor. Department of Psychology, Kharazmi University, Somaye

St., Tehran,

**Dr. Ajay K. Chaudhary,**

Senior Lecturer, Department of Psychology, Government Meera Girls College, Udaipur (Raj.) INDIA

**Dr. Priyanka Kacker,**

Assistant Professor, Neuropsychology and Forensic Psychology at the Institute of Behavioral Science, Gujarat Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat,

Reviewer(s):

**Ms. Lexi Lynn Whitson**

Senior Researcher, West Texas A&M University, Canyon,

UNITED  
STATES

**Ms. Rūta Gudmonaitė**

Project Manager, Open University UK, Milton Keynes, England,

UNITED  
KINGDOM

**Mr. Mark Javeth**

Research Assi. Tarleton State University, Stephenville, Texas,

UNITED  
STATES

Online Editor(s):

**Mr. Ansh Maheta,**

Clinical Psychology, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidhyanagar, Anand, Gujarat,

INDIA



# I n d e x

| No. | Title  | Author   | Page No.  |
|-----|--|--|-----------|
| 1   | The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Football Players               | Vincent Parnabas <sup>1</sup> ,<br>Julinamary Parnabas <sup>2</sup> ,<br>Antoinette Mary Parnabas <sup>3</sup>     | 01        |
| 2   | <b>The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances among Handball Players</b>        | <b>Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>,<br/>Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>,<br/>Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup></b> | <b>09</b> |
| 3   | The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances on Basketball            | Vincent Parnabas <sup>1</sup> ,<br>Julinamary Parnabas <sup>2</sup> ,<br>Antoinette Mary Parnabas <sup>3</sup>     | 16        |
| 4   | <b>The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Hockey Players</b>       | <b>Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>,<br/>Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>,<br/>Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup></b> | <b>24</b> |
| 5   | The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Sepak Takraw Athletes                     | Vincent Parnabas <sup>1</sup> ,<br>Julinamary Parnabas <sup>2</sup> ,<br>Antoinette Mary Parnabas <sup>3</sup>     | 32        |
| 6   | <b>The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Track and Field Athletes</b> | <b>Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>,<br/>Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>,<br/>Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup></b> | <b>40</b> |
| 7   | The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance among Swimmers                        | Vincent Parnabas <sup>1</sup> ,<br>Julinamary Parnabas <sup>2</sup> ,<br>Antoinette Mary Parnabas <sup>3</sup>     | 48        |

|           |   |  |           |
|-----------|---|--|-----------|
| <b>8</b>  | <b>The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Taekwondo Athletes</b>     | <b>Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>,<br/>Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>,<br/>Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup></b> | <b>56</b> |
| 9         | The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Rowing Athletes                            | Vincent Parnabas <sup>1</sup> ,<br>Julinamary Parnabas <sup>2</sup> ,<br>Antoinette Mary Parnabas <sup>3</sup>     | 64        |
| <b>10</b> | <b>The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance on Running Athletes</b> | <b>Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>,<br/>Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>,<br/>Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup></b> | <b>72</b> |

### **Disclaimer**

The views expressed by the authors in their articles, reviews etc in this issue are their own. The Editor, Publisher and owner are not responsible for them. All disputes concerning the journal shall be settled in the court at Lunawada, Gujarat.

The present issue of the journal is edited & published by RED'SHINE Publication (A unit of RED'MAGIC Networks. Inc) at 86/Shardhdha, 88/Navamuvada, Lunawada, Gujarat-India, 389230

### **Copyright Notes**

© 2015; IJIP Authors; licensee IJIP. This is an Open Access Research distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



www.ijip.in

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Football Players

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT:

Sports psychologists have long believed that high levels of cognitive anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and The Psychological Performance Inventory which had been distributed during sport between universities competition. The sample consisted of 119 football players, including the national athletes (N=37), state athletes (N=23), district athletes (N=23) and university athletes (N= 36). The results showed that elite or national football athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety,  $F(3, 119) = 16.310, p < .01$ . The result also showed that the exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance among football players, ( $r = -0.73; p < 0.05$ ). Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

**Keywords:** *Cognitive, Sport Performance, Skill of players.*

### INTRODUCTION:

Anxiety, as a negative emotional, affect perceptions in sport competitions, where a large majority of athletes consider anxiety to be debilitating towards performance, which may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Many researches showed that winning in a competition depend on how an athlete can control their anxiety level (Humara, 2001). Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Jarvis, 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

<sup>1</sup>Sport Science and Recreation Faculty, University of MARA Technology (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru, Kampus Darulaman, Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia.

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Football Players

The cognitive is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention (Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). Contradictory, the somatic is the physiological element, which related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervous, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate, sweaty palms and butterflies in your stomach (Jarvis, 2002; Jones, 2000; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

Researchers have reported that over 50 of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). According to Hann (2000) high levels of anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. Therefore, it's very important to know the level of anxiety especially the cognitive anxiety in order to take all necessary preparation to reduce it.

Catastrophe Model well described the relationship between cognitive anxiety and sport performance (Cox, 2012; Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Ampofo-Boateng, 2009). According to this theory, an achievement of best sport performance results can obtain only when there is low level of cognitive anxiety. Once an athlete experience high level of cognitive anxiety as in a situation where an athlete is worrying and it combines with increase of arousal beyond and optimal level, there will be a quick or catastrophic decrease in performance. However, since there is lack research, there has been very poor research support for the catastrophe model (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

Recent investigation found that male and female athletes suffering stresses resulted pressure to win, excessive anxiety, frustration conflict, irritation and fear, which significantly affected their mental or emotional health (Humphrey, Yow & Bow 2000). Heavy playing schedules, competition for team places, the media and fans as well as the pressure to win trophies all play a part in players developing high stress and anxiety levels (Heather, 2010), especially the level of cognitive anxiety. However, since lack of research on cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances, sport psychologists still failed to determine the relationship among those variables. Moreover, most of the previous research, focused on elite athletes, while ignoring less successful athletes. This was confirmed by Krane (1995) that research on competitive anxiety mainly focused on elite athletes. The extant literature also shows that there is a limited research comparing on cognitive anxiety among football athletes of state, district and university level.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the levels of cognitive anxiety among football players of different skill. The present study aim to determine the level of cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances between football players of national, state, district and university level. In other words, this research sought to correlate the relationship between somatic anxiety and performance.

## **METHODS**

The participants of this study were recruited from sport between universities. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory–2 (CSAI-2) and 42-item The Psychological Performance Inventory, which had been distributed during sport between universities. The Psychological Performance Inventory assesses seven factors of performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

The sample consisted of 119 football players, including the national athletes (N=37), state athletes (N=23), district athletes (N=23) and university athletes (N= 36).

## **RESULT**

### **Respondents' Profile**

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 119 football players. The age of male respondents varied from 18 to 23 years, where the mean age was 21.31 years old.

The variable "rank which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 37 respondents had participated at national, whilst 23 respondents participate at state, 23 had participated at district and 36 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=90) and Diploma (n=29) programmes.

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Football Players

Table 1: Respondents' Profile (n=119)

| Variables                           | Frequency | Percentage | Mean  | SD   |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| <b>Athletes according to Skills</b> |           |            |       |      |
| National                            | 37        | 31.09      |       |      |
| State                               | 23        | 19.33      |       |      |
| District                            | 23        | 19.33      |       |      |
| University                          | 36        | 30.25      |       |      |
| <b>Programme</b>                    |           |            |       |      |
| Diploma                             | 29        | 24.37      |       |      |
| Degree                              | 90        | 75.63      |       |      |
| <b>Age</b>                          |           |            |       |      |
| Male                                |           |            | 21.31 | 2.17 |

### Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .81 to .83 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

| Questionnaire      | Cronbach's Alpha (n=119) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Cognitive Anxiety  | .8349                    |
| Sports Performance | .8132                    |

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

Table 3 shows the mean scores for the cognitive anxiety among football athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 119) = 16.310, p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was lower than those in other categories.

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Football Players

Table 3: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Football Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 12.3107 | 16.310** | 0.000   |
| State              | 14.4510 |          |         |
| District           | 17.2301 |          |         |
| University         | 21.5410 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 4) showed that the level of cognitive anxiety of university were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and national (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district were higher than state (p=.05) and national (p=.05), but lower than university level athletes (p=.05). In addition, the level of cognitive anxiety of state were higher than national (p=0.05), but lower than district (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Lastly, the level of cognitive anxiety of national were lower than state (p=.05), district (p=.05) and university level athletes (p=.05).

Table 4: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Football Players

| Skill of Athletes | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.2210) | * (1.7320) | * (2.5641) | 37 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 23 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 23 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 36 |

\*p=.05

### Level of Sport Performance

Table 5 shows the mean scores for the sport performance among the football athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 119) = 20.319$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was higher than those in other categories.

Table 5: Level of Sport Performance among Football Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 25.3107 | 20.319** | 0.000   |
| State              | 23.5421 |          |         |
| District           | 18.3107 |          |         |
| University         | 15.5107 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 6) showed that the level of sport performance of national were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the



## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Football Players

level of sport performance state football players were higher than district ( $p=.05$ ) and university ( $p=.05$ ), but lower than national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ). In addition, the level of sport performance of district were higher than university ( $p=0.05$ ), but lower than national ( $p=.05$ ) and state ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of sport performance of university were lower than state ( $p=.05$ ), district ( $p=.05$ ) and national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ).

Table 6: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Sport Performance among Football Players

| Skill Athletes of | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.3099) | * (1.4128) | * (2.1109) | 37 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 23 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 23 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 36 |

\* $p=.05$

### Correlation of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The correlation coefficient of  $-0.73$  was noted between the level of cognitive anxiety and sport performance in the evaluation of 119 football players, which is significant ( $P < .05$ ). In other words, the negative relationship existing between these variables is statistically significant (Table 7). Negative correlation indicates that either variables increase or decrease contradictory.

Table 7: The Relationship between the Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

| Subject                        | Sport Performance       |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| The Level of Cognitive Anxiety | $-0.73^{**}$<br>(0.000) |

\* \*  $p=.05$

## DISCUSSION

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

The result showed that football players of university level exhibited higher cognitive anxiety level than those in state and district categories, whereas national athletes showed the lowest level of cognitive anxiety. In Malaysia, no research involving the four categories of skills has been conducted so far, therefore this research has failed to compare these with the findings of previous research. However, according to Drive theory, the present of audience for low skilled athletes, during the sport competition could increase their cognitive anxiety. Cognitive anxiety is the extent to which an athlete worries or had negative thoughts, and the negative thoughts may include fear of failure, loss of self-esteem and self-confidence. It could lead to the poor performance of an athlete in competition. It may start before a competition in the form of pre-competitive anxiety that might affect performance throughout the competition. Elite athletes like national and state level, who have learned anxiety management skills, often respond to a greater degree to cognitive anxiety but return to their resting rate sooner than those athletes, who are not trained in anxiety management like district and university level. At the interview session with the football athletes it was found that most of the national athletes using coping strategies like positive self talk, thought stopping, relaxation techniques and imagery to reduce their cognitive anxiety level. In the other hand, most of the low skill athletes like district and university level

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Football Players

unaware and not practicing of these techniques. Therefore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district and university level athletes was very high.

### Level of Sport Performance

The result showed that national football athletes obtain the highest sport performance compared state, district and university skill athletes. The main reason national athletes perform better than other skill athletes because most of them use coping strategies to reduce their cognitive anxiety. High level of cognitive anxiety is the barrier for high performances in sport. The result showed that district and university skill football athletes experienced highest level of cognitive anxiety, therefore their sport performances has been drop. Many research proved that high level of cognitive anxiety has been the barrier to deteriorate performance in sport.

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The result revealed there exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance. It means the higher the level of cognitive anxiety experience by **football athletes**, the lower sport performance level. The relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance was explained best in Multidimensional Anxiety Theory. This theory explains that cognitive anxiety effect performance. The relationship between cognitive anxiety, where an athlete experiences worries, negative thoughts and fear of failure, will effect the performance (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

## CONCLUSION

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of cognitive anxiety, showed by different categories of football athletes. These differences were related to their level of skill. The results showed that elite or national football athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety than non-elite athletes. Low cognitive anxiety levels are very important in high sport performance. This study also showed that exist of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and performance. Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

Future research should identify the most prevalent sources of cognitive anxiety among different skill of football athletes. Initial evidence suggest among the sources of anxiety are fear of injury, presence of audience, past unpleasant experiences, fear of lose, negative evaluation, knowledge of the opposition team, uncertainty, playing at the opposition's place, high hope, and perceived sport events as very important. Seeking sources of cognitive anxiety should be a great value to reduce the level of anxiety. Furthermore, types of coping strategies can be used to reduce the level of cognitive anxiety among athletes much depend on the sources of anxiety.

## REFERENCES

- Ampofo-Boateng, K. 2009. *Understanding Sport Psychology*. Selangor, Malaysia: UPENA.
- Cox, R. H., 2012. *Sport Psychology: concepts and applications*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Football Players

- Heather B. 2010. Psychology: motivation, anxiety, confidence and goal setting. (<http://www.soccernh.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=z-jKZpam25k%3D&tabid=4766>).
- Humara, M. 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight* 1(2): *The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*
- Humphrey, J. H., Yow, D. A. & Bowden, W. W. (2000). *Stress in college athletics: Causes, consequences, coping*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Half-Court Press.
- Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help Guide* (p. 31-51). Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- Krane, V. 1995. *Anxiety and stress: reflection of the past and visions of the future*. Paper presented as the Dorothy Harris Young Scholar – Practitioner lecturer at the meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology. New Orleans, LA.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.
- Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987. U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist* 2, 105-130.
- Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport* (p. 93-111). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2011. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances among Handball Players

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT:

Sports psychologists have long believed that high levels of cognitive anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and The Psychological Performance Inventory which had been distributed during sport between universities competition. The sample consisted of 98 handball players, including the national athletes (N=33), state athletes (N=21), district athletes (N=25) and university athletes (N= 19). The results showed that elite or national handball athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety,  $F(3, 98) = 14.541, p < .01$ . The result also showed that the exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance among handball players, ( $r = -0.69; p < 0.05$ ). Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

**Keywords:** *Cognitive, Sport Performance, Skill of players.*

### INTRODUCTION:

Anxiety, as a negative emotional, affect perceptions in sport competitions, where a large majority of athletes consider anxiety to be debilitating towards performance, which may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Many researches showed that winning in a competition depend on how an athlete can control their anxiety level (Humara, 2001). Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Jarvis, 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). The cognitive is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention -

<sup>1</sup>Sport Science and Recreation Faculty, University of MARA Technology (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru, Kampus Darulaman, Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia.

## **The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances among Handball Players**

- (Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). Contradictory, the somatic is the physiological element, which related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervous, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate, sweaty palms and butterflies in your stomach (Jarvis, 2002; Jones, 2000; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

Researchers have reported that over 50 of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). According to Hann (2000) high levels of anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. Therefore, it's very important to know the level of anxiety especially the cognitive anxiety in order to take all necessary preparation to reduce it.

Catastrophe Model well described the relationship between cognitive anxiety and sport performance (Cox, 2012; Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Ampofo-Boateng, 2009). According to this theory, an achievement of best sport performance results can obtain only when there is low level of cognitive anxiety. Once an athlete experience high level of cognitive anxiety as in a situation where an athlete is worrying and it combines with increase of arousal beyond and optimal level, there will be a quick or catastrophic decrease in performance. However, since there is lack research, there has been very poor research support for the catastrophe model (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

Recent investigation found that male and female athletes suffering stresses resulted pressure to win, excessive anxiety, frustration conflict, irritation and fear, which significantly affected their mental or emotional health (Humphrey, Yow & Bow 2000). Heavy playing schedules, competition for team places, the media and fans as well as the pressure to win trophies all play a part in players developing high stress and anxiety levels (Heather, 2010), especially the level of cognitive anxiety. However, since lack of research on cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances, sport psychologists still failed to determine the relationship among those variables. Moreover, most of the previous research, focused on elite athletes, while ignoring less successful athletes. This was confirmed by Krane (1995) that research on competitive anxiety mainly focused on elite athletes. The extant literature also shows that there is a limited research comparing on cognitive anxiety among handball athletes of state, district and university level.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the levels of cognitive anxiety among handball players of different skill. The present study aim to determine the level of cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances between handball players of national, state, district and university level. In other words, this research sought to correlate the relationship between somatic anxiety and performance.

### **METHODS**

The participants of this study were recruited from sport between universities. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 (CSAI-2) and 42-item The Psychological Performance Inventory, which had been distributed during sport between universities. The Psychological Performance Inventory asses seven factor of

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances among Handball Players

performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

The sample consisted of 98 handball players, including the national athletes (N=33), state athletes (N=21), district athletes (N=25) and university athletes (N= 19).

### RESULT

#### Respondents' Profile

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 98 handball players. The overall mean age for these respondents was 21.21 years old. The age of male respondents varied from 18 to 23 years, where the mean age was 21.27 years old. The age of female players ranged from the minimum of 18 to the maximum of 23 years old. The mean age for female respondents was 19.33 years old.

The variable "rank which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 33 respondents had participated at national, whilst 21 respondents participate at state, 25 had participated at district and 19 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=45) and Diploma (n=53) programmes.

Table 1: Respondents' Profile (n=98)

| Variables                         | Frequency | Percentage | Mean  | SD   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| <b>Athletes according to rank</b> |           |            |       |      |
| National                          | 33        | 33.67      |       |      |
| State                             | 21        | 21.43      |       |      |
| District                          | 25        | 25.51      |       |      |
| University                        | 19        | 19.39      |       |      |
| <b>Programme</b>                  |           |            |       |      |
| Diploma                           | 45        | 45.92      |       |      |
| Degree                            | 53        | 54.08      |       |      |
| <b>Age</b>                        |           |            |       |      |
| Male                              |           |            | 21.27 | 2.31 |
| Female                            |           |            | 19.33 | 1.97 |
| Overall                           |           |            | 21.21 | 1.38 |

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances among Handball Players

### Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .83 to .86 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

| Questionnaire      | Cronbach's Alpha (n=98) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Cognitive Anxiety  | .8622                   |
| Sports Performance | .8317                   |

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

Table 3 shows the mean scores for the cognitive anxiety among handball athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 98) = 14.541, p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was lower than those in other categories.

Table 3: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Handball Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 11.8236 | 14.541** | 0.000   |
| State              | 14.3192 |          |         |
| District           | 16.7812 |          |         |
| University         | 20.3453 |          |         |

\*\*  $p = .01$

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 4) showed that the level of cognitive anxiety of university were higher than district ( $p = .05$ ), state ( $p = .05$ ) and national ( $p = .05$ ) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district were higher than state ( $p = .05$ ) and national ( $p = .05$ ), but lower than university level athletes ( $p = .05$ ). In addition, the level of cognitive anxiety of state were higher than national ( $p = 0.05$ ), but lower than district ( $p = .05$ ) and university ( $p = .05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of cognitive anxiety of national were lower than state ( $p = .05$ ), district ( $p = .05$ ) and university level athletes ( $p = .05$ ).

Table 4: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Handball Players

| Skill of Athletes | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.2210) | * (1.7342) | * (2.1102) | 33 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 21 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 25 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 19 |

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances among Handball Players

\*p=.05

### Level of Sport Performance

Table 5 shows the mean scores for the sport performance among the handball athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 98) = 20.640$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was higher than those in other categories.

Table 5: Level of Sport Performance among Handball Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 24.8901 | 20.640** | 0.000   |
| State              | 22.4892 |          |         |
| District           | 19.3342 |          |         |
| University         | 16.1439 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 6) showed that the level of sport performance of national were higher than district ( $p=.05$ ), state ( $p=.05$ ) and university ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of sport performance state handball players were higher than district ( $p=.05$ ) and university ( $p=.05$ ), but lower than national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ). In addition, the level of sport performance of district were higher than university ( $p=.05$ ), but lower than national ( $p=.05$ ) and state ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of sport performance of university were lower than state ( $p=.05$ ), district ( $p=.05$ ) and national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ).

Table 6: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Sport Performance among Handball Players

| Skill Athletes of | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.4760) | * (1.7820) | * (2.2409) | 33 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 21 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 25 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 19 |

\*p=.05

### Correlation of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The correlation coefficient of -0.69 was noted between the level of cognitive anxiety and sport performance in the evaluation of 98 handball players, which is significant ( $P < .05$ ). In other words, the negative relationship existing between these variables is statistically significant (Table 7). Negative correlation indicates that either variables increase or decrease contradictory.

Table 7: The Relationship between the Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

| Subject                        | Sport Performance  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| The Level of Cognitive Anxiety | -0.69**<br>(0.000) |

\*\* p=.05



### DISCUSSION

#### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

The result showed that handball players of university level exhibited higher cognitive anxiety level than those in state and district categories, whereas national athletes showed the lowest level of cognitive anxiety. In Malaysia, no research involving the four categories of skills has been conducted so far, therefore this research has failed to compare these with the findings of previous research. However, according to Drive theory, the present of audience for low skilled athletes, during the sport competition could increase their cognitive anxiety. Cognitive anxiety is the extent to which an athlete worries or had negative thoughts, and the negative thoughts may include fear of failure, loss of self-esteem and self-confidence. It could lead to the poor performance of an athlete in competition. It may start before a competition in the form of pre-competitive anxiety that might affect performance throughout the competition. Elite athletes like national and state level, who have learned anxiety management skills, often respond to a greater degree to cognitive anxiety but return to their resting rate sooner than those athletes, who are not trained in anxiety management like district and university level. At the interview session with the football athletes it was found that most of the national athletes using coping strategies like positive self talk, thought stopping, relaxation techniques and imagery to reduce their cognitive anxiety level. In the other hand, most of the low skill athletes like district and university level unaware and not practicing of these techniques. Therefore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district and university level athletes was very high.

#### Level of Sport Performance

The result showed that national handball athletes obtain the highest sport performance compared state, district and university skill athletes. The main reason national athletes perform better than other skill athletes because most of them use coping strategies to reduce their cognitive anxiety. High level of cognitive anxiety is the barrier for high performances in sport. The result showed that district and university skill handball athletes experienced highest level of cognitive anxiety, therefore their sport performances has been drop. Many research proved that high level of cognitive anxiety has been the barrier to deteriorate performance in sport.

#### Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The result revealed there exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance. It means the higher the level of cognitive anxiety experience by handball athletes, the lower sport performance level. The relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance was explained best in Multidimensional Anxiety Theory. This theory explains that cognitive anxiety effect performance. The relationship between cognitive anxiety, where an athlete experiences worries, negative thoughts and fear of failure, will effect the performance (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

### CONCLUSION

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of cognitive anxiety, showed by different categories of handball athletes. These differences were related to their level of skill. The results showed that elite or national handball athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety than non-elite athletes. Low cognitive anxiety levels are very important in high sport performance. This study also showed that exist of negative correlation

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances among Handball Players

between cognitive anxiety and performance. Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

Future research should identify the most prevalent sources of cognitive anxiety among different skill of handball athletes. Initial evidence suggest among the sources of anxiety are fear of injury, presence of audience, past unpleasant experiences, fear of lose, negative evaluation, knowledge of the opposition team, uncertainty, playing at the opposition's place, high hope, and perceived sport events as very important. Seeking sources of cognitive anxiety should be a great value to reduce the level of anxiety. Furthermore, types of coping strategies can be used to reduce the level of cognitive anxiety among athletes much depend on the sources of anxiety.

## REFERENCES

- Ampofo-Boateng, K. 2009. *Understanding Sport Psychology*. Selangor, Malaysia: UPENA.
- Cox, R. H., 2012. *Sport Psychology: concepts and applications*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Heather B. 2010. Psychology: motivation, anxiety, confidence and goal setting. (<http://www.soccernh.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=z-jKZpam25k%3D&tabid=4766>).
- Humara, M. 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight 1(2): The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*
- Humphrey, J. H., Yow, D. A. & Bowden, W. W. (2000). *Stress in college athletics: Causes, consequences, coping*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Half-Court Press.
- Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help Guide* (p. 31-51). Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- Krane, V. 1995. *Anxiety and stress: reflection of the past and visions of the future*. Paper presented as the Dorothy Harris Young Scholar – Practitioner lecturer at the meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology. New Orleans, LA.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.
- Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987. U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist 2*, 105-130.
- Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport* (p. 93-111). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2011. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

## The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances on Basketball

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT:

Sports psychologists have long believed that high levels of cognitive anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and The Psychological Performance Inventory which had been distributed during sport between universities competition. The sample consisted of 101 basketball players, including the national athletes (N=33), state athletes (N=30), district athletes (N=27) and university athletes (N= 11). The results showed that elite or national basketball athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety,  $F(3, 101) = 16.331, p < .01$ . The result also showed that the exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance among basketball players, ( $r = -0.70; p < 0.05$ ). Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

**Keywords:** *Cognitive, Sport Performance, Skill of players.*

### INTRODUCTION:

Anxiety, as a negative emotional, affect perceptions in sport competitions, where a large majority of athletes consider anxiety to be debilitating towards performance, which may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Many researches showed that winning in a competition depend on how an athlete can control their anxiety level (Humara, 2001). Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Jarvis, 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). ). The cognitive is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention-

<sup>1</sup>Sport Science and Recreation Faculty, University of MARA Technology (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru, Kampus Darulaman, Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia.  
- (Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

## **The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances on Basketball**

-(Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). Contradictory, the somatic is the physiological element, which related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervous, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate, sweaty palms and butterflies in your stomach (Jarvis, 2002; Jones, 2000; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

Researchers have reported that over 50 of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). According to Hann (2000) high levels of anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. Therefore, it's very important to know the level of anxiety especially the cognitive anxiety in order to take all necessary preparation to reduce it.

Catastrophe Model well described the relationship between cognitive anxiety and sport performance (Cox, 2012; Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Ampofo-Boateng, 2009). According to this theory, an achievement of best sport performance results can obtain only when there is low level of cognitive anxiety. Once an athlete experience high level of cognitive anxiety as in a situation where an athlete is worrying and it combines with increase of arousal beyond and optimal level, there will be a quick or catastrophic decrease in performance. However, since there is lack research, there has been very poor research support for the catastrophe model (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

Recent investigation found that male and female athletes suffering stresses resulted pressure to win, excessive anxiety, frustration conflict, irritation and fear, which significantly affected their mental or emotional health (Humphrey, Yow & Bow 2000). Heavy playing schedules, competition for team places, the media and fans as well as the pressure to win trophies all play a part in players developing high stress and anxiety levels (Heather, 2010), especially the level of cognitive anxiety. However, since lack of research on cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances, sport psychologists still failed to determine the relationship among those variables. Moreover, most of the previous research, focused on elite athletes, while ignoring less successful athletes. This was confirmed by Krane (1995) that research on competitive anxiety mainly focused on elite athletes. The extant literature also shows that there is a limited research comparing on cognitive anxiety among basketball athletes of state, district and university level.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the levels of cognitive anxiety among basketball players of different skill. The present study aim to determine the level of cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances between basketball players of national, state, district and university level. In other words, this research sought to correlate the relationship between somatic anxiety and performance.

## **METHODS**

The participants of this study were recruited from sport between universities. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory–2 (CSAI-2) and 42-item The Psychological Performance Inventory, which had been distributed during sport between universities. The Psychological Performance Inventory assesses seven factors of performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

The sample consisted of 101 basketball players, including the national athletes (N=33), state athletes (N=30), district athletes (N=27) and university athletes (N= 11).

## **RESULT**

### **Respondents' Profile**

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 101 basketball players. The overall mean age for these respondents was 22.22 years old. The age of male respondents varied from 19 to 25 years, where the mean age was 23.45 years old. The age of female players ranged from the minimum of 19 to the maximum of 24 years old. The mean age for female respondents was 21.41 years old.

The variable "rank" which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 33 respondents had participated at national, whilst 30 respondents participate at state, 27 had participated at district and 11 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=51) and Diploma (n=50) programmes.

## The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances on Basketball

Table 1: Respondents' Profile (n=101)

| Variables                         | Frequency | Percentage | Mean  | SD   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| <b>Athletes according to rank</b> |           |            |       |      |
| National                          | 33        | 32.67      |       |      |
| State                             | 30        | 29.70      |       |      |
| District                          | 27        | 26.73      |       |      |
| University                        | 11        | 10.90      |       |      |
| <b>Programme</b>                  |           |            |       |      |
| Diploma                           | 51        | 50.49      |       |      |
| Degree                            | 50        | 49.51      |       |      |
| <b>Age</b>                        |           |            |       |      |
| Male                              |           |            | 23.45 | 1.77 |
| Female                            |           |            | 21.41 | 2.01 |
| Overall                           |           |            | 22.22 | 1.53 |

### Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .84 to .87 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

| Questionnaire      | Cronbach's Alpha (n=101) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Cognitive Anxiety  | .8731                    |
| Sports Performance | .8422                    |

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

Table 3 shows the mean scores for the cognitive anxiety among basketball athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 101) = 16.331, p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was lower than those in other categories.

## The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances on Basketball

Table 3: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among **Basketball Players**

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 12.0012 | 16.331** | 0.000   |
| State              | 14.0001 |          |         |
| District           | 18.4571 |          |         |
| University         | 21.1472 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 4) showed that the level of cognitive anxiety of university were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and national (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district were higher than state (p=.05) and national (p=.05), but lower than university level athletes (p=.05). In addition, the level of cognitive anxiety of state were higher than national (p=0.05), but lower than district (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Lastly, the level of cognitive anxiety of national were lower than state (p=.05), district (p=.05) and university level athletes (p=.05).

Table 4: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Basketball Players

| Skill of Athletes | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.1409) | * (1.6324) | * (2.0024) | 33 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 30 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 27 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 11 |

\*p=.05

### Level of Sport Performance

Table 5 shows the mean scores for the sport performance among the basketball athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 101) = 21.113$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was higher than those in other categories.

Table 5: Level of Sport Performance among Basketball Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 27.3401 | 21.113** | 0.000   |
| State              | 24.0042 |          |         |
| District           | 21.7012 |          |         |
| University         | 17.4124 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 6) showed that the level of sport performance of national were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of sport performance state basketball players were higher than district (p=.05) and

## The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances on Basketball

university ( $p=.05$ ), but lower than national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ). In addition, the level of sport performance of district were higher than university ( $p=0.05$ ), but lower than national ( $p=.05$ ) and state ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of sport performance of university were lower than state ( $p=.05$ ), district ( $p=.05$ ) and national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ).

Table 6: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Sport Performance among Basketball Players

| Skill Athletes of | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.3321) | * (1.6409) | * (2.1579) | 33 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 30 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 27 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 11 |

\* $p=.05$

### Correlation of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The correlation coefficient of  $-0.70$  was noted between the level of cognitive anxiety and sport performance in the evaluation of 101 basketball players, which is significant ( $P < .05$ ). In other words, the negative relationship existing between these variables is statistically significant (Table 7). Negative correlation indicates that either variables increase or decrease contradictory.

Table 7: The Relationship between the Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

| Subject                        | Sport Performance       |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| The Level of Cognitive Anxiety | $-0.70^{**}$<br>(0.000) |

\* \*  $p=.05$

## DISCUSSION

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

The result showed that basketball players of university level exhibited higher cognitive anxiety level than those in state and district categories, whereas national athletes showed the lowest level of cognitive anxiety. In Malaysia, no research involving the four categories of skills has been conducted so far, therefore this research has failed to compare these with the findings of previous research. However, according to Drive theory, the present of audience for low skilled athletes, during the sport competition could increase their cognitive anxiety. Cognitive anxiety is the extent to which an athlete worries or had negative thoughts, and the negative thoughts may include fear of failure, loss of self-esteem and self-confidence. It could lead to the poor performance of an athlete in competition. It may start before a competition in the form of pre-competitive anxiety that might affect performance throughout the competition. Elite athletes like national and state level, who have learned anxiety management skills, often respond to a greater degree to cognitive anxiety but return to their resting rate sooner than those athletes, who are not trained in anxiety management like district and university level. At the interview session with the football athletes it was found that most of the national athletes using coping strategies like positive self talk, thought stopping, relaxation techniques and imagery to reduce their cognitive anxiety level. In the other hand, most of the low skill athletes like district and university level unaware and not practicing of these techniques. Therefore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district and university level athletes was very high.



### Level of Sport Performance

The result showed that national basketball athletes obtain the highest sport performance compared state, district and university skill athletes. The main reason national athletes perform better than other skill athletes because most of them use coping strategies to reduce their cognitive anxiety. High level of cognitive anxiety is the barrier for high performances in sport. The result showed that district and university skill basketball athletes experienced highest level of cognitive anxiety, therefore their sport performances has been drop. Many research proved that high level of cognitive anxiety has been the barrier to deteriorate performance in sport.

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The result revealed there exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance. It means the higher the level of cognitive anxiety experience by basketball athletes, the lower sport performance level. The relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance was explained best in Multidimensional Anxiety Theory. This theory explains that cognitive anxiety effect performance. The relationship between cognitive anxiety, where an athlete experiences worries, negative thoughts and fear of failure, will effect the performance (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

### CONCLUSION

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of cognitive anxiety, showed by different categories of basketball athletes. These differences were related to their level of skill. The results showed that elite or national basketball athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety than non-elite athletes. Low cognitive anxiety levels are very important in high sport performance. This study also showed that exist of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and performance. Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

Future research should identify the most prevalent sources of cognitive anxiety among different skill of basketball athletes. Initial evidence suggest among the sources of anxiety are fear of injury, presence of audience, past unpleasant experiences, fear of lose, negative evaluation, knowledge of the opposition team, uncertainty, playing at the opposition's place, high hope, and perceived sport events as very important. Seeking sources of cognitive anxiety should be a great value to reduce the level of anxiety. Furthermore, types of coping strategies can be used to reduce the level of cognitive anxiety among athletes much depend on the sources of anxiety.

### REFERENCES

- Ampofo-Boateng, K. 2009. *Understanding Sport Psychology*. Selangor, Malaysia: UPENA.
- Cox, R. H., 2012. *Sport Psychology: concepts and applications*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Heather B. 2010. Psychology: motivation, anxiety, confidence and goal setting. (<http://www.soccernh.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=z-jKZpam25k%3D&tabid=4766>).

## The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performances on Basketball

- Humara, M. 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight 1(2): The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*
- Humphrey, J. H., Yow, D. A. & Bowden, W. W. (2000). *Stress in college athletics: Causes, consequences, coping*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Half-Court Press.
- Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help Guide* (p. 31-51). Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- Krane, V. 1995. *Anxiety and stress: reflection of the past and visions of the future*. Paper presented as the Dorothy Harris Young Scholar – Practitioner lecturer at the meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology. New Orleans, LA.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.
- Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987. U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist 2*, 105-130.
- Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport* (p. 93-111). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2011. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

## The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Hockey Players

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT:

Sports psychologists have long believed that high levels of cognitive anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and The Psychological Performance Inventory which had been distributed during sport between universities competition. The sample consisted of 67 hockey players, including the national athletes (N=24), state athletes (N=15), district athletes (N=15) and university athletes (N= 13). The results showed that elite or national hockey athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety,  $F(3, 67) = 15.217, p < .01$ . The result also showed that the exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance among hockey players, ( $r = -0.75; p < 0.05$ ). Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

**Keywords:** *Cognitive, Sport Performance, Skill of players.*

### INTRODUCTION:

Anxiety, as a negative emotional, affect perceptions in sport competitions, where a large majority of athletes consider anxiety to be debilitating towards performance, which may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Many researches showed that winning in a competition depend on how an athlete can control their anxiety level (Humara, 2001). Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Jarvis, 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). The cognitive is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention (Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

<sup>1</sup>Sport Science and Recreation Faculty, University of MARA Technology (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru, Kampus Darulaman, Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia.

## **The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Hockey Players**

Contradictory, the somatic is the physiological element, which related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervous, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate, sweaty palms and butterflies in your stomach (Jarvis, 2002; Jones, 2000; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

Researchers have reported that over 50 of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). According to Hann (2000) high levels of anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. Therefore, it's very important to know the level of anxiety especially the cognitive anxiety in order to take all necessary preparation to reduce it.

Catastrophe Model well described the relationship between cognitive anxiety and sport performance (Cox, 2012; Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Ampofo-Boateng, 2009). According to this theory, an achievement of best sport performance results can obtain only when there is low level of cognitive anxiety. Once an athlete experience high level of cognitive anxiety as in a situation where an athlete is worrying and it combines with increase of arousal beyond and optimal level, there will be a quick or catastrophic decrease in performance. However, since there is lack research, there has been very poor research support for the catastrophe model (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

Recent investigation found that male and female athletes suffering stresses resulted pressure to win, excessive anxiety, frustration conflict, irritation and fear, which significantly affected their mental or emotional health (Humphrey, Yow & Bow 2000). Heavy playing schedules, competition for team places, the media and fans as well as the pressure to win trophies all play a part in players developing high stress and anxiety levels (Heather, 2010), especially the level of cognitive anxiety. However, since lack of research on cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances, sport psychologists still failed to determine the relationship among those variables. Moreover, most of the previous research, focused on elite athletes, while ignoring less successful athletes. This was confirmed by Krane (1995) that research on competitive anxiety mainly focused on elite athletes. The extant literature also shows that there is a limited research comparing on cognitive anxiety among hockey athletes of state, district and university level.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the levels of cognitive anxiety among hockey players of different skill. The present study aim to determine the level of cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances between hockey players of national, state, district and university level. In other words, this research sought to correlate the relationship between somatic anxiety and performance.

## **METHODS**

The participants of this study were recruited from sport between universities. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory–2 (CSAI-2) and 42-item The Psychological Performance Inventory, which had been distributed during sport between universities. The Psychological Performance Inventory assesses seven factors of performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

The sample consisted of 67 hockey players, including the national athletes (N=24), state athletes (N=15), district athletes (N=15) and university athletes (N= 13).

## **RESULT**

### **Respondents' Profile**

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 67 hockey players. The overall mean age for these respondents was 21.71 years old. The age of male respondents varied from 19 to 25 years, where the mean age was 22.21 years old. The age of female players ranged from the minimum of 19 to the maximum of 24 years old. The mean age for female respondents was 21.47 years old.

The variable "rank" which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 24 respondents had participated at national, whilst 15 respondents participate at state, 15 had participated at district and 13 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=37) and Diploma (n=30) programmes.

## The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Hockey Players

Table 1: Respondents' Profile (n=67)

| Variables                         | Frequency | Percentage | Mean  | SD   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| <b>Athletes according to rank</b> |           |            |       |      |
| National                          | 24        | 35.82      |       |      |
| State                             | 15        | 22.39      |       |      |
| District                          | 15        | 22.39      |       |      |
| University                        | 13        | 19.40      |       |      |
| <b>Programme</b>                  |           |            |       |      |
| Diploma                           | 37        | 55.22      |       |      |
| Degree                            | 30        | 44.78      |       |      |
| <b>Age</b>                        |           |            |       |      |
| Male                              |           |            | 22.21 | 2.31 |
| Female                            |           |            | 21.47 | 1.17 |
| Overall                           |           |            | 21.71 | 1.59 |

### Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .85 to .86 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

| Questionnaire      | Cronbach's Alpha (n=67) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Cognitive Anxiety  | .8517                   |
| Sports Performance | .8687                   |

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

Table 3 shows the mean scores for the cognitive anxiety among hockey athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 67) = 15.217, p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was lower than those in other categories.

## The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Hockey Players

Table 3: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Hockey Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 13.9187 | 15.217** | 0.000   |
| State              | 15.4782 |          |         |
| District           | 17.8127 |          |         |
| University         | 19.4401 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 4) showed that the level of cognitive anxiety of university were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and national (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district were higher than state (p=.05) and national (p=.05), but lower than university level athletes (p=.05). In addition, the level of cognitive anxiety of state were higher than national (p=0.05), but lower than district (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Lastly, the level of cognitive anxiety of national were lower than state (p=.05), district (p=.05) and university level athletes (p=.05).

Table 4: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Hockey Players

| Skill of Athletes | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.1789) | * (1.5170) | * (1.9044) | 24 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 15 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 15 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 13 |

\*p=.05

### Level of Sport Performance

Table 5 shows the mean scores for the sport performance among the hockey athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 67) = 19.557$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was higher than those in other categories.

Table 5: Level of Sport Performance among Hockey Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 23.4481 | 19.557** | 0.000   |
| State              | 21.3320 |          |         |
| District           | 18.3200 |          |         |
| University         | 16.6781 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 6) showed that the level of sport performance of national were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of sport performance state hockey players were higher than district (p=.05) and university

## The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Hockey Players

( $p=.05$ ), but lower than national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ). In addition, the level of sport performance of district were higher than university ( $p=0.05$ ), but lower than national ( $p=.05$ ) and state ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of sport performance of university were lower than state ( $p=.05$ ), district ( $p=.05$ ) and national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ).

Table 6: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Sport Performance among Hockey Players

| Skill Athletes of | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.2341) | * (1.7681) | * (1.8902) | 24 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 15 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 15 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 13 |

\* $p=.05$

### Correlation of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The correlation coefficient of  $-0.75$  was noted between the level of cognitive anxiety and sport performance in the evaluation of 67 hockey players, which is significant ( $P < .05$ ). In other words, the negative relationship existing between these variables is statistically significant (Table 7). Negative correlation indicates that either variables increase or decrease contradictory.

Table 7: The Relationship between the Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

| Subject                        | Sport Performance       |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| The Level of Cognitive Anxiety | $-0.75^{**}$<br>(0.000) |

\* \*  $p=.05$

## DISCUSSION

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

The result showed that hockey players of university level exhibited higher cognitive anxiety level than those in state and district categories, whereas national athletes showed the lowest level of cognitive anxiety. In Malaysia, no research involving the four categories of skills has been conducted so far, therefore this research has failed to compare these with the findings of previous research. However, according to Drive theory, the present of audience for low skilled athletes, during the sport competition could increase their cognitive anxiety. Cognitive anxiety is the extent to which an athlete worries or had negative thoughts, and the negative thoughts may include fear of failure, loss of self-esteem and self-confidence. It could lead to the poor performance of an athlete in competition. It may start before a competition in the form of pre-competitive anxiety that might affect performance throughout the competition. Elite athletes like national and state level, who have learned anxiety management skills, often respond to a greater degree to cognitive anxiety but return to their resting rate sooner than those athletes, who are not trained in anxiety management like district and university level. At the interview session with the football athletes it was found that most of the national athletes using coping strategies like positive self talk, thought stopping, relaxation techniques and imagery to reduce their cognitive anxiety level. In the other hand, most of the low skill athletes like district and university level unaware and not practicing of these techniques. Therefore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district and university level athletes was very high.



### Level of Sport Performance

The result showed that national hockey athletes obtain the highest sport performance compared state, district and university skill athletes. The main reason national athletes perform better than other skill athletes because most of them use coping strategies to reduce their cognitive anxiety. High level of cognitive anxiety is the barrier for high performances in sport. The result showed that district and university skill hockey athletes experienced highest level of cognitive anxiety, therefore their sport performances has been drop. Many research proved that high level of cognitive anxiety has been the barrier to deteriorate performance in sport.

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The result revealed there exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance. It means the higher the level of cognitive anxiety experience by hockey athletes, the lower sport performance level. The relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance was explained best in Multidimensional Anxiety Theory. This theory explains that cognitive anxiety effect performance. The relationship between cognitive anxiety, where an athlete experiences worries, negative thoughts and fear of failure, will effect the performance (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

### CONCLUSION

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of cognitive anxiety, showed by different categories of hockey athletes. These differences were related to their level of skill. The results showed that elite or national hockey athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety than non-elite athletes. Low cognitive anxiety levels are very important in high sport performance. This study also showed that exist of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and performance. Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

Future research should identify the most prevalent sources of cognitive anxiety among different skill of hockey athletes. Initial evidence suggest among the sources of anxiety are fear of injury, presence of audience, past unpleasant experiences, fear of lose, negative evaluation, knowledge of the opposition team, uncertainty, playing at the opposition's place, high hope, and perceived sport events as very important. Seeking sources of cognitive anxiety should be a great value to reduce the level of anxiety. Furthermore, types of coping strategies can be used to reduce the level of cognitive anxiety among athletes much depend on the sources of anxiety.

### REFERENCES

- Ampofo-Boateng, K. 2009. *Understanding Sport Psychology*. Selangor, Malaysia: UPENA.
- Cox, R. H., 2012. *Sport Psychology: concepts and applications*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Heather B. 2010. Psychology: motivation, anxiety, confidence and goal setting. (<http://www.soccernh.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=z-jKZpam25k%3D&tabid=4766>).

## The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performances among Hockey Players

- Humara, M. 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight 1(2): The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*
- Humphrey, J. H., Yow, D. A. & Bowden, W. W. (2000). *Stress in college athletics: Causes, consequences, coping*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Half-Court Press.
- Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help Guide* (p. 31-51). Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- Krane, V. 1995. *Anxiety and stress: reflection of the past and visions of the future*. Paper presented as the Dorothy Harris Young Scholar – Practitioner lecturer at the meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology. New Orleans, LA.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.
- Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987. U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist 2*, 105-130.
- Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport* (p. 93-111). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2011. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

## The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Sepak Takraw Athletes

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT:

Sports psychologists have long believed that high levels of cognitive anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and The Psychological Performance Inventory which had been distributed during sport between universities competition. The sample consisted of 78 Sepak Takraw players, including the national athletes (N=25), state athletes (N=19), district athletes (N=20) and university athletes (N= 14). The results showed that elite or national Sepak Takraw athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety,  $F(3, 78) = 14.114, p < .01$ . The result also showed that the exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance among Sepak Takraw players, ( $r = -0.77; p < 0.05$ ). Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

**Keywords:** *Cognitive, Sport Performance, Skill of players.*

### INTRODUCTION:

Anxiety, as a negative emotional, affect perceptions in sport competitions, where a large majority of athletes consider anxiety to be debilitating towards performance, which may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Many researches showed that winning in a competition depend on how an athlete can control their anxiety level (Humara, 2001). Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Jarvis, 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). The cognitive is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention-

<sup>1</sup>Sport Science and Recreation Faculty, University of MARA Technology (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru, Kampus Darulaman, Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia.

## The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Sepak Takraw Athletes

-(Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). Contradictory, the somatic is the physiological element, which related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervous, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate, sweaty palms and butterflies in your stomach (Jarvis, 2002; Jones, 2000; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

Researchers have reported that over 50 of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). According to Hann (2000) high levels of anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. Therefore, it's very important to know the level of anxiety especially the cognitive anxiety in order to take all necessary preparation to reduce it.

Catastrophe Model well described the relationship between cognitive anxiety and sport performance (Cox, 2012; Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Ampofo-Boateng, 2009). According to this theory, an achievement of best sport performance results can obtain only when there is low level of cognitive anxiety. Once an athlete experience high level of cognitive anxiety as in a situation where an athlete is worrying and it combines with increase of arousal beyond and optimal level, there will be a quick or catastrophic decrease in performance. However, since there is lack research, there has been very poor research support for the catastrophe model (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

Recent investigation found that male and female athletes suffering stresses resulted pressure to win, excessive anxiety, frustration conflict, irritation and fear, which significantly affected their mental or emotional health (Humphrey, Yow & Bow 2000). Heavy playing schedules, competition for team places, the media and fans as well as the pressure to win trophies all play a part in players developing high stress and anxiety levels (Heather, 2010), especially the level of cognitive anxiety. However, since lack of research on cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances, sport psychologists still failed to determine the relationship among those variables. Moreover, most of the previous research, focused on elite athletes, while ignoring less successful athletes. This was confirmed by Krane (1995) that research on competitive anxiety mainly focused on elite athletes. The extant literature also shows that there is a limited research comparing on cognitive anxiety among Sepak Takraw athletes of state, district and university level.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the levels of cognitive anxiety among Sepak Takraw players of different skill. The present study aim to determine the level of cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances between Sepak Takraw players of national, state, district and university level. In other words, this research sought to correlate the relationship between somatic anxiety and performance.

## **METHODS**

The participants of this study were recruited from sport between universities. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 (CSAI-2) and 42-item The Psychological Performance Inventory, which had been distributed during sport between universities. The Psychological Performance Inventory asses seven factor of performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

The sample consisted of 78 Sepak Takraw players, including the national athletes (N=25), state athletes (N=19), district athletes (N=20) and university athletes (N= 14).

## **RESULT**

### **Respondents' Profile**

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 78 Sepak Takraw players. The age of male respondents varied from 18 to 25 years, where the mean age was 22.51 years old.

The variable "rank which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 25 respondents had participated at national, whilst 19 respondents participate at state, 20 had participated at district and 14 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=42) and Diploma (n=36) programmes.

## The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Sepak Takraw Athletes

Table 1: Respondents' Profile (n=78)

| Variables                         | Frequency | Percentage | Mean  | SD   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| <b>Athletes according to rank</b> |           |            |       |      |
| National                          | 25        | 32.05      |       |      |
| State                             | 19        | 24.36      |       |      |
| District                          | 20        | 25.64      |       |      |
| University                        | 14        | 17.95      |       |      |
| <b>Programme</b>                  |           |            |       |      |
| Diploma                           | 42        | 53.85      |       |      |
| Degree                            | 36        | 46.15      |       |      |
| <b>Age</b>                        |           |            |       |      |
| Male                              |           |            | 22.51 | 1.81 |

### Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .87 to .88 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

| Questionnaire      | Cronbach's Alpha (n=78) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Cognitive Anxiety  | .8701                   |
| Sports Performance | .8860                   |

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

Table 3 shows the mean scores for the cognitive anxiety among Sepak Takraw athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 78) = 14.114$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was lower than those in other categories.

Table 3: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Sepak Takraw Players

### The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Sepak Takraw Athletes

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 12.3317 | 14.114** | 0.000   |
| State              | 14.3704 |          |         |
| District           | 16.2100 |          |         |
| University         | 18.7701 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 4) showed that the level of cognitive anxiety of university were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and national (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district were higher than state (p=.05) and national (p=.05), but lower than university level athletes (p=.05). In addition, the level of cognitive anxiety of state were higher than national (p=0.05), but lower than district (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Lastly, the level of cognitive anxiety of national were lower than state (p=.05), district (p=.05) and university level athletes (p=.05).

Table 4: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Sepak Takraw Players

| Skill of Athletes | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.2133) | * (1.6781) | * (1.8321) | 25 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 19 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 20 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 14 |

\*p=.05

### Level of Sport Performance

Table 5 shows the mean scores for the sport performance among the Sepak Takraw athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 78) = 18.101$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was higher than those in other categories.

Table 5: Level of Sport Performance among Sepak Takraw Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 22.3481 | 18.101** | 0.000   |
| State              | 19.5672 |          |         |
| District           | 17.2413 |          |         |
| University         | 15.0034 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 6) showed that the level of sport performance of national were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of sport performance state Sepak Takraw players were higher than district (p=.05) and university (p=.05), but lower than national level athletes (p=.05). In addition, the level of sport performance of district were higher than university (p=0.05), but lower than national (p=.05) and

## The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Sepak Takraw Athletes

state ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of sport performance of university were lower than state ( $p=.05$ ), district ( $p=.05$ ) and national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ).

Table 6: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Sport Performance among Sepak Takraw Players

| Skill Athletes of | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.3421) | * (1.6783) | * (2.1108) | 25 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 19 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 20 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 14 |

\* $p=.05$

### Correlation of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The correlation coefficient of -0.77 was noted between the level of cognitive anxiety and sport performance in the evaluation of 67 Sepak Takraw players, which is significant ( $P < .05$ ). In other words, the negative relationship existing between these variables is statistically significant (Table 7). Negative correlation indicates that either variables increase or decrease contradictory.

Table 7: The Relationship between the Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

| Subject                        | Sport Performance  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| The Level of Cognitive Anxiety | -0.77**<br>(0.000) |

\* \*  $p=.05$

## DISCUSSION

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

The result showed that Sepak Takraw players of university level exhibited higher cognitive anxiety level than those in state and district categories, whereas national athletes showed the lowest level of cognitive anxiety. In Malaysia, no research involving the four categories of skills has been conducted so far, therefore this research has failed to compare these with the findings of previous research. However, according to Drive theory, the present of audience for low skilled athletes, during the sport competition could increase their cognitive anxiety. Cognitive anxiety is the extent to which an athlete worries or had negative thoughts, and the negative thoughts may include fear of failure, loss of self-esteem and self-confidence. It could lead to the poor performance of an athlete in competition. It may start before a competition in the form of pre-competitive anxiety that might affect performance throughout the competition. Elite athletes like national and state level, who have learned anxiety management skills, often respond to a greater degree to cognitive anxiety but return to their resting rate sooner than those athletes, who are not trained in anxiety management like district and university level. At the interview session with the football athletes it was found that most of the national athletes using coping strategies like positive self talk, thought stopping, relaxation techniques and imagery to reduce their cognitive anxiety level. In the other hand, most of the low skill athletes like district and university level unaware and not practicing of these techniques. Therefore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district and university level athletes was very high.



### Level of Sport Performance

The result showed that national Sepak Takraw athletes obtain the highest sport performance compared state, district and university skill athletes. The main reason national athletes perform better than other skill athletes because most of them use coping strategies to reduce their cognitive anxiety. High level of cognitive anxiety is the barrier for high performances in sport. The result showed that district and university skill Sepak Takraw athletes experienced highest level of cognitive anxiety, therefore their sport performances has been drop. Many research proved that high level of cognitive anxiety has been the barrier to deteriorate performance in sport.

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The result revealed there exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance. It means the higher the level of cognitive anxiety experience by Sepak Takraw athletes, the lower sport performance level. The relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance was explained best in Multidimensional Anxiety Theory. This theory explains that cognitive anxiety effect performance. The relationship between cognitive anxiety, where an athlete experiences worries, negative thoughts and fear of failure, will effect the performance (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

### CONCLUSION

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of cognitive anxiety, showed by different categories of Sepak Takraw athletes. These differences were related to their level of skill. The results showed that elite or national Sepak Takraw athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety than non-elite athletes. Low cognitive anxiety levels are very important in high sport performance. This study also showed that exist of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and performance. Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

Future research should identify the most prevalent sources of cognitive anxiety among different skill of Sepak Takraw athletes. Initial evidence suggest among the sources of anxiety are fear of injury, presence of audience, past unpleasant experiences, fear of lose, negative evaluation, knowledge of the opposition team, uncertainty, playing at the opposition's place, high hope, and perceived sport events as very important. Seeking sources of cognitive anxiety should be a great value to reduce the level of anxiety. Furthermore, types of coping strategies can be used to reduce the level of cognitive anxiety among athletes much depend on the sources of anxiety.

### REFERENCES

- Ampofo-Boateng, K. 2009. *Understanding Sport Psychology*. Selangor, Malaysia: UPENA.
- Cox, R. H., 2012. *Sport Psychology: concepts and applications*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Heather B. 2010. Psychology: motivation, anxiety, confidence and goal setting. (<http://www.soccernh.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=z-jkZpam25k%3D&tabid=4766>).

## The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Sepak Takraw Athletes

- Humara, M. 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight 1(2): The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*
- Humphrey, J. H., Yow, D. A. & Bowden, W. W. (2000). *Stress in college athletics: Causes, consequences, coping*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Half-Court Press.
- Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help Guide* (p. 31-51). Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- Krane, V. 1995. *Anxiety and stress: reflection of the past and visions of the future*. Paper presented as the Dorothy Harris Young Scholar – Practitioner lecturer at the meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology. New Orleans, LA.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.
- Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987. U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist 2*, 105-130.
- Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport* (p. 93-111). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2011. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Track and Field Athletes

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT:

Sports psychologists have long believed that high levels of cognitive anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and The Psychological Performance Inventory which had been distributed during sport between universities competition. The sample consisted of 113 Track and Field players, including the national athletes (N=37), state athletes (N=38), district athletes (N=25) and university athletes (N= 13). The results showed that elite or national Track and Field athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety,  $F(3, 113) = 15.001, p < .01$ . The result also showed that the exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance among Track and Field players, ( $r = -0.67; p < 0.05$ ). Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

**Keywords:** *Cognitive, Sport Performance, Skill of players.*

### INTRODUCTION:

Anxiety, as a negative emotional, affect perceptions in sport competitions, where a large majority of athletes consider anxiety to be debilitating towards performance, which may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Many researches showed that winning in a competition depend on how an athlete can control their anxiety level (Humara, 2001). Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Jarvis, 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). The cognitive is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention-

<sup>1</sup>Sport Science and Recreation Faculty, University of MARA Technology (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru, Kampus Darulaman, Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia.

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Track and Field Athletes

-(Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). Contradictory, the somatic is the physiological element, which related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervous, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate, sweaty palms and butterflies in your stomach (Jarvis, 2002; Jones, 2000; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

Researchers have reported that over 50 of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). According to Hann (2000) high levels of anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. Therefore, it's very important to know the level of anxiety especially the cognitive anxiety in order to take all necessary preparation to reduce it.

Catastrophe Model well described the relationship between cognitive anxiety and sport performance (Cox, 2012; Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Ampofo-Boateng, 2009). According to this theory, an achievement of best sport performance results can obtain only when there is low level of cognitive anxiety. Once an athlete experience high level of cognitive anxiety as in a situation where an athlete is worrying and it combines with increase of arousal beyond and optimal level, there will be a quick or catastrophic decrease in performance. However, since there is lack research, there has been very poor research support for the catastrophe model (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

Recent investigation found that male and female athletes suffering stresses resulted pressure to win, excessive anxiety, frustration conflict, irritation and fear, which significantly affected their mental or emotional health (Humphrey, Yow & Bow 2000). Heavy playing schedules, competition for team places, the media and fans as well as the pressure to win trophies all play a part in players developing high stress and anxiety levels (Heather, 2010), especially the level of cognitive anxiety. However, since lack of research on cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances, sport psychologists still failed to determine the relationship among those variables. Moreover, most of the previous research, focused on elite athletes, while ignoring less successful athletes. This was confirmed by Krane (1995) that research on competitive anxiety mainly focused on elite athletes. The extant literature also shows that there is a limited research comparing on cognitive anxiety among Track and Field athletes of state, district and university level.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the levels of cognitive anxiety among Track and Field players of different skill. The present study aim to determine the level of cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances between Track and Field players of national, state, district and university level. In other words, this research sought to correlate the relationship between somatic anxiety and performance.

## **METHODS**

The participants of this study were recruited from sport between universities. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory–2 (CSAI-2) and 42-item The Psychological Performance Inventory, which had been distributed during sport between universities. The Psychological Performance Inventory assesses seven factors of performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

The sample consisted of 113 Track and Field Athletes, including the national athletes (N=37), state athletes (N=38), district athletes (N=25) and university athletes (N= 13).

## **RESULT**

### **Respondents' Profile**

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 113 Track and Field Athletes. The overall mean age for these respondents was 21.45 years old. The age of male respondents varied from 18 to 27 years, where the mean age was 22.31 years old. The age of female players ranged from the minimum of 18 to the maximum of 26 years old. The mean age for female respondents was 21.18 years old.

The variable "rank which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 37 respondents had participated at national, whilst 38 respondents participate at state, 25 had participated at district and 13 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=88) and Diploma (n=25) programmes.

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Track and Field Athletes

Table 1: Respondents' Profile (n=113)

| Variables                         | Frequency | Percentage | Mean  | SD   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| <b>Athletes according to rank</b> |           |            |       |      |
| National                          | 37        | 32.74      |       |      |
| State                             | 38        | 33.63      |       |      |
| District                          | 25        | 22.12      |       |      |
| University                        | 13        | 11.51      |       |      |
| <b>Programme</b>                  |           |            |       |      |
| Diploma                           | 25        | 22.12      |       |      |
| Degree                            | 88        | 77.88      |       |      |
| <b>Age</b>                        |           |            |       |      |
| Male                              |           |            | 22.31 | 1.29 |
| Female                            |           |            | 21.18 | 1.70 |
| Overall                           |           |            | 21.45 | 1.55 |

### Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .81 to .83 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

| Questionnaire      | Cronbach's Alpha (n=113) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Cognitive Anxiety  | .8124                    |
| Sports Performance | .8331                    |

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

Table 3 shows the mean scores for the cognitive anxiety among Track and Field athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 113) = 15.001, p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was lower than those in other categories.

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Track and Field Athletes

Table 3: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Track and Field Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 11.4713 | 15.001** | 0.000   |
| State              | 13.3321 |          |         |
| District           | 16.4329 |          |         |
| University         | 20.2101 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 4) showed that the level of cognitive anxiety of university were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and national (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district were higher than state (p=.05) and national (p=.05), but lower than university level athletes (p=.05). In addition, the level of cognitive anxiety of state were higher than national (p=0.05), but lower than district (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Lastly, the level of cognitive anxiety of national were lower than state (p=.05), district (p=.05) and university level athletes (p=.05).

Table 4: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Track and Field Players

| Skill of Athletes | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.2237) | * (1.7103) | * (2.4762) | 37 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 38 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 25 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 13 |

\*p=.05

### Level of Sport Performance

Table 5 shows the mean scores for the sport performance among the Track and Field athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 113) = 17.491$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was higher than those in other categories.

Table 5: Level of Sport Performance among Track and Field Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 24.7798 | 17.491** | 0.000   |
| State              | 21.3701 |          |         |
| District           | 18.4231 |          |         |
| University         | 16.9033 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 6) showed that the level of sport performance of national were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of sport performance state Track and Field players were higher than district (p=.05) and

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Track and Field Athletes

university ( $p=.05$ ), but lower than national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ). In addition, the level of sport performance of district were higher than university ( $p=0.05$ ), but lower than national ( $p=.05$ ) and state ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of sport performance of university were lower than state ( $p=.05$ ), district ( $p=.05$ ) and national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ).

Table 6: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Sport Performance among Track and Field Players

| Skill Athletes of | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.3700) | * (1.7821) | * (1.9091) | 37 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 38 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 25 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 13 |

\* $p=.05$

### Correlation of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The correlation coefficient of  $-0.67$  was noted between the level of cognitive anxiety and sport performance in the evaluation of 113 Track and Field players, which is significant ( $P < .05$ ). In other words, the negative relationship existing between these variables is statistically significant (Table 7). Negative correlation indicates that either variables increase or decrease contradictory.

Table 7: The Relationship between the Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

| Subject                        | Sport Performance       |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| The Level of Cognitive Anxiety | $-0.67^{**}$<br>(0.000) |

\* \*  $p=.05$

## DISCUSSION

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

The result showed that Track and Field players of university level exhibited higher cognitive anxiety level than those in state and district categories, whereas national athletes showed the lowest level of cognitive anxiety. In Malaysia, no research involving the four categories of skills has been conducted so far, therefore this research has failed to compare these with the findings of previous research. However, according to Drive theory, the present of audience for low skilled athletes, during the sport competition could increase their cognitive anxiety. Cognitive anxiety is the extent to which an athlete worries or had negative thoughts, and the negative thoughts may include fear of failure, loss of self-esteem and self-confidence. It could lead to the poor performance of an athlete in competition. It may start before a competition in the form of pre-competitive anxiety that might affect performance throughout the competition. Elite athletes like national and state level, who have learned anxiety management skills, often respond to a greater degree to cognitive anxiety but return to their resting rate sooner than those athletes, who are not trained in anxiety management like district and university level. At the interview session with the football athletes it was found that most of the national athletes using coping strategies like positive self talk, thought stopping, relaxation techniques and imagery to reduce their cognitive anxiety level. In the other hand, most of the low skill athletes like district and university level unaware and not practicing of these techniques. Therefore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district and university level athletes was very high.



### Level of Sport Performance

The result showed that national Track and Field athletes obtain the highest sport performance compared state, district and university skill athletes. The main reason national athletes perform better than other skill athletes because most of them use coping strategies to reduce their cognitive anxiety. High level of cognitive anxiety is the barrier for high performances in sport. The result showed that district and university skill Track and Field athletes experienced highest level of cognitive anxiety, therefore their sport performances has been drop. Many research proved that high level of cognitive anxiety has been the barrier to deteriorate performance in sport.

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The result revealed there exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance. It means the higher the level of cognitive anxiety experience by **Track and Field athletes**, the lower sport performance level. The relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance was explained best in Multidimensional Anxiety Theory. This theory explains that cognitive anxiety effect performance. The relationship between cognitive anxiety, where an athlete experiences worries, negative thoughts and fear of failure, will effect the performance (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

### CONCLUSION

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of cognitive anxiety, showed by different categories of Track and Field athletes. These differences were related to their level of skill. The results showed that elite or national Track and Field athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety than non-elite athletes. Low cognitive anxiety levels are very important in high sport performance. This study also showed that exist of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and performance. Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

Future research should identify the most prevalent sources of cognitive anxiety among different skill of Track and Field athletes. Initial evidence suggest among the sources of anxiety are fear of injury, presence of audience, past unpleasant experiences, fear of lose, negative evaluation, knowledge of the opposition team, uncertainty, playing at the opposition's place, high hope, and perceived sport events as very important. Seeking sources of cognitive anxiety should be a great value to reduce the level of anxiety. Furthermore, types of coping strategies can be used to reduce the level of cognitive anxiety among athletes much depend on the sources of anxiety.

### REFERENCES

- Ampofo-Boateng, K. 2009. *Understanding Sport Psychology*. Selangor, Malaysia: UPENA.
- Cox, R. H., 2012. *Sport Psychology: concepts and applications*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Heather B. 2010. Psychology: motivation, anxiety, confidence and goal setting. (<http://www.soccernh.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=z-jkZpam25k%3D&tabid=4766>).

## The Effect of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Track and Field Athletes

- Humara, M. 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight* 1(2): *The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*
- Humphrey, J. H., Yow, D. A. & Bowden, W. W. (2000). *Stress in college athletics: Causes, consequences, coping*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Half-Court Press.
- Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help Guide* (p. 31-51). Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- Krane, V. 1995. *Anxiety and stress: reflection of the past and visions of the future*. Paper presented as the Dorothy Harris Young Scholar – Practitioner lecturer at the meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology. New Orleans, LA.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.
- Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987. U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist* 2, 105-130.
- Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport* (p. 93-111). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2011. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance among Swimmers

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT:

Sports psychologists have long believed that high levels of cognitive anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and The Psychological Performance Inventory which had been distributed during sport between universities competition. The sample consisted of 69 swimmers, including the national athletes (N=17), state athletes (N=20), district athletes (N=15) and university athletes (N= 17). The results showed that elite or national swimmers exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety,  $F(3, 69) = 15.110, p < .01$ . The result also showed that the exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance among swimmers, ( $r = -0.73; p < 0.05$ ). Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

**Keywords:** *Cognitive, Sport Performance, Skill of players.*

### INTRODUCTION:

Anxiety, as a negative emotional, affect perceptions in sport competitions, where a large majority of athletes consider anxiety to be debilitating towards performance, which may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Many researches showed that winning in a competition depend on how an athlete can control their anxiety level (Humara, 2001). Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Jarvis, 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). The cognitive is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention (Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

<sup>1</sup>Sport Science and Recreation Faculty, University of MARA Technology (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru, Kampus Darulaman, Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia.

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance among Swimmers

Contradictory, the somatic is the physiological element, which related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervous, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate, sweaty palms and butterflies in your stomach (Jarvis, 2002; Jones, 2000; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

Researchers have reported that over 50 of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). According to Hann (2000) high levels of anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. Therefore, it's very important to know the level of anxiety especially the cognitive anxiety in order to take all necessary preparation to reduce it.

Catastrophe Model well described the relationship between cognitive anxiety and sport performance (Cox, 2012; Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Ampofo-Boateng, 2009). According to this theory, an achievement of best sport performance results can obtain only when there is low level of cognitive anxiety. Once an athlete experience high level of cognitive anxiety as in a situation where an athlete is worrying and it combines with increase of arousal beyond and optimal level, there will be a quick or catastrophic decrease in performance. However, since there is lack research, there has been very poor research support for the catastrophe model (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

Recent investigation found that male and female athletes suffering stresses resulted pressure to win, excessive anxiety, frustration conflict, irritation and fear, which significantly affected their mental or emotional health (Humphrey, Yow & Bow 2000). Heavy playing schedules, competition for team places, the media and fans as well as the pressure to win trophies all play a part in players developing high stress and anxiety levels (Heather, 2010), especially the level of cognitive anxiety. However, since lack of research on cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances, sport psychologists still failed to determine the relationship among those variables. Moreover, most of the previous research, focused on elite athletes, while ignoring less successful athletes. This was confirmed by Krane (1995) that research on competitive anxiety mainly focused on elite athletes. The extant literature also shows that there is a limited research comparing on cognitive anxiety among swimmers of state, district and university level.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the levels of cognitive anxiety among swimmers of different skill. The present study aim to determine the level of cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances between swimmers of national, state, district and university level. In other words, this research sought to correlate the relationship between somatic anxiety and performance.

## **METHODS**

The participants of this study were recruited from sport between universities. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory–2 (CSAI-2) and 42-item The Psychological Performance Inventory, which had been distributed during sport between universities. The Psychological Performance Inventory assesses seven factors of performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

The sample consisted of 69 swimmers, including the national athletes (N=17), state athletes (N=20), district athletes (N=15) and university athletes (N= 17).

## **RESULT**

### **Respondents' Profile**

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 69 swimmers. The overall mean age for these respondents was 21.81 years old. The age of male respondents varied from 18 to 26 years, where the mean age was 22.95 years old. The age of female players ranged from the minimum of 18 to the maximum of 25 years old. The mean age for female respondents was 21.19 years old.

The variable "rank" which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 17 respondents had participated at national, whilst 20 respondents participate at state, 15 had participated at district and 17 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=54) and Diploma (n=15) programmes.

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance among Swimmers

Table 1: Respondents' Profile (n=69)

| Variables                         | Frequency | Percentage | Mean  | SD   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| <b>Athletes according to rank</b> |           |            |       |      |
| National                          | 17        | 24.64      |       |      |
| State                             | 20        | 28.98      |       |      |
| District                          | 15        | 21.74      |       |      |
| University                        | 17        | 24.64      |       |      |
| <b>Programme</b>                  |           |            |       |      |
| Diploma                           | 15        | 21.74      |       |      |
| Degree                            | 54        | 78.26      |       |      |
| <b>Age</b>                        |           |            |       |      |
| Male                              |           |            | 22.95 | 1.79 |
| Female                            |           |            | 21.19 | 1.98 |
| Overall                           |           |            | 21.81 | 1.49 |

### Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .85 to .86 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

| Questionnaire      | Cronbach's Alpha (n=69) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Cognitive Anxiety  | .8749                   |
| Sports Performance | .8610                   |

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

Table 3 shows the mean scores for the cognitive anxiety among swimmers of different skills,  $F(3, 69) = 15.110, p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was lower than those in other categories.

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance among Swimmers

Table 3: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Swimmers

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 11.3421 | 15.110** | 0.000   |
| State              | 13.4892 |          |         |
| District           | 16.3472 |          |         |
| University         | 19.0421 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 4) showed that the level of cognitive anxiety of university were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and national (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district were higher than state (p=.05) and national (p=.05), but lower than university level athletes (p=.05). In addition, the level of cognitive anxiety of state were higher than national (p=0.05), but lower than district (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Lastly, the level of cognitive anxiety of national were lower than state (p=.05), district (p=.05) and university level athletes (p=.05).

Table 4: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Swimmers

| Skill of Athletes | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.2104) | * (1.7853) | * (1.9332) | 17 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 20 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 15 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 17 |

\*p=.05

### Level of Sport Performance

Table 5 shows the mean scores for the sport performance among the swimmers of different skills,  $F(3, 69) = 20.708, p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was higher than those in other categories.

Table 5: Level of Sport Performance among Swimmers

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 23.8120 | 20.708** | 0.000   |
| State              | 20.0012 |          |         |
| District           | 17.8139 |          |         |
| University         | 14.1275 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 6) showed that the level of sport performance of national were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of sport performance state swimmers were higher than district (p=.05) and university (p=.05), but lower than national level athletes (p=.05). In addition, the level of sport performance

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance among Swimmers

of district were higher than university ( $p=0.05$ ), but lower than national ( $p=.05$ ) and state ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of sport performance of university were lower than state ( $p=.05$ ), district ( $p=.05$ ) and national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ).

Table 6: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Sport Performance among Swimmers

| Skill Athletes of | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.2675) | * (1.7834) | * (2.6781) | 17 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 20 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 15 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 17 |

\* $p=.05$

### Correlation of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The correlation coefficient of  $-0.73$  was noted between the level of cognitive anxiety and sport performance in the evaluation of 69 swimmers, which is significant ( $P < .05$ ). In other words, the negative relationship existing between these variables is statistically significant (Table 7). Negative correlation indicates that either variables increase or decrease contradictory.

Table 7: The Relationship between the Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

| Subject                        | Sport Performance       |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| The Level of Cognitive Anxiety | $-0.73^{**}$<br>(0.000) |

\* \*  $p=.05$

## DISCUSSION

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

The result showed that swimmers of university level exhibited higher cognitive anxiety level than those in state and district categories, whereas national athletes showed the lowest level of cognitive anxiety. In Malaysia, no research involving the four categories of skills has been conducted so far, therefore this research has failed to compare these with the findings of previous research. However, according to Drive theory, the present of audience for low skilled athletes, during the sport competition could increase their cognitive anxiety. Cognitive anxiety is the extent to which an athlete worries or had negative thoughts, and the negative thoughts may include fear of failure, loss of self-esteem and self-confidence. It could lead to the poor performance of an athlete in competition. It may start before a competition in the form of pre-competitive anxiety that might affect performance throughout the competition. Elite athletes like national and state level, who have learned anxiety management skills, often respond to a greater degree to cognitive anxiety but return to their resting rate sooner than those athletes, who are not trained in anxiety management like district and university level. At the interview session with the football athletes it was found that most of the national athletes using coping strategies like positive self talk, thought stopping, relaxation techniques and imagery to reduce their cognitive anxiety level. In the other hand, most of the low skill athletes like district and university level unaware and not practicing of these techniques. Therefore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district and university level athletes was very high.



### Level of Sport Performance

The result showed that national swimmers obtain the highest sport performance compared state, district and university skill athletes. The main reason national athletes perform better than other skill athletes because most of them use coping strategies to reduce their cognitive anxiety. High level of cognitive anxiety is the barrier for high performances in sport. The result showed that district and university skill swimmers experienced highest level of cognitive anxiety, therefore their sport performances has been drop. Many research proved that high level of cognitive anxiety has been the barrier to deteriorate performance in sport.

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The result revealed there exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance. It means the higher the level of cognitive anxiety experience by swimmers, the lower sport performance level. The relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance was explained best in Multidimensional Anxiety Theory. This theory explains that cognitive anxiety effect performance. The relationship between cognitive anxiety, where an athlete experiences worries, negative thoughts and fear of failure, will effect the performance (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

### CONCLUSION

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of cognitive anxiety, showed by different categories of swimmers. These differences were related to their level of skill. The results showed that elite or national swimmers exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety than non-elite athletes. Low cognitive anxiety levels are very important in high sport performance. This study also showed that exist of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and performance. Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

Future research should identify the most prevalent sources of cognitive anxiety among different skill of swimmers. Initial evidence suggest among the sources of anxiety are fear of injury, presence of audience, past unpleasant experiences, fear of lose, negative evaluation, knowledge of the opposition team, uncertainty, playing at the opposition's place, high hope, and perceived sport events as very important. Seeking sources of cognitive anxiety should be a great value to reduce the level of anxiety. Furthermore, types of coping strategies can be used to reduce the level of cognitive anxiety among athletes much depend on the sources of anxiety.

### REFERENCES

- Ampofo-Boateng, K. 2009. *Understanding Sport Psychology*. Selangor, Malaysia: UPENA.
- Cox, R. H., 2012. *Sport Psychology: concepts and applications*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Heather B. 2010. Psychology: motivation, anxiety, confidence and goal setting. (<http://www.soccernh.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=z-jKZpam25k%3D&tabid=4766>).

## The Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance among Swimmers

- Humara, M. 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight 1(2): The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*
- Humphrey, J. H., Yow, D. A. & Bowden, W. W. (2000). *Stress in college athletics: Causes, consequences, coping*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Half-Court Press.
- Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help Guide* (p. 31-51). Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- Krane, V. 1995. *Anxiety and stress: reflection of the past and visions of the future*. Paper presented as the Dorothy Harris Young Scholar – Practitioner lecturer at the meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology. New Orleans, LA.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.
- Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987. U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist 2*, 105-130.
- Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport* (p. 93-111). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2011. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

## The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Taekwondo Athletes

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT:

Sports psychologists have long believed that high levels of cognitive anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and The Psychological Performance Inventory which had been distributed during sport between universities competition. The sample consisted of 78 Taekwondo players, including the national athletes (N=22), state athletes (N=23), district athletes (N=18) and university athletes (N= 15). The results showed that elite or national Taekwondo athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety,  $F(3, 78) = 14.232, p < .01$ . The result also showed that the exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance among Taekwondo players, ( $r = -0.71; p < 0.05$ ). Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

**Keywords:** *Cognitive, Sport Performance, Skill of players.*

### INTRODUCTION:

Anxiety, as a negative emotional, affect perceptions in sport competitions, where a large majority of athletes consider anxiety to be debilitating towards performance, which may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Many researches showed that winning in a competition depend on how an athlete can control their anxiety level (Humara, 2001). Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Jarvis, 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). The cognitive is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention (Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

<sup>1</sup>Sport Science and Recreation Faculty, University of MARA Technology (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru, Kampus Darulaman, Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia.

## **The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Taekwondo Athletes**

Contradictory, the somatic is the physiological element, which related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervous, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate, sweaty palms and butterflies in your stomach (Jarvis, 2002; Jones, 2000; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

Researchers have reported that over 50 of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). According to Hann (2000) high levels of anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. Therefore, it's very important to know the level of anxiety especially the cognitive anxiety in order to take all necessary preparation to reduce it.

Catastrophe Model well described the relationship between cognitive anxiety and sport performance (Cox, 2012; Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Ampofo-Boateng, 2009). According to this theory, an achievement of best sport performance results can obtain only when there is low level of cognitive anxiety. Once an athlete experience high level of cognitive anxiety as in a situation where an athlete is worrying and it combines with increase of arousal beyond and optimal level, there will be a quick or catastrophic decrease in performance. However, since there is lack research, there has been very poor research support for the catastrophe model (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

Recent investigation found that male and female athletes suffering stresses resulted pressure to win, excessive anxiety, frustration conflict, irritation and fear, which significantly affected their mental or emotional health (Humphrey, Yow & Bow 2000). Heavy playing schedules, competition for team places, the media and fans as well as the pressure to win trophies all play a part in players developing high stress and anxiety levels (Heather, 2010), especially the level of cognitive anxiety. However, since lack of research on cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances, sport psychologists still failed to determine the relationship among those variables. Moreover, most of the previous research, focused on elite athletes, while ignoring less successful athletes. This was confirmed by Krane (1995) that research on competitive anxiety mainly focused on elite athletes. The extant literature also shows that there is a limited research comparing on cognitive anxiety among Taekwondo athletes of state, district and university level.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the levels of cognitive anxiety among Taekwondo players of different skill. The present study aim to determine the level of cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances between Taekwondo players of national, state, district and university level. In other words, this research sought to correlate the relationship between somatic anxiety and performance.

## **METHODS**

The participants of this study were recruited from sport between universities. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory–2 (CSAI-2) and 42-item The Psychological Performance Inventory, which had been distributed during sport between universities. The Psychological Performance Inventory assesses seven factors of performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

The sample consisted of 78 Taekwondo athletes, including the national athletes (N=22), state athletes (N=23), district athletes (N=18) and university athletes (N= 15).

## **RESULT**

### **Respondents' Profile**

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 78 Taekwondo athletes. The overall mean age for these respondents was 22.70 years old. The age of male respondents varied from 18 to 27 years, where the mean age was 23.47 years old. The age of female players ranged from the minimum of 18 to the maximum of 25 years old. The mean age for female respondents was 22.26 years old.

The variable "rank which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 22 respondents had participated at national, whilst 23 respondents participate at state, 18 had participated at district and 15 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=56) and Diploma (n=22) programmes.

## The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Taekwondo Athletes

Table 1: Respondents' Profile (n=78)

| Variables                         | Frequency | Percentage | Mean  | SD   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| <b>Athletes according to rank</b> |           |            |       |      |
| National                          | 22        | 28.20      |       |      |
| State                             | 23        | 29.49      |       |      |
| District                          | 18        | 23.08      |       |      |
| University                        | 15        | 19.23      |       |      |
| <b>Programme</b>                  |           |            |       |      |
| Diploma                           | 22        | 28.21      |       |      |
| Degree                            | 56        | 71.79      |       |      |
| <b>Age</b>                        |           |            |       |      |
| Male                              |           |            | 23.47 | 2.45 |
| Female                            |           |            | 22.26 | 2.00 |
| Overall                           |           |            | 22.70 | 1.89 |

### Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .83 to .85 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

| Questionnaire      | Cronbach's Alpha (n=78) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Cognitive Anxiety  | .8337                   |
| Sports Performance | .8544                   |

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

Table 3 shows the mean scores for the cognitive anxiety among Taekwondo athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 78) = 14.232, p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was lower than those in other categories.

Table 3: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Taekwondo Players

## The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Taekwondo Athletes

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 12.5174 | 14.232** | 0.000   |
| State              | 14.0027 |          |         |
| District           | 17.3402 |          |         |
| University         | 19.1142 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 4) showed that the level of cognitive anxiety of university were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and national (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district were higher than state (p=.05) and national (p=.05), but lower than university level athletes (p=.05). In addition, the level of cognitive anxiety of state were higher than national (p=0.05), but lower than district (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Lastly, the level of cognitive anxiety of national were lower than state (p=.05), district (p=.05) and university level athletes (p=.05).

Table 4: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Taekwondo Players

| Skill of Athletes | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.3408) | * (1.6712) | * (1.8907) | 22 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 23 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 18 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 15 |

\*p=.05

### Level of Sport Performance

Table 5 shows the mean scores for the sport performance among the Taekwondo athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 78) = 17.221$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was higher than those in other categories.

Table 5: Level of Sport Performance among Taekwondo Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 21.8120 | 17.221** | 0.000   |
| State              | 19.4191 |          |         |
| District           | 16.0372 |          |         |
| University         | 14.1104 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 6) showed that the level of sport performance of national were higher than district (p=.05), state (p=.05) and university (p=.05) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of sport performance state Taekwondo players were higher than district (p=.05) and university (p=.05), but lower than national level athletes (p=.05). In addition, the level of sport

## The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Taekwondo Athletes

performance of district were higher than university ( $p=0.05$ ), but lower than national ( $p=.05$ ) and state ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of sport performance of university were lower than state ( $p=.05$ ), district ( $p=.05$ ) and national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ).

Table 6: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Sport Performance among Taekwondo Players

| Skill Athletes of | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.2109) | * (1.7091) | * (1.8921) | 22 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 23 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 18 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 15 |

\* $p=.05$

### Correlation of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The correlation coefficient of  $-0.71$  was noted between the level of cognitive anxiety and sport performance in the evaluation of 78 Taekwondo players, which is significant ( $P < .05$ ). In other words, the negative relationship existing between these variables is statistically significant (Table 7). Negative correlation indicates that either variables increase or decrease contradictory.

Table 7: The Relationship between the Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

| Subject                        | Sport Performance       |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| The Level of Cognitive Anxiety | $-0.71^{**}$<br>(0.000) |

\* \*  $p=.05$

## DISCUSSION

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

The result showed that Taekwondo players of university level exhibited higher cognitive anxiety level than those in state and district categories, whereas national athletes showed the lowest level of cognitive anxiety. In Malaysia, no research involving the four categories of skills has been conducted so far, therefore this research has failed to compare these with the findings of previous research. However, according to Drive theory, the present of audience for low skilled athletes, during the sport competition could increase their cognitive anxiety. Cognitive anxiety is the extent to which an athlete worries or had negative thoughts, and the negative thoughts may include fear of failure, loss of self-esteem and self-confidence. It could lead to the poor performance of an athlete in competition. It may start before a competition in the form of pre-competitive anxiety that might affect performance throughout the competition. Elite athletes like national and state level, who have learned anxiety management skills, often respond to a greater degree to cognitive anxiety but return to their resting rate sooner than those athletes, who are not trained in anxiety management like district and university level. At the interview session with the football athletes it was found that most of the national athletes using coping strategies like positive self talk, thought stopping, relaxation techniques and imagery to reduce their cognitive anxiety level. In the other hand, most of the low skill athletes like district and university level unaware and not practicing of these techniques. Therefore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district and university level athletes was very high.



### **Level of Sport Performance**

The result showed that national Taekwondo athletes obtain the highest sport performance compared state, district and university skill athletes. The main reason national athletes perform better than other skill athletes because most of them use coping strategies to reduce their cognitive anxiety. High level of cognitive anxiety is the barrier for high performances in sport. The result showed that district and university skill Taekwondo athletes experienced highest level of cognitive anxiety, therefore their sport performances has been drop. Many research proved that high level of cognitive anxiety has been the barrier to deteriorate performance in sport.

### **Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance**

The result revealed there exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance. It means the higher the level of cognitive anxiety experience by Taekwondo athletes, the lower sport performance level. The relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance was explained best in Multidimensional Anxiety Theory. This theory explains that cognitive anxiety effect performance. The relationship between cognitive anxiety, where an athlete experiences worries, negative thoughts and fear of failure, will effect the performance (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

### **CONCLUSION**

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of cognitive anxiety, showed by different categories of Taekwondo athletes. These differences were related to their level of skill. The results showed that elite or national Taekwondo athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety than non-elite athletes. Low cognitive anxiety levels are very important in high sport performance. This study also showed that exist of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and performance. Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

Future research should identify the most prevalent sources of cognitive anxiety among different skill of Taekwondo athletes. Initial evidence suggest among the sources of anxiety are fear of injury, presence of audience, past unpleasant experiences, fear of lose, negative evaluation, knowledge of the opposition team, uncertainty, playing at the opposition's place, high hope, and perceived sport events as very important. Seeking sources of cognitive anxiety should be a great value to reduce the level of anxiety. Furthermore, types of coping strategies can be used to reduce the level of cognitive anxiety among athletes much depend on the sources of anxiety.

### **REFERENCES**

Ampofo-Boateng, K. 2009. *Understanding Sport Psychology*. Selangor, Malaysia: UPENA.

Cox, R. H., 2012. *Sport Psychology: concepts and applications*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.

Heather B. 2010. Psychology: motivation, anxiety, confidence and goal setting. (<http://www.soccernh.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=z-jKZpam25k%3D&tabid=4766>).

## The Influence of Cognitive Anxiety on Sport Performance among Taekwondo Athletes

- Humara, M. 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight* 1(2): *The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*
- Humphrey, J. H., Yow, D. A. & Bowden, W. W. (2000). *Stress in college athletics: Causes, consequences, coping*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Half-Court Press.
- Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help Guide* (p. 31-51). Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- Krane, V. 1995. *Anxiety and stress: reflection of the past and visions of the future*. Paper presented as the Dorothy Harris Young Scholar – Practitioner lecturer at the meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology. New Orleans, LA.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.
- Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987. U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist* 2, 105-130.
- Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport* (p. 93-111). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2011. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

## The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Rowing Athletes

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT:

Sports psychologists have long believed that high levels of cognitive anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory–2 and The Psychological Performance Inventory which had been distributed during sport between universities competition. The sample consisted of 77 Rowing players, including the national athletes (N=27), state athletes (N=17), district athletes (N=18) and university athletes (N= 15). The results showed that elite or national Rowing athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety,  $F(3, 77) = 13.771, p < .01$ . The result also showed that the exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance among Rowing players, ( $r = -0.75; p < 0.05$ ). Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

**Keywords:** *Cognitive, Sport Performance, Skill of players.*

### INTRODUCTION:

Anxiety, as a negative emotional, affect perceptions in sport competitions, where a large majority of athletes consider anxiety to be debilitating towards performance, which may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Many researches showed that winning in a competition depend on how an athlete can control their anxiety level (Humara, 2001). Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Jarvis, 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). The cognitive is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention (Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). Contradictory, the somatic is the physiological element, which related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervous, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate, sweaty palms and butterflies in your stomach (Jarvis, 2002; Jones, 2000; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

<sup>1</sup>Sport Science and Recreation Faculty, University of MARA Technology (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru, Kampus Darulaman, Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia.

## **The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Rowing Athletes**

Researchers have reported that over 50 of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). According to Hann (2000) high levels of anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. Therefore, it's very important to know the level of anxiety especially the cognitive anxiety in order to take all necessary preparation to reduce it.

Catastrophe Model well described the relationship between cognitive anxiety and sport performance (Cox, 2012; Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Ampofo-Boateng, 2009). According to this theory, an achievement of best sport performance results can obtain only when there is low level of cognitive anxiety. Once an athlete experience high level of cognitive anxiety as in a situation where an athlete is worrying and it combines with increase of arousal beyond and optimal level, there will be a quick or catastrophic decrease in performance. However, since there is lack research, there has been very poor research support for the catastrophe model (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

Recent investigation found that male and female athletes suffering stresses resulted pressure to win, excessive anxiety, frustration conflict, irritation and fear, which significantly affected their mental or emotional health (Humphrey, Yow & Bow 2000). Heavy playing schedules, competition for team places, the media and fans as well as the pressure to win trophies all play a part in players developing high stress and anxiety levels (Heather, 2010), especially the level of cognitive anxiety. However, since lack of research on cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances, sport psychologists still failed to determine the relationship among those variables. Moreover, most of the previous research, focused on elite athletes, while ignoring less successful athletes. This was confirmed by Krane (1995) that research on competitive anxiety mainly focused on elite athletes. The extant literature also shows that there is a limited research comparing on cognitive anxiety among Rowing athletes of state, district and university level.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the levels of cognitive anxiety among Rowing players of different skill. The present study aim to determine the level of cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances between Rowing players of national, state, district and university level. In other words, this research sought to correlate the relationship between somatic anxiety and performance.

### **METHODS**

The participants of this study were recruited from sport between universities. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory–2 (CSAI-2) and 42-item The Psychological Performance Inventory, which had been distributed during sport between universities. The Psychological Performance Inventory asses seven factor of performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

## The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Rowing Athletes

The sample consisted of 77 Rowing athletes, including the national athletes (N=27), state athletes (N=17), district athletes (N=18) and university athletes (N= 15).

### RESULT

#### Respondents' Profile

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 77 Rowing athletes. The overall mean age for these respondents was 22.49 years old. The age of male respondents varied from 18 to 25 years, where the mean age was 22.01 years old. The age of female players ranged from the minimum of 18 to the maximum of 24 years old. The mean age for female respondents was 22.49 years old.

The variable "rank which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 27 respondents had participated at national, whilst 17 respondents participate at state, 18 had participated at district and 15 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=46) and Diploma (n=31) programmes.

Table 1: Respondents' Profile (n=77)

| Variables                         | Frequency | Percentage | Mean  | SD   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| <b>Athletes according to rank</b> |           |            |       |      |
| National                          | 27        | 35.06      |       |      |
| State                             | 17        | 22.08      |       |      |
| District                          | 18        | 23.38      |       |      |
| University                        | 15        | 19.48      |       |      |
| <b>Programme</b>                  |           |            |       |      |
| Diploma                           | 31        | 40.26      |       |      |
| Degree                            | 46        | 59.74      |       |      |
| <b>Age</b>                        |           |            |       |      |
| Male                              |           |            | 22.01 | 1.29 |
| Female                            |           |            | 21.17 | 1.88 |
| Overall                           |           |            | 22.49 | 1.45 |

## The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Rowing Athletes

### Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .85 to .87 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

| Questionnaire      | Cronbach's Alpha (n=77) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Cognitive Anxiety  | .8529                   |
| Sports Performance | .8711                   |

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

Table 3 shows the mean scores for the cognitive anxiety among Rowing athletes of different skills,  $F(3, 77) = 13.771$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was lower than those in other categories.

Table 3: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Rowing Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 11.3104 | 13.771** | 0.000   |
| State              | 13.9734 |          |         |
| District           | 15.1249 |          |         |
| University         | 18.1142 |          |         |

\*\*  $p = .01$

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 4) showed that the level of cognitive anxiety of university were higher than district ( $p = .05$ ), state ( $p = .05$ ) and national ( $p = .05$ ) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district were higher than state ( $p = .05$ ) and national ( $p = .05$ ), but lower than university level athletes ( $p = .05$ ). In addition, the level of cognitive anxiety of state were higher than national ( $p = 0.05$ ), but lower than district ( $p = .05$ ) and university ( $p = .05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of cognitive anxiety of national were lower than state ( $p = .05$ ), district ( $p = .05$ ) and university level athletes ( $p = .05$ ).

## The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Rowing Athletes

Table 4: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Rowing Players

| Skill of Athletes | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.2109) | * (1.5400) | * (1.8176) | 27 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 17 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 18 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 15 |

\*p=.05

### Level of Sport Performance

Table 5 shows the mean scores for the sport performance among the **Rowing athletes** of different skills,  $F(3, 77) = 18.110$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was higher than those in other categories.

Table 5: Level of Sport Performance among Rowing Players

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 23.0975 | 18.110** | 0.000   |
| State              | 20.8917 |          |         |
| District           | 18.2138 |          |         |
| University         | 15.2363 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 6) showed that the level of sport performance of national were higher than district ( $p=.05$ ), state ( $p=.05$ ) and university ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of sport performance state Rowing players were higher than district ( $p=.05$ ) and university ( $p=.05$ ), but lower than national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ). In addition, the level of sport performance of district were higher than university ( $p=0.05$ ), but lower than national ( $p=.05$ ) and state ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of sport performance of university were lower than state ( $p=.05$ ), district ( $p=.05$ ) and national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ).

Table 6: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Sport Performance among Rowing Players

| Skill Athletes of | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.3131) | * (1.8201) | * (2.8312) | 27 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 17 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 18 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 15 |

\*p=.05

### Correlation of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The correlation coefficient of -0.75 was noted between the level of cognitive anxiety and sport performance in the evaluation of 77 Rowing players, which is significant ( $P < .05$ ). In other words, the negative relationship existing between these variables is statistically significant (Table 7). Negative correlation indicates that either variables increase or decrease contradictory.

Table 7: The Relationship between the Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

| Subject                        | Sport Performance  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| The Level of Cognitive Anxiety | -0.75**<br>(0.000) |

\* \*  $p=.05$

## DISCUSSION

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

The result showed that Rowing players of university level exhibited higher cognitive anxiety level than those in state and district categories, whereas national athletes showed the lowest level of cognitive anxiety. In Malaysia, no research involving the four categories of skills has been conducted so far, therefore this research has failed to compare these with the findings of previous research. However, according to Drive theory, the present of audience for low skilled athletes, during the sport competition could increase their cognitive anxiety. Cognitive anxiety is the extent to which an athlete worries or had negative thoughts, and the negative thoughts may include fear of failure, loss of self-esteem and self-confidence. It could lead to the poor performance of an athlete in competition. It may start before a competition in the form of pre-competitive anxiety that might affect performance throughout the competition. Elite athletes like national and state level, who have learned anxiety management skills, often respond to a greater degree to cognitive anxiety but return to their resting rate sooner than those athletes, who are not trained in anxiety management like district and university level. At the interview session with the football athletes it was found that most of the national athletes using coping strategies like positive self talk, thought stopping, relaxation techniques and imagery to reduce their cognitive anxiety level. In the other hand, most of the low skill athletes like district and university level unaware and not practicing of these techniques. Therefore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district and university level athletes was very high.

### Level of Sport Performance

The result showed that national Rowing athletes obtain the highest sport performance compared state, district and university skill athletes. The main reason national athletes perform better than other skill athletes because most of them use coping strategies to reduce their cognitive anxiety. High level of cognitive anxiety is the barrier for high performances in sport. The result showed that district and university skill Rowing athletes experienced highest level of cognitive anxiety, therefore their sport performances has been drop. Many research proved that high level of cognitive anxiety has been the barrier to deteriorate performance in sport.



### Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The result revealed there exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance. It means the higher the level of cognitive anxiety experience by Rowing athletes, the lower sport performance level. The relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance was explained best in Multidimensional Anxiety Theory. This theory explains that cognitive anxiety effect performance. The relationship between cognitive anxiety, where an athlete experiences worries, negative thoughts and fear of failure, will effect the performance (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

### CONCLUSION

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of cognitive anxiety, showed by different categories of Rowing athletes. These differences were related to their level of skill. The results showed that elite or national Rowing athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety than non-elite athletes. Low cognitive anxiety levels are very important in high sport performance. This study also showed that exist of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and performance. Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

Future research should identify the most prevalent sources of cognitive anxiety among different skill of **Rowing athletes**. Initial evidence suggest among the sources of anxiety are fear of injury, presence of audience, past unpleasant experiences, fear of lose, negative evaluation, knowledge of the opposition team, uncertainty, playing at the opposition's place, high hope, and perceived sport events as very important. Seeking sources of cognitive anxiety should be a great value to reduce the level of anxiety. Furthermore, types of coping strategies can be used to reduce the level of cognitive anxiety among athletes much depend on the sources of anxiety.

### REFERENCES

- Ampofo-Boateng, K. 2009. *Understanding Sport Psychology*. Selangor, Malaysia: UPENA.
- Cox, R. H., 2012. *Sport Psychology: concepts and applications*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Heather B. 2010. Psychology: motivation, anxiety, confidence and goal setting. (<http://www.soccernh.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=z-jkZpam25k%3D&tabid=4766>).
- Humara, M. 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight 1(2): The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*
- Humphrey, J. H., Yow, D. A. & Bowden, W. W. (2000). *Stress in college athletics: Causes, consequences, coping*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Half-Court Press.
- Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help Guide* (p. 31-51). Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- Krane, V. 1995. *Anxiety and stress: reflection of the past and visions of the future*. Paper presented as the Dorothy Harris Young Scholar – Practitioner lecturer at the meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology. New Orleans, LA.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.

### **The Deteriorate Function of Cognitive Anxiety on Rowing Athletes**

- Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987. U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist* 2, 105-130.
- Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport* (p. 93-111). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2011. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

## The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance on Running Athletes

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT:

Sports psychologists have long believed that high levels of cognitive anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and The Psychological Performance Inventory which had been distributed during sport between universities competition. The sample consisted of 107 runners, including the national athletes (N=33), state athletes (N=21), district athletes (N=35) and university athletes (N= 18). The results showed that elite or national Running athletes exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety,  $F(3, 77) = 15.247$ ,  $p < .01$ . The result also showed that the exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance among runners, ( $r = -0.72$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ). Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

**Keywords:** *Cognitive, Sport Performance, Skill of players.*

### INTRODUCTION:

Anxiety, as a negative emotional, affect perceptions in sport competitions, where a large majority of athletes consider anxiety to be debilitating towards performance, which may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Many researches showed that winning in a competition depend on how an athlete can control their anxiety level (Humara, 2001). Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Jarvis, 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990). The cognitive is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention (Jarvis 2002; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

<sup>1</sup>Sport Science and Recreation Faculty, University of MARA Technology (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru, Kampus Darulaman, Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia.

## **The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance on Running Athletes**

Contradictory, the somatic is the physiological element, which related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervous, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate, sweaty palms and butterflies in your stomach (Jarvis, 2002; Jones, 2000; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

Researchers have reported that over 50 of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). According to Hann (2000) high levels of anxiety during competition are harmful, worsening performance and even leading to dropout. Therefore, it's very important to know the level of anxiety especially the cognitive anxiety in order to take all necessary preparation to reduce it.

Catastrophe Model well described the relationship between cognitive anxiety and sport performance (Cox, 2012; Weinberg & Gould, 2011; Ampofo-Boateng, 2009). According to this theory, an achievement of best sport performance results can obtain only when there is low level of cognitive anxiety. Once an athlete experience high level of cognitive anxiety as in a situation where an athlete is worrying and it combines with increase of arousal beyond and optimal level, there will be a quick or catastrophic decrease in performance. However, since there is lack research, there has been very poor research support for the catastrophe model (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

Recent investigation found that male and female athletes suffering stresses resulted pressure to win, excessive anxiety, frustration conflict, irritation and fear, which significantly affected their mental or emotional health (Humphrey, Yow & Bow 2000). Heavy playing schedules, competition for team places, the media and fans as well as the pressure to win trophies all play a part in players developing high stress and anxiety levels (Heather, 2010), especially the level of cognitive anxiety. However, since lack of research on cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances, sport psychologists still failed to determine the relationship among those variables. Moreover, most of the previous research, focused on elite athletes, while ignoring less successful athletes. This was confirmed by Krane (1995) that research on competitive anxiety mainly focused on elite athletes. The extant literature also shows that there is a limited research comparing on cognitive anxiety among runners athletes of state, district and university level.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the levels of cognitive anxiety among Runners of different skill. The present study aim to determine the level of cognitive anxiety and its effect on performances between Runners of national, state, district and university level. In other words, this research sought to correlate the relationship between somatic anxiety and performance.

### **METHODS**

The participants of this study were recruited from sport between universities. The instrument used for the study comprised of a 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory–2 (CSAI-2) and 42-item The Psychological Performance Inventory, which had been distributed during sport between universities. The Psychological Performance Inventory asses seven factor of

## The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance on Running Athletes

performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

The sample consisted of 107 runners, including the national athletes (N=33), state athletes (N=21), district athletes (N=35) and university athletes (N= 18).

### RESULT

#### Respondents' Profile

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 107 Running athletes. The overall mean age for these respondents was 22.09 years old. The age of male respondents varied from 18 to 26 years, where the mean age was 23.79 years old. The age of female players ranged from the minimum of 18 to the maximum of 25 years old. The mean age for female respondents was 21.88 years old.

The variable "rank which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 33 respondents had participated at national, whilst 21 respondents participate at state, 35 had participated at district and 18 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=89) and Diploma (n=18) programmes.

Table 1: Respondents' Profile (n=107)

| Variables                         | Frequency | Percentage | Mean  | SD   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| <b>Athletes according to rank</b> |           |            |       |      |
| National                          | 33        | 30.84      |       |      |
| State                             | 21        | 19.63      |       |      |
| District                          | 35        | 32.71      |       |      |
| University                        | 18        | 16.82      |       |      |
| <b>Programme</b>                  |           |            |       |      |
| Diploma                           | 18        | 16.82      |       |      |
| Degree                            | 89        | 83.18      |       |      |
| <b>Age</b>                        |           |            |       |      |
| Male                              |           |            | 23.79 | 2.11 |
| Female                            |           |            | 21.88 | 1.71 |
| Overall                           |           |            | 22.09 | 1.87 |

## The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance on Running Athletes

### Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .86 to .88 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

| Questionnaire      | Cronbach's Alpha (n=107) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Cognitive Anxiety  | .8831                    |
| Sports Performance | .8679                    |

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

Table 3 shows the mean scores for the cognitive anxiety among runners of different skills,  $F(3, 107) = 15.247$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was lower than those in other categories.

Table 3: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Runners

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 12.4123 | 15.247** | 0.000   |
| State              | 14.7328 |          |         |
| District           | 17.3876 |          |         |
| University         | 21.4512 |          |         |

\*\*  $p < .01$

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 4) showed that the level of cognitive anxiety of university were higher than district ( $p = .05$ ), state ( $p = .05$ ) and national ( $p = .05$ ) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district were higher than state ( $p = .05$ ) and national ( $p = .05$ ), but lower than university level athletes ( $p = .05$ ). In addition, the level of cognitive anxiety of state were higher than national ( $p = .05$ ), but lower than district ( $p = .05$ ) and university ( $p = .05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of cognitive anxiety of national were lower than state ( $p = .05$ ), district ( $p = .05$ ) and university level athletes ( $p = .05$ ).

## The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance on Running Athletes

Table 4: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Cognitive Anxiety among Runners

| Skill of Athletes | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.3210) | * (1.6241) | * (2.4271) | 33 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 21 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 35 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 18 |

\*p=.05

### Level of Sport Performance

Table 5 shows the mean scores for the sport performance among the runners of different skills,  $F(3, 107) = 17.402$ ,  $p < .01$ . Apparently, significant differences emerged for the athletes having different skills at competition. Overall, the mean score obtained for the national athletes was higher than those in other categories.

Table 5: Level of Sport Performance among Runners

| Skills of Athletes | Mean    | F-Value  | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| National           | 21.5768 | 17.402** | 0.000   |
| State              | 18.7729 |          |         |
| District           | 15.4781 |          |         |
| University         | 13.1042 |          |         |

\*\* p=.01

Post-Hoc Tukey Test (Table 6) showed that the level of sport performance of national were higher than district ( $p=.05$ ), state ( $p=.05$ ) and university ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Furthermore, the level of sport performance state Runners were higher than district ( $p=.05$ ) and university ( $p=.05$ ), but lower than national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ). In addition, the level of sport performance of district were higher than university ( $p=.05$ ), but lower than national ( $p=.05$ ) and state ( $p=.05$ ) level athletes. Lastly, the level of sport performance of university were lower than state ( $p=.05$ ), district ( $p=.05$ ) and national level athletes ( $p=.05$ ).

Table 6: Post Hoc Tukey Test: Level of Sport Performance among Runners

| Skill Athletes of | National | State      | Distict    | University | N  |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| National          |          | * (1.3131) | * (1.8201) | * (2.8312) | 27 |
| State             |          |            |            |            | 17 |
| District          |          |            |            |            | 18 |
| University        |          |            |            |            | 15 |

\*p=.05

### Correlation of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The correlation coefficient of -0.72 was noted between the level of cognitive anxiety and sport performance in the evaluation of 77 Runners, which is significant ( $P < .05$ ). In other words, the negative relationship existing between these variables is statistically significant (Table 7). Negative correlation indicates that either variables increase or decrease contradictory.

Table 7: The Relationship between the Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

| Subject                        | Sport Performance  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| The Level of Cognitive Anxiety | -0.72**<br>(0.000) |

\* \*  $p=.05$

## DISCUSSION

### Level of Cognitive Anxiety

The result showed that Runners of university level exhibited higher cognitive anxiety level than those in state and district categories, whereas national athletes showed the lowest level of cognitive anxiety. In Malaysia, no research involving the four categories of skills has been conducted so far, therefore this research has failed to compare these with the findings of previous research. However, according to Drive theory, the present of audience for low skilled athletes, during the sport competition could increase their cognitive anxiety. Cognitive anxiety is the extent to which an athlete worries or had negative thoughts, and the negative thoughts may include fear of failure, loss of self-esteem and self-confidence. It could lead to the poor performance of an athlete in competition. It may start before a competition in the form of pre-competitive anxiety that might affect performance throughout the competition. Elite athletes like national and state level, who have learned anxiety management skills, often respond to a greater degree to cognitive anxiety but return to their resting rate sooner than those athletes, who are not trained in anxiety management like district and university level. At the interview session with the football athletes it was found that most of the national athletes using coping strategies like positive self talk, thought stopping, relaxation techniques and imagery to reduce their cognitive anxiety level. In the other hand, most of the low skill athletes like district and university level unaware and not practicing of these techniques. Therefore, the level of cognitive anxiety of district and university level athletes was very high.

### Level of Sport Performance

The result showed that national runners obtain the highest sport performance compared state, district and university skill athletes. The main reason national athletes perform better than other skill athletes because most of them use coping strategies to reduce their cognitive anxiety. High level of cognitive anxiety is the barrier for high performances in sport. The result showed that district and university skill runners experienced highest level of cognitive anxiety, therefore their sport performances has been drop. Many research proved that high level of cognitive anxiety has been the barrier to deteriorate performance in sport.



### Level of Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance

The result revealed there exists of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and sport performance. It means the higher the level of cognitive anxiety experience by runners, the lower sport performance level. The relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance was explained best in Multidimensional Anxiety Theory. This theory explains that cognitive anxiety effect performance. The relationship between cognitive anxiety, where an athlete experiences worries, negative thoughts and fear of failure, will effect the performance (Ampofo-Boateng, 2009).

### CONCLUSION

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of cognitive anxiety, showed by different categories of runners. These differences were related to their level of skill. The results showed that elite or national runners exhibited lower levels of cognitive anxiety than non-elite athletes. Low cognitive anxiety levels are very important in high sport performance. This study also showed that exist of negative correlation between cognitive anxiety and performance. Sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches should use the present findings to recommend coping strategies to university and district level athletes that are appropriate for dealing with their athletes' cognitive anxiety.

Future research should identify the most prevalent sources of cognitive anxiety among different skill of **Runners**. Initial evidence suggest among the sources of anxiety are fear of injury, presence of audience, past unpleasant experiences, fear of lose, negative evaluation, knowledge of the opposition team, uncertainty, playing at the opposition's place, high hope, and perceived sport events as very important. Seeking sources of cognitive anxiety should be a great value to reduce the level of anxiety. Furthermore, types of coping strategies can be used to reduce the level of cognitive anxiety among athletes much depend on the sources of anxiety.

### REFERENCES

- Ampofo-Boateng, K. 2009. *Understanding Sport Psychology*. Selangor, Malaysia: UPENA.
- Cox, R. H., 2012. *Sport Psychology: concepts and applications*. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Heather B. 2010. Psychology: motivation, anxiety, confidence and goal setting. (<http://www.soccernh.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=z-jkZpam25k%3D&tabid=4766>).
- Humara, M. 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight 1(2): The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*
- Humphrey, J. H., Yow, D. A. & Bowden, W. W. (2000). *Stress in college athletics: Causes, consequences, coping*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Half-Court Press.
- Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help Guide* (p. 31-51). Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- Krane, V. 1995. *Anxiety and stress: reflection of the past and visions of the future*. Paper presented as the Dorothy Harris Young Scholar – Practitioner lecturer at the meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology. New Orleans, LA.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.

### **The Relationship between Cognitive Anxiety and Sport Performance on Running Athletes**

- Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987. U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist* 2, 105-130.
- Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport* (p. 93-111). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2011. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

# VINCENT A. PARNABAS



**VINCENT A. PARNABAS (Dr)** is a senior lecturer of Faculty of Sport Science and Recreation in Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Shah Alam, Malaysia. He has a degree in Psychology, master and PhD in Sport Psychology. His research interest to Sport Psychology and Psychology

