The International Journal of Indian Psychology ISSN 2348-5396 (Online) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (Print) Volume 11, Issue 3, July- September, 2023 DIP: 18.01.440.20231103, ODI: 10.25215/1103.440 https://www.ijip.in



**Research Paper** 

# The Relationship Between Traits of Dark Triad and Aggression among Young Adults

Disha Chauhan<sup>1</sup>\*, Dr. Imran Hussain<sup>2</sup>

# ABSTRACT

The present study examines the significant relationship between traits of the dark triad and aggression among young adults, along with comparing the degree of aggression among males and females. Data was collected using the Survey method. The sample comprises 200 participants (Male- 100, Female- 100) from the Delhi NCR region. Regression analysis, Oneway ANOVA, and independent t-test were used to statistically analyze the collected data and obtain results. The findings reflect that a significant relationship exists between the variables, here, dark triad components and aggression, but no noteworthy difference was noted in the degree of aggression among males and females.

# Keywords: Dark Triad, Aggression, Young Adults

People inherently differ from one another and respond in various ways even in situations that appear to be identical. Each person has a distinct set of personality features that determine and direct their behavior. However, a person's personality can be used to anticipate their behavior. As a result, personality tests are becoming more and more common in education, business, and even health. Interviews and self-report questionnaires have been the standard methods for assessing personality. These metrics are based on human behavior and are susceptible to biases influenced by the environment, subjectivity, and culture.

The "Dark Triad" is a collective term for narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy, three undesirable personality qualities. The idea was developed in 2002 by scholars Delroy L. Paulhus and Kevin M. Williams.

The phrase "narcissism" derives its beginnings in the Greek legend of Narcissus, an avid hunter who died when he went head over heels with his own self-absorbed reflection in a body of freshwater. Narcissistic individuals are often self-centered, arrogant, boisterous, deficient in empathy, and overly susceptible to condemnation.

Machiavellianism, the name is derived from Niccolo Machiavelli, a well-known Italian diplomat, and statesman from the 16th century. He gained prominence when his novel "The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Student, Amity Institute of Psychology and Allied Sciences, Amity University, UP, Noida, India <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Amity Institute of Psychology and Allied Sciences, Amity University, UP, Noida, India \*Corresponding Author

Received: June 07, 2023; Revision Received: September 27, 2023; Accepted: September 30, 2023

<sup>© 2023,</sup> Chauhan, D. & Hussain, I.; licensee IJIP. This is an Open Access Research distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Prince," published in 1513, was perceived as endorsing the shady practices of diplomacy's guile and deception. Duplicity, manipulation, self-interest, and a lack of both feeling and morality are characteristics of Machiavellianism.

Lack of empathy or remorse, antisocial behavior, and being manipulative and volatile are all characteristics of psychopathy. Like many other diseases, psychopathy has a range. Psychopaths frequently exhibit features such as shallow affect (limited emotional reactions), inflated sense of self-worth, compulsive lying, manipulation, lack of regret or shame, lack of empathy, behavioral issues in childhood, and impulsivity.

Aggression is a broad category of actions that can injure the self, other people, or inanimate things in the surroundings physically as well as psychologically. Aggressive actions include: (i) Aggressive behavior includes physical activities like punching, kicking, pounding, and slashing a different individual. Collateral destruction can occasionally be a manifestation of physical aggression.; (ii) Verbal entails yelling, labeling nicknames, as well as making fun of them; (iii) Relational, resulting in seeking to sabotage another person's relationships. It might entail disseminating lies and making up data on other individuals; (iv) When a human is being ignored at a social event or receives unintended praise, this is considered passive aggression. Passive-aggressive behavior typically involves the purpose of enabling premeditation to develop rather than aggressively injuring a person.

The main rationale of this study is to advance knowledge in the field of personality (dark traits) and aggression research, to examine prior findings, and to assist in finding a strategy for advancing understanding of the factors affecting aggression and how it is influenced by dark traits. The second step is to comprehend the impact of the factors- in this case, dark traits- and to use this knowledge in other contexts. to enable researchers to develop strategies for assisting people in understanding the impact personality has on our aggressiveness and behaviors. To succeed in this world and to make the most of the resources we are entitled to, in my opinion, investigating other angles and growing in openness are crucial.

### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

A handful of research which have been done on a topic similar or close to the topic of the present study including the following:

Bryan, et al. (2023) investigated the association between anger and aggression in athletes and the Dark Triad through a Multi-sample cross-sectional design. 224 athletes (MAGE = 23.85) made up Sample 1 and 98 coach-athlete pairs (MAGE = 18.15 for athletes and 34.84 for coaches) made up Sample 2. Aspects of the Dark Triad were connected to rage and aggressiveness in both populations. Overall, the results show that the Dark Triad and anger and aggression have personal and interpersonal linkages, and they also demonstrate the possibility that athlete emotions may be influenced by the darker sides of both athlete and coach personalities.

Florin, et al (2021) investigated the link between hostility among sports students and the Dark Triad of personality. 168 students from Craiova's Department of Health Sciences, Athletics, spanning from eighteen to thirty years, including seventy-four males and ninety-four females, indulged in the investigation. Of these, one hundred engaged in solo athletic activities, and sixty-eight in collaborative activities. The instruments used comprised the Aggression Questionnaire and The Dirty Dozen: A Simple Assessment for the Darkness Triangle. Women scored higher than males in verbal aggressiveness, rage, and hostility, but

© The International Journal of Indian Psychology, ISSN 2348-5396 (e) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (p) | 4716

not in physical aggression, according to the data, which also demonstrated that there were gender disparities in the types of aggression.

Yalin and Cancan (2020) observed the links between the Dark Triad and aggressive behaviors, as well as the relationships between various aggressive behaviors. 87 quantitative studies, 90 independent samples, and 41,273 people became a part of the meta-analysis following the screening and grading of the literature. Narcissism's relationship with aggressive behaviors was considerably weaker than that of the other two dark personalities in the Dark Triad, and there was a highly positive relationship between the Dark Triad and aggressive behaviors. Different factors affected the relationships: (1) Machiavellian subjects were plausibly occupied in indirect aggression, interim Psychopathic subjects were betrothed in aggression that is physically stimulated; (2) the relationship between aggression and the Dark Triad was significantly stronger in North America than in North America, and the relationship was significantly stronger in North America than in West.

Ball, et al. (2018) tested the influence of driving rage, general aggression, impulsivity, and perceptions of malicious driving intent with the role of Dark Triad traits as potential factors in self-reported aggressive driving. N = 168 people from the general public completed a battery of online surveys. Past literature related to violence that is physically induced apropos, psychopathy, and additionally an "advanced inhibited" part of anger drivers were all found to be significant predictors of aggressive driving behaviors, accounting for 50.8% of the variance. The remaining factors had no bearing. All measurements fit into a single model, according to a structural equation model. These findings point to a tendency to physically exhibit hostility, aggravation at having one's ambitions thwarted, and a callous, impulsive temperament that may predispose one to violent driving behaviors.

Barlett (2016) assessed the Dark Triad qualities' antecedents as well as their predictive power for aggression. 599 participants, ranging in age from 18 to 83, performed the measurements. The following findings provide evidence in favor of the conceptual framework: (a) the age of the individual was related to developing adulthood facets; (b) all of the DT predicted aggression; (c) multiple growing dimensions forecasted different DT features. Results purported that validated adult developmental routes to aggression that were theoretically derived. The important growing people characteristics that served as mediators were found to be feeling in-between, negativity, and identity exploration(s). The Dark Triad characteristics serve as a significant prelude to aggressive behavior overall.

# METHODOLOGY

To study and analyze the relationship between traits of the dark triad of an individual and the level of aggression.

# **Objectives**

- To study the relationship between the traits of the dark triad and the level of aggression among young adults.
- To study the difference between the level of aggression of males and females.

# Hypothesis

- There will be a significant relationship existing between Narcissism and the level of aggression among young adults.
- There will be a significant relationship existing between Machiavellianism and the level of aggression among young adults.

- There will be a significant relationship existing between Psychopathy and the level of aggression among young adults.
- There will be a significant difference between the level of aggression of males and females.

## Sample

A sample of 200 young adults was taken, wherein 100 were males and 100 were females. The age of the individuals ranged between 20-29 years.

## Variables

- Independent Variable- Dark triad personality traits
- Dependent Variable- Aggression

### Tool Used

Delroy Paulhus and Daniel Jones created The Short Dark Triad in 2011. It consists of 27 items, separately graded from 1 (Strongly disagree) to 5 (Strongly agree) on a rating system based on Likert ratings. Scale Dimensions: Machiavellianism, Narcissism, Psychopathy.

The Aggression Questionnaire was created in 1992 by Mark Perry and Arnold H. Buss. 29 items total, scored from 1 (Strongly uncharacteristic of me) to 5 (Strongly characteristic of me) on a Likert scale of 5-point. Components of the Scale- Physical aggression, Verbal aggression, Anger, Hostility.

### Administration

This scale may be used to assess both individuals and organizations. The examiner should read instructions aloud while participants read them silently with him after getting to know the subject well. The subjects are instructed to check one choice for each item as their response.

### Scoring

Short Dark Triad is a five-point scale with five choices for each item along the lines of the Likert scale. These five options are scored using a scale of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, going from highest to lowest. It is necessary to transform the acquired scores into Z-scores.

The aggression questionnaire produces four basic scales. Since It is a five-point scale with five choices for each issue along the lines of the Likert scale. These five options are scored using a scale of 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1 from highest to lowest. Items 7 and 18 are reverse-scored.

### Procedure

A survey was used to conduct the investigation. A three-part survey was given to the participants; the first part entails demographic information, the second part included 27 items of a Short Dark triad on a 5-point Likert scale inquiring 3 dark traits, and the third part of the survey, participants were asked to indicate on a 5 point continuum how uncharacteristic or characteristic of them were 29 statements.

### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The purpose of the research was to investigate and analyze the relationship between an individual's dark triad attributes and their degree of aggression.

Personality is defined as, "the dynamic organization within the individual. of those psychophysical systems that determine his characteristic behavior. and thought." And human aggression is defined as any maneuver taken with the specific (immediate) intent to harm another person. The perpetrator must additionally think that their conduct will be detrimental to the person being targeted thus ensuring the victim has the incentive to cease it.

## The objectives include:

- To study the relationship between the traits of the dark triad and the level of aggression among young adults.
- To study the difference between the level of aggression of males and females.

Following are the results obtained after statistically analyzing the collected data: Multiple Regression Analysis was the method used to assess the correlation betwixt the dark triad personality traits and the level of aggression among young adults. The dependent variable (Aggression) was regressed upon predicting variables (Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy). Aggression is substantially predicted by the independent variables:

Tuble 1. Descriptive Stu			
	Mean	<b>Std. Deviation</b>	Ν
Aggression	80.1150	16.69056	200
Narcissism	3.0870	.47025	200
Machiavellianism	3.2485	.58576	200
Psychopathy	2.1160	.52614	200

#### Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 depicts the Descriptive Statistics of the absolute data listing Mean, Standard deviation values for each of the measures for a sample of 200 participants.

		Aggression	Narcissism	Machiavellianism	Psychopathy
Pearson	Aggression	1.000	.046	.313	.530
Correlation	Narcissism	.046	1.000	.301	.229
	Machiavellianism	.313	.301	1.000	.395
	Psychopathy	.530	.229	.395	1.000
Sig. (1-	Aggression		.259	<.001	<.001
tailed)	Narcissism	.259		.000	.001
	Machiavellianism	.000	.000	•	.000
	Psychopathy	.000	.001	.000	
Ν	Aggression	200	200	200	200
	Narcissism	200	200	200	200
	Machiavellianism	200	200	200	200
	Psychopathy	200	200	200	200

### Table 2: Correlations

Table 2 represents The Correlations Table which allows us to test our presumptions: It should be noticed that there is no multicollinearity between the predictor values since the correlation between the predictor variables is less than .7. Additionally, the correlation value between the predictor factors and the dependent variable for Machiavellianism and Psychopathy with Aggression is greater than .3, as shown by the values of .313 and .530,

respectively, which indicates a correlation, whereas the value for Narcissism is .046 and indicates no link with aggressiveness.

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1.	Psychopathy,		Enter
	Narcissism,		
	Machiavellianism <sup>b</sup>		

Table 3: Variables Entered/Removed<sup>a</sup>

a. Dependent Variable: Aggression

b. All requested variables were entered.

Table 3 outlines the Included and Removed variables mentioning the Dependent variable as Aggression and Predictors (Independent variables) as Dark triad personality traits-Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy.

Table 4: Model Summary

						Change	Statistics		
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1.	.552ª	.305	.294	14.02491	.305	28.612	3	198	<.001

a. Predictors (Constant), Psychopathy, Narcissism, Machiavellianism

Table 4: The Model summary delineates The Adjusted R2= .294 depicting that the model explains 29.4% of the variance in aggressiveness. Coefficients were further assessed to ascertain the influence of each of the traits (Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy) on the criterion variable (Aggression). The results reveal that Narcissism doesn't have a significant relationship with aggression (B= -.113, t= -1.797, p= .074); Machiavellianism and aggression are significantly correlated (B= .151, t= 2.259, p= .025); Psychopathy and aggression are significantly correlated (B= .496, t= 7.586, p < .001).

Table	5:	ANOVA <sup>a</sup>	
-------	----	--------------------	--

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1.	Regression	16883.542	3	5627.847	28.612	<.001 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	5729.128	196	196.698		
	Total	7151.710	199			

a. Dependent Variable: Aggression

b. Predictors: (Constant), Psychopathy, Narcissism, Machiavellianism

In Table 5 which is the ANOVA table, When the degree of freedom of higher mean squared variance and degree of freedom of low mean squared variance was checked for each of the dimensions of aggression, we got 2 values, Our calculated F ratio (28.612), is far more than the table value at 0.05 level (2.66) and 0.01 level (3.91) and p < .001.

							95.0% Confidenc e	Interba l For B	Collinearit y	Statistic s
Mode l		Unstan- dardize d B	Coefficient s Std. Error	Standardize d Coefficients Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Tolerance	VIF
1.	Constant	45.273	7.698		5.88 1	<.00 1	30.091	60.455		
	Narcissism	-4.015	2.235	113	- 1.79 7	.074	-8.422	.392	.895	1.117
	Machiavellianis m	4.294	1.901	.151	2.25 9	.025	.545	8.043	.797	1.255
	Psychopathy	15.731	2.074	.496	7.58 6	<.00 1	11.641	19.821	.830	1.205

 Table 6: Coefficients<sup>a</sup>

a. Dependent Variable: Aggression

Table 6 presents the coefficients of each of the predictors (dark triad personality traits-Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy) when regressed upon dependent variables.

Hypothesis	Regression Weights	В	t	p-value	Results
H1	$N \rightarrow A$	113	-1.797	.074	Rejected
H2	$M \rightarrow A$	.151	2.259	.024	Supported
H3	$P \rightarrow A$	.496	7.586	<.001	Supported
Adjusted R Square	.294				
F (3,196)	28.612				

### Table 7: Hypotheses 1, 2, 3 results

*Note.* \**p* < .05. *N: Narcissism, M: Machiavellainsim, P: Psychopathy, A: Aggression.* 

Hence, Table 7 illustrates the Hypotheses results for the first, second, and third hypotheses. H1= The Null Hypothesis is accepted, purporting that no significant relationship is noted between Narcissism and aggression, thus H1 is rejected.

H2= The Null Hypothesis is rejected, the corollary being that a significant relationship exists between Machiavellianism and aggression, thus H2 is accepted.

H3= The Null Hypothesis is rejected, signifying that there is a significant relationship exists between Psychopathy and aggression, thus H3 is accepted.

### Independent T-test of aggression among Males and Females

Table 8: Group Statistics

				Std.	Std. Error
	Color	Ν	Mean	Deviation	Mean
Aggression	Male	100	79.9300	16.63467	1.66347
	Female	100	80.3000	16.82801	1.68280

Table 9: T-test

		Leven e's for of	Test Equalit y Varianc es			Signi fi	can ce	t-test for Equalit y of Means			
						One- Side	Two Side	Mean Differen	Std. Error Differen	95% Confide nce Interval of the Differen ce	
		F	Sig.	t	df	d p	d p	ce	ce	Lower	Upper
Aggressi on	Equal variance s assumed	.082	.803	3.72 3	198	.438	.87 6	37000	2.3662 1	- 5.03620	4.298 20
	Equal variance ses not assumed			4.15 1	197.9 74	.438	.97 6	37000	2.3662 1	- 5.03621	4.296 21

Table 8 and 9 depict Group statistics and Independent t-test respectively which was utilized to study the significance of the difference between means and understand if a significant difference exists between males and females based on their degree of aggression. When the degree of freedom was checked for the probability of 0.01 and 0.05 levels, Our t-value (-.156) is smaller than the p-value, hence null hypothesis is accepted. At 0.05 level, Our t-value (-.156) is smaller than the p-value, hence null hypothesis is accepted. This concludes that no significant difference between the level of aggression of males and females, thus, Hypothesis 4 is Rejected.

**One-way ANOVA of dimensions of aggression among Males and Females** *Table 10: Descriptives* 

				~ ~	<i>a</i>	_	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		
		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
Verbal	Male	100	15.5300	3.47416	.34742	14.8407	16.2193	5.00	22.00
	Female	100	14.6200	3.78935	.37894	13.8681	15.3719	5.00	24.00
	Total	200	15.0750	3.65461	.25842	14.5654	15.5846	5.00	24.00
Anger	Male	100	18.1500	4.91416	.49142	17.1749	19.1251	7.00	29.00
	Female	100	19.4800	5.05621	.50562	18.4767	20.4833	8.00	30.00
	Total	200	18.8150	5.01763	.35480	18.1153	19.5147	7.00	30.00
Hostility	Male	100	22.4800	6.03940	.60394	21.2817	23.6783	8.00	36.00
	Female	100	23.5800	6.15323	.61532	22.3591	24.8009	8.00	37.00
	Total	200	23.0300	6.10619	.43177	22.1786	23.8814	8.00	37.00
Physical	Male	100	23.7700	6.40053	.64005	22.5000	25.0400	10.00	41.00
	Female	100	22.6200	6.76112	.67611	21.2784	23.9616	9.00	41.00
	Total	200	23.1950	6.59198	.46612	22.2758	24.1142	9.00	41.00

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Verbal	Between Groups	41.405	1	41.405	3.133	.078
	Within Groups	2616.470	198	13.214		
	Total	2657.875	199			
Anger	Between Groups	88.445	1	88.445	3.558	.061
	Within Groups	4921.710	198	24.857		
	Total	5010.155	199			
Hostility	Between Groups	60.500	1	60.500	1.628	.204
-	Within Groups	7359.320	198	37.168		
	Total	7419.820	199			
Physical	Between Groups	66.125	1	66.125	1.526	.218
-	Within Groups	8581.270	198	43.340		
	Total	8647.395	199			

Table 11: ANOVA

Tables 10 and 11 include Descriptives of the data and One-way ANOVA which was used to study the significance of the difference between means and understand whether a significant difference exists between males and females based on their degree of aggression over four dimensions (Verbal Aggression, Anger, Hostility, and Physical Aggression). When the degree of freedom of higher mean squared variance and degree of freedom of low mean squared variance was checked for each of the dimensions of aggression, we got 2 values. For Verbal Aggression, Our calculated F ratio (3.133), is less than the table value at 0.05 level (3.90) and 0.01 level (6.81). Hence, the Null Hypothesis is accepted, denoting that no significant contrast exists between the degree of verbal aggression of males and females. For Anger, Our calculated F ratio (3.558), is less than the table value at 0.05 level (3.90) and 0.01 level (6.81). Hence, the Null Hypothesis is accepted, which alludes no significant contrast exists between the degree of the anger of males and females. For Hostility, Our calculated F ratio (1.628), is less than the table value at 0.05 level (3.90) and 0.01 level (6.81). Hence, the Null Hypothesis is accepted, attesting that no significant difference exists between the degree of hostility of males and females. For Physical Aggression, Our calculated F ratio (1.526), is less than the table value at 0.05 level (3.90) and 0.01 level (6.81). Hence, the Null Hypothesis is accepted, which insinuates that no significant difference exists between the degree of physical aggression of males and females. This concludes that no significant difference between the level of aggression of males and females, thus, Hypothesis 4 is Rejected.

# CONCLUSION

The four sub-traits of physical aggressiveness, verbal aggression, rage, and hostility are all examples of aggression and may be used to characterize it. In society, it is quite widespread. War, terrorism, and assassination are examples of how aggression presents itself. According to the general aggression model (GAM), individual and environmental variables set off psychological, emotional, and cognitive pathways that lead to violent behavior.

The current study examined the relationship between dark triad personality characteristics and aggressiveness along with the degree of aggression difference between males and females. The findings revealed that there was no association between narcissism and violence, but there was a positive correlation between Machiavellianism and aggression as well as between psychopathy and aggression.

The outcomes demonstrate that dark triad traits sway the degree of aggression in people; the degree of aggression among young adults and the features of the dark triad are significantly

© The International Journal of Indian Psychology, ISSN 2348-5396 (e) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (p) | 4723

correlated. No noteworthy contrast exists between the degree of aggression of males and females dependent on their particular dark triad personality traits, in any case, at a general level, males were found to have a more prominent and more elevated level of physical and verbal aggression and females reported higher degree of anger and hostility. Overall, women are noticed to be slightly more aggressive than males.

The study of human aggression has led to the development of several conceptual justifications. In certain situations, these theories can elaborate violence well, but they are not universal. "The general aggression model is a thorough, bio-social-cognitive, developmental approach to comprehending aggression that incorporates the most useful components of numerous domain-specific theories of aggression and takes into account a wide range of factors that affect it." "Numerous topics have been investigated, including intimate partner violence between intimate partners, violence among groups, the impact of temperature and media violence, male-on-male aggressiveness in sexual competitiveness, the violence brought on by suicide, the impacts of change in climate, and personality disorders with an aggressive or violent component." Creating interventions against aggressiveness has also been done using it.

It may be particularly significant to study aggression for personality research since it draws attention to the potential transformational impact. Researchers and society at large should be worried about the prevalence of violence in contemporary civilizations if every incident of being acts as a learning experiment. However, there is also cause for enthusiasm given the good potential for personality growth.

## Implications and Suggestions for Future Research

The observational outcomes, as clarified in the exposition, were seen with respect to specific restrictions. The relationship between dark triad traits and aggression was essentially positive, showing that personality traits can be contemplated so as to consider the degree of aggression among people. Be that as it may, no huge distinction between the degree of aggression of males and females was studied. This could be on the grounds that the examination was directed at a little sample (N=200; Males=100 and Females=100). A bigger sample size would be increasingly appropriate to set up the genuineness of the consequences of the examination.

Future research can concentrate on understanding the effect of personality on different behavioral reactions of people. Besides, social contrasts can likewise be mulled over as a variable for future research.

#### REFERENCES

- Anderson, C., & Cheers, C. (2020). Does the Dark Triad Predict Prejudice?: The Role of Machiavellianism, Psychopathy, and Narcissism in Explaining Negativity Toward Asylum Seekers. *Australian Psychologist*, 53(3), 271-281.
- Ball, L., Tully, R., & Egan, V. (2018). The influence of impulsivity and the Dark Triad on selfreported aggressive driving behaviours. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 120, 130-138.
- Barlett, C. P. (2016). Exploring the correlations between emerging adulthood, Dark Triad traits, and aggressive behavior. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 101, 293-298.
- Baughman, H. M., Dearing, D., Giammarco, E., & Vernon, P. A. (2012). Relationships between bullying behaviours and the Dark Triad: A study with adults. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 52(5), 571-575.

- Baughman, H. M., Jonason, P. K., Lyons, M., & Vernon, P. A. (2014). Liar liar pants on fire: Cheater strategies linked to the Dark Triad. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 71, 35-38.
- Baumeister, R. F., Catanese, K. R., & Wallace, H. M. (2002). Conquest by Force: A Narcissistic Reactance Theory of Rape and Sexual Coercion. *Review of General Psychology*, 6(1), 92-135.
- Book, A., Visser, B. A., & Volk, A. A. (2015). Unpacking "evil": Claiming the core of the Dark Triad. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 73, 29-38.
- Brankley, A. E., & Rule, N. O. (2014). Threat perception: How psychopathy and Machiavellianism relate to social perceptions during competition. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 71, 103-107.
- Brewer, G., Lynos, M., Perry, A., & Brien, F. O. (2019). Dark Triad Traits and Perceptions of Sexual Harassment. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 36(13-14), 7373-7378.
- Bryan, W., Donachie, T. C., Vaughan, R. S., & Madigan, D. J. (2023). Don't look back in anger: A cross-sectional and dyadic examination of the Dark Triad, anger, and aggression in athletes. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 64, 102305.
- Burtăverde, V., Chraif, M., Aniței, M., & Mihăilă, T. (2016). The incremental validity of the dark triad in predicting driving aggression. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 96, 1-11.
- Buss, A. H. & Perry, M. P. (1992). The Aggression Questionnaire. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 63, 452-459.
- Davis, A. C., Farrell, A. H., Brittain, H., Krygsman, A., Arnocky, S., & Vaillancourt, T. (2022). The dark triad and bullying in adolescence: A three-wave random intercept cross-lagged panel analysis. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 96.
- Dinić, B. M., & Wertag, A. (2018). Effects of Dark Triad and HEXACO traits on reactive/proactive aggression: Exploring the gender differences. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 123, 44-49.
- Florin, V., Germina, C., Raluca, S., Alexandru, C., Alina, C. & Robert, M. (2021) Dark triad and aggression in sports students Romanian sample. *Directory of Open Access Journals*, 21(2), 210-218.
- Furnham, A., Richards, S. C., & Paulhus, D. L. (2013). The Dark Triad of Personality: A 10-Year Review. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 7(3), 199-216.
- Gao, Z., Qiao, X., Xu, X., & Hao, N. (2022). Darkness Within: The Internal Mechanism between Dark Triad and Malevolent Creativity. *Journal of Intelligence*, 10(4).
- Goodboy, A. K., & Martin, M. M. (2015). The personality profile of a cyberbully: Examining the Dark Triad. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 49, 1-4.
- Heym, N., Firth, J., Kibowski, F., Sumich, A., Egan, V., & Bloxsom, C. A. (2019). Empathy at the Heart of Darkness: Empathy Deficits That Bind the Dark Triad and Those That Mediate Indirect Relational Aggression. *Front. Psychiatry*, 10.
- Jonason, P. K., Li, N. P., & Buss, D. M. (2010). The costs and benefits of the Dark Triad: Implications for mate poaching and mate retention tactics. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 48(4), 373-378.
- Jonason, P. K., Slomski, S., & Partyka, J. (2012). The Dark Triad at Work: How toxic employees get their way. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 52(3), 449-453.
- Jonason, P. K., & Webster, G. D. (2010). The dirty dozen: A concise measure of the dark triad. *Psychological Assessment*, 22(2), 420-432.
- Jones, D. N., & Neria, A. L. (2015). The Dark Triad and dispositional aggression. *Personality* and Individual Differences, 86, 360-364.
- Jones, D. N., & Paulhus, D. L. (2014). Introducing the short dark triad (SD3) a brief measure of dark personality traits. *Assessment*, 21(1), 28-41.
- Jones, D. N., & Paulhus, D. L. (2011). The Role of Impulsivity in the Dark Triad of Personality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 51(5), 679-682.

- Kaufman, S. B., Yaden, D. B., Hyde, E., & Tsukayama, E. (2019). The Light vs. Dark Triad of Personality: Contrasting Two Very Different Profiles of Human Nature. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10.
- Kavanagh, P. S., Signal, T. D., & Taylor, N. (2013). The Dark Triad and animal cruelty: Dark Personalities, dark attitudes, and dark behaviors. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 55(6), 666-670.
- Koehn, M. A., Okan, C., & Jonason, P. K. (2019). A primer on the Dark Triad traits. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 71(1), 7-15.
- Lata, M., & Chaudhary, R. (2020). Dark Triad and instigated incivility: The moderating role of workplace spirituality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 166.
- Liu, G., Meng, Y., Pan, Y., Ma, Y., & Zhang, D. (2019). Mediating Effect of Dark Triad Personality Traits on the Relationship Between Parental Emotional Warmth and Aggression. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 36(21-22), 9924-9940.
- Lyons, M., Houghton, E., Brewer, G., & Brien, F. O. (2022). The Dark Triad and Sexual Assertiveness Predict Sexual Coercion Differently in Men and Women. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 37(7-8), 4889-4904.
- Lynos, M., Khan, S., Sandman, N., & Valli, K. (2019). Dark Dreams Are Made of This: Aggressive and Sexual Dream Content and the Dark Triad of Personality. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 39(1), 88-96.
- Manuoğlu, E., & Özkan, B. O. (2022). Sarcastic and Deviant Trolling in Turkey: Associations With Dark Triad and Aggression. *Social Media* + *Society*, 8(3), 1-12.
- Martin, R. A., Lastuk, J. M., Jeffery, J., Vernon, P. A., & Veselka, L. (2012). Relationships between the Dark Triad and humor styles: A replication and extension. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 52(2), 178-182.
- Meere, M., & Egan, V. (2017). Everyday sadism, the Dark Triad, personality, and disgust sensitivity. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 112, 157-161.
- Muris, P., Meesters, C., & Timmermans, A. (2013). Some Youths Have a Gloomy Side: Correlates of the Dark Triad Personality Traits in Non-Clinical Adolescents. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*, 44, 658-665.
- Muris, P., Merckelbach, H., Otgaar, H., Meijer, E. (2017). The Malevolent Side of Human Nature: A Meta-Analysis and Critical Review of the Literature on the Dark Triad (Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy). *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 12(2), 183-204.
- Nocera, T. R., & Dahlen, E. R. (2020). Dark Triad personality traits in cyber aggression among college students. *Violence and Victims*, 35(4), 524-538.
- Pabian, S., Backer, C. D., & Vandebosch, H. (2015). Dark Triad personality traits and adolescent cyber-aggression. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 75, 41-46.
- Pailing, A., Boon, J. & Egan, E. (2014). Personality, the Dark Triad and violence. *Personality* and Individual Differences, 67, 81-86.
- Paulhus, D. L., Curtis, S. R., & Jones, D. N. (2018). Aggression as a trait: the Dark Tetrad alternative. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 19, 88-92.
- Reidy, D. E., Zeichner, A., Miller, J. D., & Martinez, M. A. (2007). Psychopathy and aggression: Examining the role of psychopathy factors in predicting laboratory aggression under hostile and instrumental conditions. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 41(6), 1244-1251.
- Sehar, G., & Iram, F. (2016). Dark Triad Personality Traits as Predictors of Bullying and Victimization in Adolescents. *Journal of Behavioural Sciences*, 26(1), 51-65.
- Singh, A., Naeem, A., & Chandiramani, K. (2020). Do aggression and impulsivity dominate dark triad across genders. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 8(3), 513-524.

- Szabo, E., & Jones, D. N. (2019). Gender differences moderate Machiavellianism and impulsivity: Implications for Dark Triad research. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 141, 160-165.
- Triberti, S., Durosini, I., & Pravettoni, G. (2021). Social distancing is the right thing to do: Dark Triad behavioral correlates in the COVID-19 quarantine. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 170, 110453.
- Walker, S. A., Double, K. S., Birney, D. P., & MacCann, C. (2022). How much can people fake on the dark triad? A meta-analysis and systematic review of instructed faking. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 193.
- Webster, G. D., Gesselman, A. N., Crysel, L. C., Brunell, A. B., & Jonason, P. K. (2014). An actor-Partner interdependence model of the Dark Triad and aggression in couples. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 60, S16.
- Webster, G. D., Gesselman, A. N., Crysel, L. C., Brunell, A. B., Jonason, P. K., Hadden, B. W., & Smith, C. V. (2016). An actor-partner interdependence model of the Dark Triad and aggression in couples: Relationship duration moderates the link between psychopathy and argumentativeness. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 101, 196-207.
- Westhead, J., & Egan, V. (2015). Untangling the concurrent influences of the Dark Triad, personality and mating effort on violence. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 86, 222-226.
- Yalin, Z., & Cancan, J. (2020). A meta-analysis of the relationship between the Dark Triad and aggressive behaviors. *Advances in Psychological Science*, 29(7), 1195-1209.
- Yendell, A., Clemens, V., Schuler, J., & Decker, O. (2022). What makes a violent mind? The interplay of parental rearing, dark triad personality traits and propensity for violence in a sample of German adolescents. *Plos one,* 18(1).

#### Acknowledgment

Many people have played an important role in the completion of my research work, either directly or indirectly. I accept this opportunity to offer my gratitude to everyone who has been instrumental in the effective fulfillment of this venture. I want to thank all the researchers who added to the field and the number of individuals for their help with this dissertation. My appreciation goes to those who have filled out my questionnaires in such a brief timeframe. Without their assistance, my dissertation would be deficient. Primarily, I would like to thank Dr. Ranjana Bhatia (Head of the Institute, Amity Institute of Psychology and Allied Sciences, Amity University, Noida) for giving me the opportunity to do research work in this field. Without her encouragement and support, it would not have been possible. I want to express gratitude to Dr. Imran Hussain (Faculty Supervisor, Amity Institute of Psychology and Allied Sciences, Amity University, Noida) for giving me the chance to do investigative work in this upcoming field and for having confidence, anchoring input, and securing feedback, without which this would not have been conceivable. I am thankful to Dr. Annie Khanam Singh (Programme Leader, AIPS) for having confidence and feedback, without which this research would not have materialized. Last yet not least; I want to stretch out a genuine appreciation to my family, and companions who have been a steady wellspring of help and consolation.

### **Conflict of Interest**

I have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

*How to cite this article:* Chauhan, D. & Hussain, I. (2023). The Relationship Between Traits of Dark Triad and Aggression among Young Adults. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *11*(*3*), 4715-4727. DIP:18.01.440.20231103, DOI:10.25215/1103.440