The International Journal of Indian Psychology ISSN 2348-5396 (Online) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (Print) Volume 11, Issue 4, October- December, 2023



https://www.ijip.in

Research Paper



# **Emotional and Behavioural Problems in Left-Behind Children** and Perceived Maternal Parenting Styles

Mary Jude<sup>1</sup>\*, Anjali Renjan R.L.<sup>2</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT**

Over 2 million children are left behind by the emigrant household under the care of a single parent or under the care of relatives. Parenting stress and child behavior feed each other, creating a vulnerability in children to develop emotional and behavioural issues. In Kerala, with over 2 million left-behind children, parenting is carried out primarily by the non-migrant mothers who reside with the children in their home country when their husbands migrated in order to provide for their families. The aim of the study is to examine the relationship between perceived maternal parenting styles and the emotional and behavioural problems in left-behind children.

**Keywords:** Parenting Style, Authoritarian, Authoritative, permissive, uninvolved, emotional, behavioural, peer problem, Prosocial, Behavioral parent training

oving in search of green pastures for one's livelihood is not always a smooth trip but a road full of unexpectedness and distress that may be strong enough to take a toll on the emotional and psychological wellbeing of the children that are left – behind in the process. Migration negatively affects the family structure as well as the parent-child relationships. As per NORKA, there are approximately 4 million Keralite outside the country as emigrants. Over 2 million children are left behind by the emigrant household under the care of a single parent or under the care of relatives.

The single parent who is shouldering the additional responsibilities and management of the household in the absence of their spouse is at more risk of exhibiting inconsistent parenting styles that may negatively influence the child's behavior.

The identification of parenting behaviors as key predictors of the development and maintenance of externalizing behaviors in children led to the development of behavioural parent training programs (e.g., McMahon & Forehand, 2003). This study is expected to have a preventive aspect of leading to effective parental training that would help children to be mentally healthy and productive individuals as they grow up. Children are to be screened for the risk factors at the right time and preventive measures are to be taken. As per social learning theory, skills are taught, and behavioural training could be effective for parenting

<sup>2</sup>Psychologist

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Psychologist

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author

skills training using appropriate reinforcements and rewards, for changing the maladaptive parenting styles.

Baumrind (1966) identified three different parenting styles that could result in different outcomes in child development that vary in the degree of parental control and parental support and warmth: (1) Authoritative (2) Authoritarian, and (3) Permissive or Indulgent. Later Maccoby and Martin (1983) expanded the parenting style to include the dimensions of demandingness and responsiveness, thus framing the fourth style, the Neglectful or uninvolved parenting style.

The authoritative style of parenting promotes positive social development and the development of general competencies for dealing with others and their environments (Baumrind, 1993; Simmons et al.,2005). Children reared by parents with an authoritative style are less likely to exhibit emotional disorders (e.g., anxiety and depression) or behavioural problems (e.g., conduct problems and delinquency) (Williams et al., 2009). Authoritarian parenting is associated with a high degree of Behavioral and psychological control and low warmth. Their children tend to be conflicted, irritable, and moody (Baumrind, 1993; Siegler et al.,2003).

If severe disciplinary measures in the form of physical punishment are used as opposed to the withdrawal of approval and privileges, such children may show increased aggressive behavior (Berk, 2003). Permissive or indulgent parenting is associated with a higher degree of warmth and low behavioural and psychological control. Overly indulged children are characteristically spoiled, selfish, impatient, inconsiderate, and demanding (Baumrind, 1971,1975). Neglectful or involved parenting shows a lower degree of both control and warmth and is associated with a disruption in attachment during early childhood (Egeland & Sroufe, 1981) and problems with peer relations.

#### Need and Significance

Several researchers have studied the relation between parenting styles and the internalizing and externalizing behaviors in children. However, there are no sufficient studies in this regard done within the state of Kerala and its relation to all four parenting styles. This study is expected to have a preventive aspect of leading to effective parental training to promote effective parenting techniques that would help children to be mentally healthy and productive individuals as they grow up. This study covers correlation of the internalizing and externalizing issues with all the four parenting styles and is a novel approach unlike previous studies covering only three parenting styles authoritarian, authoritative and permissive. The identification of parenting behaviors is a key predictor in the behaviors of children, this study opens a channel for behavioural intervention focusing on effective parenting skills.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Sample size: The sample size of the study is 66.

Table 3.1 Break-up of the sample based on Gender

Gender	No of respondents
Male	38
Female	28

Table 3.2 Break-up of the sample based on type of family

Type of family	No of respondents
Nuclear	48
Joint	18

Table 3.3 Break-up of the sample based on the area of living

Area of living	No of respondents
Urban	51
Rural	15

**Population:** The sample of the study consists of 66 adolescents (38 males and 28 females) between the age group 11-17 years, and whose father is working abroad and are under the care of the non-migrant parent. Purposive sampling method has been adopted as there are only limited samples that would represent the primary data source of the study. 66 students who fulfilled the inclusion criteria of the study and were selected from the schools in Ernakulum district solely based on the inclusion criteria.

Purposive sampling, also known as Subjective or judgemental or selective sampling, which is a non-probability sampling method in which the researcher has depended upon her own judgment in sample selection to suit the objective and context of the study.

### Questionnaires

Adolescent Parenting Attitude Four Factor Questionnaire (APA-FFQ) (Shyny, 2017) is used to measure adolescents' attitudes towards their parents. The questionnaire consists of 40 items and adolescents are required to respond on the five-point scale as all of the time, most of the time, sometimes, rarely, and never. The score is five to one. There are no negative items. Scores for each parenting style were taken separately and the sum of scores of each parent was taken for the overall score of an item. Thus, the instrument yields four separate scores for each participant, namely Authoritarian or Authoritative, Permissive, Uninvolved, etc.

Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ(S) (11-17) (Goodman, Meltzer, & Bailey, 1998) is used to measure Emotional and behavioural problems in adolescents and children. The version used is the baseline version of Youth Report Measures for Children and Adolescents SDQ(S)11-17. It is a brief screening questionnaire for emotional and behavioural problems consisting, with versions available for administration on children between the age of 3-17 years. All versions consist of 25 attributes, some are positive and some are negative. 25 items are divided into emotional, conduct, hyperactivity, peer problem and prosocial scales. Internalizing problems comprise emotional and peer symptoms (10 items) and externalizing issues comprise conduct and hyperactivity symptoms (Goodman et al, 2010). The 25 items are divided into emotional, conduct, hyperactivity, peer problem and prosocial scales. Internalizing problems comprise emotional and peer symptoms (10 items) and externalizing issues comprise conduct and hyperactivity symptoms (Goodman et al, 2010). The questionnaire also consists of an impact supplement that checks if the subject has any difficulties in the area of emotions, concentration, behavior, or being able to get on with other people. It also has questions pertaining to distress level, chronicity, the burden to others, and social environment.

### **Objective**

The aim of the study is to examine the relationship between perceived maternal parenting styles and the emotional and behavioural problems in left-behind children.

### Hypothesis

- 1. There exists no relationship between perceived maternal parenting styles and emotional and behavioural problems in left-behind children.
- 2. There exists no significant gender (male and female) based difference between perceived maternal parenting styles and emotional and behavioural problems in left-behind children.
- 3. There exists no significant difference based on the area of living (Urban and Rural) between perceived maternal parenting styles and emotional and behavioural problems in left-behind children.
- 4. There exists no significant difference based on the type of family (Nuclear and joint) between perceived maternal parenting styles and emotional and behavioural problems in left-behind children.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The purpose of the study was to examine the relationship between perceived maternal parenting styles and emotional and behavioural problems in left-behind children. Data were collected from 66 school children (38 boys & 28 girls), between the age group of 11-17 years and whose father has been working abroad and living away from the child for at least 6 months and are under the care of a non-migrant parent (mother) in their home country. The researcher used the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Professional version 21.0 to analyse the data.

Table 4.1 Pearson correlation coefficient between parenting styles and strength and difficulty variables (n=66)

Variables	Authoritarian	Authoritative	Permissive	Uninvolved
Emotional scale	.110	382**	012	.336**
Conduct Problem Scale	.405**	299**	.214**	.375**
Hyperactivity Scale	.215	387**	158	.294*
Peer Problem Scale	.289*	342**	.092	.303*
Prosocial Scale	254*	.165	133	358**
SDQ Total Difficulties Score	.319**	479**	.022	.437**

<sup>\*</sup>sig at .05 level

As per Table 4.1, we can infer that the Emotional scale has a negative correlation with authoritative parenting (r = -.382, p < .01) and a positive correlation with uninvolved parenting (r = -.336, p < .01). Interestingly a positive correlation with authoritarian and negative correlation with permissive parenting is noted, however it is not statistically significant.

Conduct problem scale shows a positive correlation with Authoritarian parenting (r=.405, p<.01), a negative correlation with Authoritative parenting (r=-2.99, p <.01), a positive correlation with Permissive parenting (r=.214, p<.01), and uninvolved parenting (r=.375, p <.01). It is to be noted that conduct problem scale is significant for all the four parenting styles. Even though the authoritative parenting style is statistically significant, it is negatively correlated with conduct problems.

<sup>\*\*</sup>sig at .01 level

In the case of the Hyperactivity Scale, it can be seen that a negative correlation with Authoritative parenting (r=-.387, p<.01) which is statistically significant but it shows a positive correlation with Uninvolved parenting (r=.294, p<.01) which is statistically significant. In the case of authoritarian parenting style, it is positively correlated, which is statistically insignificant but it is negatively correlated with permissive parenting style, which is also statistically insignificant.

In Peer Problem scale, it shows a positive correlation with Authoritarian parenting (r=.289, P<.05) and uninvolved parenting (r=.303, p< It .05) which are statistically significant. But it shows a negative correlation with Authoritative parenting (r=-.342, p<.01) and it is statistically significant. It shows a positive correlation with permissive parenting, however, it is not statistically significant.

When considering the variable prosocial scale, it shows a negative correlation with Authoritarian parenting (r=-.254, p<.05) and with Uninvolved parenting (r=-.358, P<.01). But it shows a positive Correlation with authoritative parenting, however it is not statistically significant.

Strength and difficulties total score shows positive correlation with Authoritarian parenting (r= .319, p<.01), negative correlation with Authoritative parenting (r= -.479, p<.01) and positive correlation with Uninvolved parenting (r=.437, p<.01)

Table 4.2 Mean, SD, and t-values of Perceived parenting styles on emotional and behavioural problems based on gender

Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	t-values	Sig.
Male	38	2.34	1.977	2.750**	.008
Female	28	3.82	2.389		
Male	38	2.84	1.653	.141	.889
Female	28	2.79	1.548	·	
Male	38	4.03	1.924	.850	.399
Female	28	4.46	2.252	·	
Male	38	2.47	1.484	.324	.747
Female	28	2.36	1.393		
Male	38	7.53	1.797	1.037	.304
Female	28	8.00	1.886		
Male	38	11.68	5.302	1.281	.205
Female	28	13.43	5.686	•	
Male	38	1.21	2.016	.637	.527
Female	28	1.54	2.099	•	•
Male	38	22.24	7.488	1.299	.199
Female	28	19.86	7.174	•	•
Male	38	37.24	7.077	.163	.871
Female	28	36.96	6.227	•	•
Male	38	26.92	5.064	1.074	.287
Female	28	25.54	5.330		-
Male	38	19.13	6.846	.037	.970
Female	28	19.07	6.024	•	•
	Male Female Male	Male       38         Female       28         Male       38	Male         38         2.34           Female         28         3.82           Male         38         2.84           Female         28         2.79           Male         38         4.03           Female         28         4.46           Male         38         2.47           Female         28         2.36           Male         38         7.53           Female         28         8.00           Male         38         11.68           Female         28         13.43           Male         38         1.21           Female         28         1.54           Male         38         22.24           Female         28         19.86           Male         38         37.24           Female         28         36.96           Male         38         26.92           Female         28         25.54           Male         38         19.13	Male       38       2.34       1.977         Female       28       3.82       2.389         Male       38       2.84       1.653         Female       28       2.79       1.548         Male       38       4.03       1.924         Female       28       4.46       2.252         Male       38       2.47       1.484         Female       28       2.36       1.393         Male       38       7.53       1.797         Female       28       8.00       1.886         Male       38       11.68       5.302         Female       28       13.43       5.686         Male       38       1.21       2.016         Female       28       1.54       2.099         Male       38       22.24       7.488         Female       28       19.86       7.174         Male       38       37.24       7.077         Female       28       36.96       6.227         Male       38       26.92       5.064         Female       28       25.54       5.330         Male       38	Male         38         2.34         1.977         2.750**           Female         28         3.82         2.389           Male         38         2.84         1.653         .141           Female         28         2.79         1.548           Male         38         4.03         1.924         .850           Female         28         4.46         2.252           Male         38         2.47         1.484         .324           Female         28         2.36         1.393           Male         38         7.53         1.797         1.037           Female         28         8.00         1.886           Male         38         11.68         5.302         1.281           Female         28         13.43         5.686           Male         38         1.21         2.016         .637           Female         28         1.54         2.099           Male         38         22.24         7.488         1.299           Female         28         19.86         7.174           Male         38         37.24         7.077         .163

<sup>\*\*</sup>Sig at .01 level

As per Table 4.2, we see significant differences only in the emotional scale among male participants (t = 2.75, p< .01). No significant difference noted in any other variables.

Table 4.3 Mean, SD, and t-values of Perceived parenting styles on emotional and

behavioural problems based on Type of Family.

	Type of family	N	Mean	SD	t-value	Sig.
Emotional Cools	nuclear	48	3.02	2.374	.297	.767
Emotional Scale	joint	18	2.83	2.007	•	
Conduct Problem Scale	nuclear	48	2.65	1.451	1.443	
Conduct Problem Scale	joint	18	3.28	1.904	·	.154
Hymanativity Caala	nuclear	48	4.33	1.971	.777	.440
Hyperactivity Scale	joint	18	3.89	2.324	·	
Peer Problem Scale	nuclear	48	2.50	1.305	.697	.488
Peer Problem Scale	joint	18	2.22	1.768	•	
Dunganial Caula	nuclear	48	7.60	1.899	888	.378
Prosocial Scale	joint	18	8.06	1.662	•	
SDQ	nuclear	48	12.50	5.387	.182	.857
Total Difficulties Score	joint	18	12.22	5.927	•	
CDO Immost Coom	nuclear	48	1.10	1.704	1.607	.113
SDQ Impact Score	joint	18	2.00	2.701		
A veth anitanian	nuclear	48	20.63	7.034	-1.082	.283
Authoritarian	joint	18	22.83	8.284		
A41:4-4:	nuclear	48	37.29	6.940	.336	.738
Authoritative	joint	18	36.67	6.097	·	•
D	nuclear	48	25.38	4.871	2.555*	.013
Permissive	joint	18	28.89	5.257	·	•
TT ' 1 1	nuclear	48	18.92	6.562	386	.701
Uninvolved	joint	18	19.61	6.344	·	•

<sup>\*</sup>sig at .05 levels

As per Table 4.3, We see significant differences only in the Permissive parenting among participants belonging to nuclear families (t = 2.555, p < .05). No significant difference is noted except in Permissive parenting style.

Table 4.4 Mean, SD, and t-values of Perceived parenting styles on emotional and behavioural problems based on Geographical region.

	Geographical Region	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	t-values	Sig.
	Urban	51	3.45	2.129	3.435**	.001
Emotional scale	Rural	15	1.33	1.988	·	
Conduct Problem Scale	Urban	51	2.96	1.574	1.346	.183
	Rural	15	2.33	1.633		
Hyperactivity Scale	Urban	51	4.39	2.117	1.314	.194
	Rural	15	3.60	1.805		
Peer Problem Scale	Urban	51	2.43	1.540	.074	.941
	Rural	15	2.40	1.056	<u> </u>	
D :10 1	Urban	51	7.78	1.781	.463	.645
Prosocial Scale	Rural	15	7.53	2.066	<u> </u>	

	Geographical Region	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	t-values	Sig.
SDQ Total	Urban	51	13.24	5.476	2.282*	.026
Difficulties Score	Rural	15	9.67	4.746	•	•
SDQ impact Score	Urban	51	1.43	1.942	.605	.547
	Rural	15	1.07	2.404		·
Authoritarian	Urban	51	20.86	7.416	.736	.465
	Rural	15	22.47	7.444		
Authoritative	Urban	51	37.08	6.684	.095	.924
	Rural	15	37.27	6.902	•	•
Permissive	Urban	51	26.24	5.136	.281	.779
	Rural	15	26.67	5.512	•	·
Uninvolved	Urban	51	18.59	5.787	1.205	.233
	Rural	15	20.87	8.365		

<sup>\*</sup>sig at .05 levels

As per Table 4.4, we can see significant difference only in the Emotional scale in participants belonging to Urban region (t=3.435, p<0.01) and significant difference noted in Difficulty total score in participants from urban region (t= 2.282, p<.05). The mean value also indicates the higher Emotional problems in urban regions compared to rural regions.

### Result findings

The goal of the study was to examine the influence of perceived maternal parenting styles on the emotional and behavioural problems of left behind children. The correlational research design was used to test the hypothesis and conducted paired sample t-tests to compare the difference between the groups, at different levels of independent variables, Urban & Rural, Nuclear & Joint, male & female, etc.

The statistical analysis shows that the children of parents with authoritarian parenting style show conduct problems, peer problems and emotional and behavioural difficulties and for the authoritarian parenting style, low prosocial behaviour is noted.

Authoritative parenting is associated negatively with emotional and behavioural problems such as emotional, conduct problem, hyperactivity and peer problems. A positive correlation is evident between the prosocial scale and authoritative parenting; however, the correlation was statistically insignificant. Total score of the difficulties has been found to be low in children who perceived their mother as authoritative. Permissive and Uninvolved parenting style has been associated with conduct problems. Uninvolved parenting style is seen to be associated with behavioural problems such as conduct, hyperactivity and peer problem. Children raised by mothers with uninvolved parenting style scored low on prosocial behaviour and high on difficulties.

#### DISCUSSION

The aim of the study was to examine the influence of perceived maternal parenting style and emotional and behavioural problems in left-behind children. The study highlights the influence of perceived maternal styles on the emotional and behavioural problems of left-behind children in Ernakulum district in the context of migration of father figure, which is also a contributory factor to inconsistent parenting style of the single mothers.

<sup>\*\*</sup>sig at .01 levels

The results of the study show that authoritarian parenting is associated with externalising problems such as conduct, Hyperactivity, peer problems and contributes to greater difficulties in children. It was also noted that children who perceived their mothers as authoritarian showed less prosocial behaviours.

Motherhood is an important change in identity that comes with a variety of responsibilities. This normative life task itself is stressful especially considering the dual roles handled by wives whose husbands are working abroad. Mothers, despite covering the family responsibilities single-handedly while their husbands strive to meet the financial needs of the family, may not always be allowed to take independent decisions on family matters. This results in delayed decision making in families from small to important matters, such as sending children for school picnics, participation in a coaching class (F. Malden, Personal communication, July 8,2022).

Moreover, most of the mothers belonging to the working class and are busy with their work schedules which may consists of target oriented deliverables and work related stress leaving them with little time for self-care and maintenance of the attachment and caregiving systems for their children and may contribute to the inconsistency in parenting attitude and behaviour.

The study shows that authoritative Parenting as associated negatively with behavioural problems such as in Emotional, conduct, hyperactivity and thus contributes to a low level of emotional and behavioural difficulties in children. Authoritarian parenting is associated positively with prosocial behaviour. Permissive and Uninvolved parenting style has been associated with conduct problems. Uninvolved parenting style is seen to be associated with behavioural problems such as conduct, hyperactivity and peer problem.

Children raised by mothers with uninvolved parenting style scored low on prosocial behaviour and high on difficulties. Children who perceived their mothers as authoritative showed high on prosocial behaviour.

In nuclear families, parents may provide greater freedom and set few boundaries and children are dependent solely on their parents for emotional support. In nuclear families as there are no elders or relatives to support childcare, when both the parents belong to the working class, parental stress may be higher due to their struggle in maintaining work-life balance. In such families, especially in the case of single parenting, the parent would be unable to provide children with time and space and hence may give full autonomy to children with few boundaries.

Urban living seems to increase a person's risk of developing psychological issues. Urban living may be associated with higher levels of social stress. Urbanisation affects mental health through the influence of increased stressors such as overcrowded environments and high levels of violence, and reduced social support (Srivastava, 2009).

The higher level of emotional symptom seen in men may be owing to the culture specific values and gender stereotype that exist 'men do not cry' and as a result of which men may suppress their emotions, hence experience greater internalising symptoms and may potentially precipitate as externalised out ward behaviours such as aggressiveness. It is

crucial to investigate how emotions are handled within the family environment in parent child interactions based on the gender difference of their children.

From this study, it is clear that Authoritative parenting style is found to be the most effective style of parenting. Children raised by authoritative parents tend to be more energetic, friendly and show development of general competencies for dealing with others and their environments (Baumrind, 1993; Simons et al., 2005).

### **Summary**

The objective of the study was to examine the relationship between the perceived maternal parenting styles and emotional and behavioural problems in left behind children. The study shows that the children of parents with authoritarian parenting style show conduct problems, peer problems and emotional and behavioural difficulties and for the authoritarian parenting style, low prosocial behavior is noted. Authoritative parenting is associated negatively with emotional and behavioural problems such as emotional, conduct problems, hyperactivity and peer problems.

A positive correlation is evident between the prosocial scale and authoritative parenting; however, the correlation was statistically insignificant. Total score of the difficulties has been found to be low in children who perceived their mother as authoritative. Permissive and Uninvolved parenting style has been associated with conduct problems. Uninvolved parenting style is seen to be associated with behavioural problems such as conduct, hyperactivity and peer problems. Children raised by mothers with uninvolved parenting style showed low on prosocial behavior and high on difficulties.

#### CONCLUSION

The process of parenting especially in the context of left behind children, being attuned well to a child's needs, being available emotionally are not always an easy task, but accompanied by stress and strain for the caregiver. It is interesting to note that most of the caregivers are working to meet the needs of life and are emotionally unavailable, and there may be a drain in care and the children may suffer from inconsistent parenting.

Migrant parents often try to ensure that all the physical needs are met, however many children fail to recognize the gifts or materials as love, instead recognize it as a reward for their sacrifice of staying away from their parents. It is imperative that we speak about creating 'Safe Space' at home, school and surrounding environment that provides emotional immunity and insulates children from toxic stress resulting from adverse childhood experiences.

Safe Space also means, the freedom for children to freely open up their feelings, concerns, doubts and the boldness to seek help. Adequate support from family members, relatives, school, administrative bodies, agencies and even the neighbourhood community could act as a shock absorber and a cushion to provide the psycho-social support as these children navigate through the uneven path. Bowlby said, it is vital that a caregiver, though not biological be present and attentive to child's emotional, psychological and physical needs. Being emotionally available is imperative to child mental health.

#### REFERENCES

- Achenbach, T. M., Ivanova, M. Y., Rescorla, L. A., Turner, L. V., & Althoff, R. R. (2016). Internalizing/externalizing problems: Review and recommendations for Clinical and Research Applications. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 55(8), 647–656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2016.05.012
- Alizadeh, S., Abu Talib, M. B., Abdullah, R., & Mansor, M. (2011). Relationship between parenting style and children's behavior problems. *Asian Social Science*, 7(12). https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v7n12p195
- Aunola, K., & Nurmi, J.-E. (2005). The role of parenting styles in children's problem behavior. *Child Development*, 76(6), 1144–1159. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2005.00840.x-i1
- Alizadeh, S., Abu Talib, M. B., Abdullah, R., & Mansor, M. (2011). Relationship between parenting style and children's behavior problems. *Asian Social Science*, 7(12). https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v7n12p195
- Azimi, A. L., Vaziri, S., & Kashani, F. L. (2012). Relationship between maternal parenting style and child's aggressive behavior. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 69, 1276–1281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.12.062
- Baumrind, D. (1991). Parenting styles and adolescent development. In J. Brooks-Gunn, R.M. Lerner, & A. C. Petersen (Eds.), The Encyclopedia on adolescence (pp. 746-758).New York: Garland Publishing
- Bell, R. Q., & Chapman, M. (1986). Child effects in studies using experimental or brief longitudinal approaches to socialization. Developmental Psychology, 22(5), 595-603.
- Brestan, E. V., & Eyberg, S. M. (1998). Effective psychosocial treatments of conduct-disordered children and adolescents: 29 years, 82 studies, and 5,272 kids. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 27(2), 180–189. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15374424jccp27 02 5
- Butcher, J. N., Hooley, J. M., & Mineka, S. (2019). Causal Factors and Viewpoints. In *Abnormal Psychology* (17th ed., pp. 102–102). essay, Pearson.
- Brookmeyer, K. A., Fanti, K. A., & Henrich, C. C. (2006). Schools, parents, and youth violence: A multilevel, ecological analysis. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, *35*(4), 504–514. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15374424jccp3504\_2
- CAMPBELL, S. U. S. A. N. B., SHAW, D. A. N. I. E. L. S., & GILLIOM, M. I. L. E. S. (2000). Early externalizing behavior problems: Toddlers and pre-schoolers at risk forlater maladjustment. *Development and Psychopathology*, *12*(3), 467–488. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954579400003114
- Cassidy, J. and Shaver, P. (2016) Handbook of Attachment, Third Edition: Theory, Research, and Clinical Applications. The Guilford Press, New York
- Chesin, Megan & Clark, Stephanie & Jeglic, Elizabeth. (2009). The Role of Empathy and Parenting Style in the Development of Antisocial Behaviors. Crime & Delinquency CRIME DELINQUEN. 55. 586-599. 10.1177/0011128708321359.
- Clark-Carter, D. (2010). Quantitative psychological research: A Student's Handbook. Psychology Press.
- Crouter, A.C., & Booth, A. (Eds.). (2003). Children's Influence on Family Dynamics: The Neglected Side of Family Relationships (1st ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410607430
- Daryanani, I., Hamilton, J. L., Abramson, L. Y., & Alloy, L. B. (2016). Single mother parenting and adolescent psychopathology. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 44(7), 1411–1423. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-016-0128-x

- Darling, N., & Steinberg, L. (1993). Parenting style as context: An integrative model (pp. 487-496). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Deater-Deckard, K. (2014). Parenting stress. Yale University Press.
- Doinita, N. E., & Maria, N. D. (2015). Attachment and parenting styles. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 203, 199–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.08.282
- Dumitru, S. (2014). From "brain drain" to "care drain": Women's labor migration and methodological sexism. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 47, 203–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2014.06.006
- Egeland, B., & Srouf, L.A. (1981). Attachment and early maltreatment. *Child Development*, 52(1), 44-52.
- Eisenberg, N., Cumberland, A., Spinrad, T. L., Fabes, R. A., Shepard, S. A., Reiser, M., Murphy, B. C., Losoya, S. H., & Guthrie, I. K. (2001). The relations of regulation and Emotionality to Children's externalizing and internalizing problem behavior. *Child Development*, 72(4), 1112–1134. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00337
- Fan, F., Su, L., Gill, M. K., & Birmaher, B. (2009). Emotional and behavioural problems of Chinese left-behind children: A preliminary study. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, *45*(6), 655–664. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-009-0107-4
- Freud, S. (1971). Three essays on The theory of sexuality (1905). Chapter II: Infantile sexuality. (3). the sexual aim of infantile sexuality. *PsycEXTRA Dataset*. https://doi.org/10.1037/e417472005-179
- Fuentes-Balderrama, J., Cruz del Castillo, C., Ojeda García, A., Díaz Loving, R., Turnbull Plaza, B., & Parra Cardona, J. R. (2020). The effects of parenting styles on internalizing and externalizing behaviors: A Mexican preadolescents study. *International Journal of Psychological Research*, *13*(1), 9–18. https://doi.org/10.21 500/20112084.4478
- Ghosh, O. (2021). Effect of Authoritarian Parenting Style on Psychopathology. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention (IJHSSI)*, 10(9), 37–45.
- Goodman, R., Meltzer, H., & Bailey, V. (1998). The strengths and difficulties questionnaire: A pilot study on the validity of the self-report version. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 7(3), 125–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s007870050057
- Graham, E., Jordan, L. P., Yeoh, B. S., Lam, T., Asis, M., & Su-Kamdi. (2012). Transnational families and the family Nexus: Perspectives of Indonesian and Filipino children left behind by migrant Parent(s). *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 44(4), 793–815. https://doi.org/10.1068/a4445
- Gross, H. E., Shaw, D. S., & Moilanen, K. L. (2008). Reciprocal associations between boys' externalizing problems and mothers' depressive symptoms. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 36, 693-709. doi:10.1007/s10802-008-9224-x
- Grogan-Kaylor, A. (2004). The effect of corporal punishment on antisocial behavior in children. Social Work Research, 28(3), 153–162. http://www.jstor.org/stable/426595
- GUPTA, D.E.E.P.T.Y., & THAPLIYAL, G.E.E.T.A. (2015). A STUDY OF PROSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR AND SELF CONCEPT OF ADOLESCENTS. *i-Manager's Journal on Educational Psychology*, 9(1).
- Hartup, W. W. (2005). Peer interaction: What causes what? *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 33(3), 387–394. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-005-3578-0
- Hasan, Asif & Husain, Akbar. (2016). Behavioural Problems of Adolescents. IAHRW International Journal of Social Science. Review, 2016. 238-244.

- Hu, H., Gao, J., Jiang, H., Jiang, H., Guo, S., Chen, K., Jin, K., & Qi, Y. (2018). A comparative study of behavior problems among left-behind children, Migrant Children and local children. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *15*(4), 655. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15040655
- Hetherington, E. M. & Parke, R. D. (1999). Child psychology: A contemporary viewpoint. Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill College.
- Jones, K. A. (2007). Assessing the impact of father-absence from a psychoanalytic perspective. *Psychoanalytic Social Work*, *14*(1), 43–58. https://doi.org/10.1300/j032 v14n01\_03
- Krause, P. H., & Dailey, T. M. (2009). *Handbook of Parenting: Styles, stresses and strategies*. Nova Science Publishers.
- Kuppens, S., & Ceulemans, E. (2018). Parenting styles: A closer look at a well-known concept. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 28(1), 168–181. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10826-018-1242-x
- Lee, S. M., Daniels, M. H., & Kissinger, D. B. (2006). Parental influences on adolescent adjustment: Parenting styles versus parenting practices. *The Family Journal*, *14*(3), 253–259. https://doi.org/10.1177/1066480706287654
- Liu, J. (2004). Childhood externalizing behavior: Theory and implications. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing*, 17(3), 93–103. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6171.2004.tb00003.x
- Lo, B. C., Lai, R. N., Ng, T. K., & Wang, H. (2020). Worry and permissive parenting in association with the development of internet addiction in children. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(21), 7722. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17217722
- Loeber, R., & Burke, J. D. (2011). Developmental pathways in juvenile externalizing and internalizing problems. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 21(1), 34–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-7795.2010.00713.x
- Maccoby, E. E., & Martin, J. A. (1983). Socialization in the context of the family: Parentchild interaction. In P. H. Mussen, (Ed.), Handbook of child psychology (4th edition). New York: Wiley.
- Malonda, E., Llorca, A., Mesurado, B., Samper, P., & Mestre, M. V. (2019). Parents or peers? predictors of prosocial behavior and aggression: A longitudinal study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02379
- Maccoby, E. E., & Martin, J. A. (1983). Socialization in the context of the family: Parentchild interaction. In P. H. Mussen, (Ed.), Handbook of child psychology (4th edition). New York: Wiley.
- Marcone, R., Affuso, G., & Borrone, A. (2017). Parenting styles and children's internalizing-externalizing behavior: The mediating role of Behavioral Regulation. *Current Psychology*, *39*(1), 13–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-017-9757-7
- McDougall, Patricia, Hymel, Shelley, Vaillancourt, Tracy, & Mercer, Kay (2001) The consequences of childhood peer rejection. In Leary, M R (Ed.) *Interpersonal Rejection*. Oxford University Press, United States of America, pp. 213-247.
- McMahon, R. J., & Forehand, R. L. (2019). Helping the noncompliant child. *Encyclopedia of Couple and Family Therapy*, 1359–1364. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49425-8 382
- McMahon, C. A., Barnett, B., Kowalenko, N. M., & Tennant, C. C. (2006). Maternal attachment state of mind moderates the impact of postnatal depression on infant attachment. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 47(7), 660–669. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2005.01547.x

- McNamara, K. A., Selig, J. P., & Hawley, P. H. (2010). A typological approach to the study of parenting: Associations between maternal parenting patterns and Child behaviour and social reception. *Early Child Development and Care*, *180*(9), 1185–1202. https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430902907574
- McKee, L., Colletti, C., Rakow, A., Jones, D. J., & Forehand, R. (2008). Parenting and child externalizing behaviors: Are the associations specific or diffuse? *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, *13*(3), 201–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2008.03.005
- Merikangas, K. R., He, J.-ping, Burstein, M., Swanson, S. A., Avenevoli, S., Cui, L., Benjet, C., Georgiades, K., & Swendsen, J. (2010). Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S. adolescents: Results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication—Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 49(10), 980–989. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2010.05.017
- MOHAJAN, H. K. (2018). Qualitative research methodology in social sciences and related subjects. *Journal of Economic Development, Environment and People*, 7(1), 23. https://doi.org/10.26458/jedep.v7i1.571
- Moilanen, K. L., Shaw, D. S., & Maxwell, K. L. (2010). Developmental cascades: Externalizing, internalizing, and academic competence from middle childhood to early adolescence. *Development and Psychopathology*, 22(3), 635–653. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954579410000337
- Mohajan, Haradhan (2017): *Two Criteria for Good Measurements in Research: Validity and Reliability.* Published in: Annals of Spiru Haret University, Vol. 17, No. 4 (24 December 2017): pp. 56-82.
- Mujere, N. (2016). Sampling in research. *Mixed Methods Research for Improved Scientific Study*, 107–121. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-0007-0.ch006
- Ogundele, M. O. (2018). Behavioural and emotional disorders in childhood: A brief overview for Paediatricians. *World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics*, 7(1), 9–26. https://doi.org/10.5409/wjcp.v7.i1.9
- Pan, W., Gao, B., Long, Y., Teng, Y., & Yue, T. (2021). Effect of caregivers' parenting styles on the emotional and behavioral problems of left-behind children: The parallel mediating role of self-control. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(23), 12714. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182312714
- Parke, R. D., & Buriel, R. (1998). Socialization in the family: Ethnic and ecological perspectives. In N. Eisenberg (Vol. Ed.) & W. Damon (Series Ed.), Handbook of child psychology: Social, Emotional, and Personality Development. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Pettit, G. S., Bates, J. E., & Dodge, K. A. (1997). Supportive Parenting, Ecological Context, and Children's Adjustment: A Seven-Year Longitudinal Study. Child Development, 68, 908-923. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1132041
- P.K, S. J. (2018). The Struggle for Survival: Impact of Gulf Migration on Women Left Behind in Malabar. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research* (*JETIR*), 5(6).
- Rankin Williams, L., Degnan, K. A., Perez-Edgar, K. E., Henderson, H. A., Rubin, K. H., Pine, D. S., Steinberg, L., & Fox, N. A. (2009). Impact of behavioral inhibition and parenting style on internalizing and externalizing problems from early childhood through adolescence. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *37*(8), 1063–1075. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-009-9331-3
- REINHERZ, H. E. L. E. N. Z., GIACONIA, R. O. S. E. M., PAKIZ, B. I. L. G. E., SILVERMAN, A. M. Y. B., FROST, A. B. B. I. E. K., & LEFKOWITZ, E. V. A. S. (1993). Psychosocial risks for major depression in late adolescence: A longitudinal
- © The International Journal of Indian Psychology, ISSN 2348-5396 (e) ISSN: 2349-3429 (p) | 1274

- community study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 32(6), 1155–1163. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199311000-00007
- Salant, P., & Dillman, D. A. (1994). *How to conduct your own survey*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2012) Research Methods for Business Students. Pearson Education Ltd., Harlow.
- Simões, Celeste & Matos, Margarida & batista-foguet, joan manuel. (2008). Juvenile delinquency: Analysis of risk and protective factors using quantitative and qualitative methods. Cognition, Brain & Behavior. 7. 389-408.
- Smits, I., Soenens, B., Luyckx, K., Duriez, B., Berzonsky, M., & Goossens, L. (2008). Perceived parenting dimensions and identity styles: Exploring the socialization of adolescents' processing of identity-relevant information. *Journal of Adolescence*, 31(2), 151–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2007.08.007
- Soyuz, J., & Pandian, D. R. (2014). Gulf Syndrome among Gulf-Migrants' Children.
- Srivastava, K. (2009). Urbanization and Mental Health. *Industrial Psychiatry Journal*, 18(2), 75. https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-6748.64028
- Sroufe, L. Alan. (2000). Early relationships and the development of children. Tradition-a Journal of Orthodox Jewish Thought. 21. 67-74. 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0355(200001/04)21:1/23.0.CO;2-2.
- Slicker, E. K., & Thornberry, I. (2002). Older adolescent well-being and authoritative parenting. Adolescent & Family Health, 3, 9-19.
- Swartz, Sharlene & L, Wilde. (2012). Adolescence.
- Thompson, A., Hollis, C., & Richards, D. (2003). Authoritarian parenting attitudes as a risk for conduct problems. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *12*(2), 84–91. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-003-0324-4
- Thompson, R. A. (1998). Emotional Competence and the Development of Self. Psychological Inquiry, 9, 308-309. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli0904\_14
- Trenas, A. F., Osuna, M. J., Olivares, R. R., & Cabrera, J. H. (2013). Relationship between parenting style and aggression in a Spanish children sample. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, 82, 529–536. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.06.304
- Umami, R., & Turnip, S. S. (2019). Emotional and behavioral problems among left-behind children in Indonesia. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 41(3), 240–245. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijpsym.ijpsym\_370\_18
- Unmasking masked depression in children and adolescents. (1980). *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 137(4), 445–449. https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.137.4.445
- Van Petegem, S., Antonietti, J.-P., Eira Nunes, C., Kins, E., & Soenens, B. (2019). The relationship between maternal overprotection, adolescent internalizing and externalizing problems, and psychological need frustration: A multi-informant study using response surface analysis. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 49(1), 162–177. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-019-01126-8
- Vandervalk, Inge & Goede, Martijn & Spruijt, Ed & Meeus, Wim. (2007). A longitudinal study on transactional relations between parental marital distress and adolescent emotional adjustment. Adolescence. 42. 115-36.
- Wang, F., Lin, L., Xu, M., Li, L., Lu, J., & Zhou, X. (2019). Mental health among left-behind children in rural China in relation to parent-child communication. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *16*(10), 1855. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16101855
- Yu, X., Wang, L.-L., Liu, M.-M., Li, Q.-L., Dai, X.-Y., & Li, L.-G. (2022). Internalizing behavior problems among the left-behind children of the Hui nationality in rural
- © The International Journal of Indian Psychology, ISSN 2348-5396 (e) ISSN: 2349-3429 (p) | 1275

- China: A cross-sectional study. Psychology Research and Behavior Management, Volume 15, 887–902. https://doi.org/10.2147/prbm.s347639
- Y, S. T. (2017). Construction and validation of Adolescent Parenting Attitude Four Factor Ouestionnaire (APA-FFO). International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 8(7).
- Zakeri, H., Jowkar, B., & Razmjoee, M. (2010). Parenting styles and resilience. Procedia -Social and Behavioral Sciences, 5, 1067–1070.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010. 07.236
- Zhao, C., Wang, F., Li, L., Zhou, X., & Hesketh, T. (2017). Long-term impacts of parental migration on Chinese children's psychosocial well-being: Mitigating and exacer bating factors. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 52(6), 669-677. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-017-1386-9
- Zhao, C., Wang, F., Zhou, X., Jiang, M., & Hesketh, T. (2018). Impact of parental migration on psychosocial well-being of children left behind: A qualitative study in rural China. International Journal for Equity in Health, 17(1), https://doi.org/10.1186/s1 2939-018-0795-z

#### Acknowledgment

Thanks to my institution and guide who has provided all the support for the study. To all the schools and teachers for facilitating the study. Special acknowledgement to all the participants who dedicated their effort and time to be a part of this study.

#### Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Jude, M. & Anjali, R.R.L. (2023). Emotional and Behavioural Problems in Left-Behind Children and Perceived Maternal Parenting Styles. International Journal of Indian Psychology, 11(4), 1262-1276. DIP:18.01.113.20231104, DOI:10.25215/ 1104.113