

Attachment Styles and Interpersonal Emotion Regulation in Married Couples: A Quantitative Investigation in the Cultural Context of Mumbai

Shivani L. Pandey^{1*}, Dr. Sabiha Alam Choudhury²

ABSTRACT

This research delves into the relationship between attachment style and interpersonal emotion regulation among married couples in Mumbai. A sample of 300 couples participated, providing insight into how attachment styles influence emotion management in marital contexts. Employing a quantitative approach, including self-report measures and observational data, the study seeks a nuanced understanding of these intricate dynamics.

Keywords: Attachment Style, Interpersonal Emotion Regulation, Married Couples, Mumbai, Experiences in Close Relationships Scale (ECR), Interpersonal Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (IERQ), Cultural Influences, Marital Relationships, Emotional Dynamics

Attachment theory, pioneered by Bowlby (1969), asserts that attachment styles significantly shape interpersonal dynamics. In the realm of romantic relationships, attachment styles crucially impact how individuals perceive and regulate emotions (Hazan & Shaver, 1987). Despite numerous studies exploring attachment's association with individual well-being, a noticeable gap persists in understanding how these styles influence the emotional dynamics of married couples, especially in diverse cultural contexts.

Mumbai, a culturally vibrant metropolis, provides a captivating backdrop to investigate the interplay between attachment styles and interpersonal emotion regulation within marital relationships. This study addresses the gap by examining how attachment styles shape emotion management in married couples in Mumbai.

Research by Feeney, Noller, and Hanrahan (1994) suggests a close link between attachment styles and interpersonal emotion regulation in romantic relationships. However, limited research systematically explores these dynamics within Mumbai's specific cultural context. This study aims to bridge this gap by employing a quantitative approach, utilizing the Experiences in Close Relationships Scale (ECR) to assess attachment styles and the Interpersonal Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (IERQ) to measure emotion regulation strategies specific to the marital context.

¹PhD Scholar, Dept. of Psychology, Mizoram University & Mental Wellness Specialist

²Professor, Dept. of Psychology, Mizoram University

*Corresponding Author

Received: February 22, 2024; Revision Received: March 04, 2024; Accepted: March 07, 2024

Attachment Styles and Interpersonal Emotion Regulation in Married Couples: A Quantitative Investigation in the Cultural Context of Mumbai

The chosen quantitative approach is driven by the necessity for a comprehensive understanding of the intricate dynamics in the relationship between attachment styles and interpersonal emotion regulation. Quantitative measures provide statistical insights, enhancing the robustness of the findings.

By exploring these dynamics in the specific cultural context of Mumbai, this research contributes to the broader literature on attachment and emotion regulation within romantic relationships. The study's outcomes are expected to illuminate the ways in which cultural influences intersect with attachment patterns, offering insights for therapeutic interventions and relationship education programs tailored to the Mumbai context.

METHOD

Participants

A total of 300 married couples from diverse socio-economic backgrounds in Mumbai participated in the study. Couples were recruited using a stratified random sampling method to ensure a representative sample.

Measures

- *Attachment Styles:* The Experiences in Close Relationships Scale (ECR) (Brennan, Clark, & Shaver, 1998) was employed to assess attachment styles. This widely-used scale captures attachment anxiety and avoidance dimensions. Participants rated their agreement with statements on a Likert scale, providing quantitative data on their attachment styles.
- *Emotion Regulation Strategies:* The Interpersonal Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (IERQ) (Hofmann, Carpenter, & Curtiss, 2016) measured emotion regulation strategies specific to the marital context. The IERQ includes items assessing various dimensions of emotion regulation. Participants responded to statements on a Likert scale.

Procedure

After obtaining informed consent, couples received a survey packet containing the ECR and IERQ measures. Participants completed the self-report measures independently. The research team provided clarifications as needed.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using statistical software (e.g., SPSS). Descriptive statistics, including means and standard deviations, were computed for attachment styles and emotion regulation strategies. Correlation and regression analyses were conducted to explore relationships between attachment styles and emotion regulation strategies within the marital context.

Ethical Considerations

The study received ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board. Participants were assured of confidentiality, informed of their right to withdraw, and provided with contact information for support services. The research adhered to ethical guidelines for studies involving human subjects.

RESULTS

Participant Demographics

Before delving into the main results, an overview of participant demographics is provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Participant Demographics

Demographic	Participants
Gender:	150 M / 150 F
Age (M = 35.2, SD = 4.5)	
Education:	40% High School, 35% College, 25% Postgraduate
Income Level:	30% Low, 50% Middle, 20% High
Ethnicity:	45% Local, 30% Regional, 25% National

Attachment Styles and Emotion Regulation Strategies

The primary focus of this study was to explore the relationship between attachment styles and emotion regulation strategies within married couples. Table 2 provides an overview of attachment styles and emotion regulation scores.

Table 2: Attachment Styles and Emotion Regulation Scores

	Attachment Styles (ECR)	Emotion Regulation (IERQ)
Mean (SD)	3.8 (0.6)	4.2 (0.8)
Attachment Anxiety (ECR)	25% Low, 50% Moderate, 25% High	
Attachment Avoidance (ECR)	30% Low, 40% Moderate, 30% High	
Emotion Regulation Strategies (IERQ)	40% Low, 30% Moderate, 30% High	

Correlation Analysis

Correlation analyses were conducted to explore the relationship between attachment styles and emotion regulation strategies. The results are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Correlation Analysis

	Attachment Styles (ECR)	Emotion Regulation (IERQ)
Attachment Styles (ECR)	1.00	0.45*
Emotion Regulation (IERQ)	0.45*	1.00

**Note: *p < 0.05, indicating a statistically significant correlation.*

Regression Analysis

To further understand the predictive power of attachment styles on emotion regulation, a regression analysis was performed. The results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Regression Analysis

	B (Beta)	t-value	p-value
Constant	0.85	3.2	< 0.01
Attachment Styles (ECR)	0.28*	2.1	0.04*

**Note: *p < 0.05, indicating a statistically significant regression coefficient.*

DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Participant Demographics

The participant demographics (Table 1) indicate a well-balanced sample in terms of gender, with 150 males and 150 females. The average age ($M = 35.2$, $SD = 4.5$) represents a middle-aged group. Educational backgrounds range from high school to postgraduate levels, with a significant representation across various income levels and ethnicities.

These demographic details are crucial for contextualizing the study's findings. The diverse sample enhances the generalizability of the results across socio-economic and cultural contexts.

Attachment Styles and Emotion Regulation Strategies

Attachment Styles (ECR) and Emotion Regulation (IERQ) Scores (Table 2)

The mean attachment styles score ($M = 3.8$, $SD = 0.6$) and emotion regulation score ($M = 4.2$, $SD = 0.8$) provide a baseline for understanding the participants' typical attachment and emotion regulation tendencies. The varied percentages in attachment anxiety and avoidance levels demonstrate a diverse range of attachment styles within the sample.

Correlation Analysis (Table 3)

The correlation analysis indicates a statistically significant positive correlation ($r = 0.45$, $p < 0.05$) between attachment styles (ECR) and emotion regulation (IERQ). This aligns with existing attachment theory, suggesting that individuals with specific attachment styles may adopt distinct emotion regulation strategies.

This finding corroborates research by Hazan and Shaver (1987), which proposed a link between attachment styles and emotional regulation within romantic relationships. The positive correlation supports the idea that individuals with secure attachment styles may exhibit more adaptive emotion regulation strategies.

Regression Analysis (Table 4)

The regression analysis further elucidates the relationship between attachment styles and emotion regulation. The regression coefficient ($B = 0.28$, $p = 0.04$) indicates that attachment styles significantly predict emotion regulation strategies. This implies that, as attachment styles vary, so do the strategies employed by individuals to regulate their emotions within the marital context.

These results align with Bowlby's (1969) attachment theory, suggesting that the attachment system influences emotional responses and regulation strategies in intimate relationships. The study contributes empirical evidence supporting the theoretical framework, reinforcing the notion that attachment styles play a pivotal role in shaping emotional dynamics.

Implications and Further Study Scope

The study's findings hold implications for therapeutic interventions and relationship education programs tailored to married couples. Understanding the link between attachment styles and emotion regulation allows for targeted interventions to enhance emotional well-being within marital relationships.

Future studies could delve deeper into the cultural nuances highlighted in the demographics. Exploring how cultural factors intersect with attachment patterns and emotion regulation

Attachment Styles and Interpersonal Emotion Regulation in Married Couples: A Quantitative Investigation in the Cultural Context of Mumbai

could provide a more nuanced understanding, considering the diverse cultural landscape of Mumbai.

Additionally, longitudinal studies could investigate how changes in attachment styles over time influence the long-term dynamics of emotion regulation within marital relationships.

Limitations

- **Generalizability:** The study's findings may be limited in generalizing to populations beyond Mumbai or to couples with different cultural backgrounds. Cultural variations in attachment styles and emotion regulation may influence the results.
- **Self-Report Measures:** The reliance on self-report measures for attachment styles and emotion regulation introduces the potential for social desirability bias. Participants might provide responses that align with societal expectations rather than reflecting their true experiences.
- **Cross-Sectional Design:** The cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causation or explore changes in attachment styles and emotion regulation over time. Longitudinal studies would provide a more dynamic understanding of these constructs.
- **Quantitative Emphasis:** The study's quantitative focus may overlook the richness of qualitative experiences. Incorporating qualitative methods could provide a deeper understanding of the subjective aspects of attachment and emotion regulation.
- **Potential Confounding Variables:** Unmeasured variables, such as individual differences in mental health or relationship satisfaction, could act as confounding factors, influencing the observed relationships.

Scope for Further Study

- **Cultural Variations:** Investigate how cultural nuances impact attachment styles and emotion regulation within married couples across diverse geographic locations in India or globally. This could enhance the understanding of cultural influences on these dynamics.
- **Longitudinal Research:** Conduct longitudinal studies to track changes in attachment styles and emotion regulation over the course of the marital relationship. Understanding the trajectory of these constructs can inform interventions aimed at promoting emotional well-being over time.
- **Qualitative Exploration:** Complement quantitative findings with qualitative exploration. In-depth interviews or qualitative analysis of couples' narratives can provide richer insights into the lived experiences of attachment and emotion regulation.
- **Intervention Strategies:** Explore the effectiveness of intervention strategies tailored to specific attachment styles for enhancing emotion regulation in marital relationships. This could contribute practical insights for therapeutic interventions.
- **Comparative Studies:** Compare the dynamics of attachment styles and emotion regulation in married couples to those in non-marital relationships or diverse family structures. Understanding variations across relational contexts can broaden the scope of research.
- **Inclusion of Biological Measures:** Integrate biological measures, such as neurobiological markers or physiological responses, to provide a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between attachment styles and emotion regulation.
- **Diversity within Mumbai:** Investigate the impact of socio-economic factors, educational backgrounds, and urban-rural distinctions within Mumbai on attachment

Attachment Styles and Interpersonal Emotion Regulation in Married Couples: A Quantitative Investigation in the Cultural Context of Mumbai

and emotion regulation dynamics. This can enhance the specificity of interventions for diverse subgroups.

- Addressing these limitations and exploring these avenues for further study would contribute to a more nuanced and comprehensive understanding of the intricate dynamics between attachment styles and emotion regulation in married couples.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study delved into the intricate dynamics between attachment styles and emotion regulation strategies within married couples in Mumbai. The comprehensive analysis of participant demographics, attachment scores, and correlation/regression findings contributes to our understanding of emotional dynamics within marital relationships.

The positive correlation between attachment styles and emotion regulation aligns with attachment theory, underscoring the influence of attachment patterns on how couples navigate and regulate their emotions. The regression analysis further solidifies the predictive power of attachment styles, emphasizing their significant role in shaping emotion regulation strategies.

However, the study is not without its limitations. The reliance on self-report measures, the cross-sectional design, and the potential influence of unmeasured variables introduce constraints on the generalizability and depth of the findings. Additionally, the emphasis on quantitative methods may overlook the nuanced qualitative aspects of attachment and emotion regulation experiences.

The results resonate with past theories and studies, notably those by Bowlby, Hazan, Shaver, and Feeney, providing empirical support for their propositions. The positive correlation aligns with Hazan and Shaver's conceptualization of romantic love as an attachment process, while the predictive power of attachment styles echoes Bowlby's attachment theory.

Moving forward, there is a compelling scope for further study. Cultural variations in attachment and emotion regulation, the impact of socio-economic factors, and the effectiveness of intervention strategies tailored to attachment styles all warrant in-depth exploration. Longitudinal studies tracking changes over time and the incorporation of qualitative methods could enrich our understanding of these complex phenomena.

In practical terms, the findings hold implications for therapeutic interventions and relationship education programs, emphasizing the importance of considering attachment dynamics in enhancing emotional well-being within marital relationships. By addressing these limitations and exploring the suggested avenues for further study, future research can contribute to a more nuanced and holistic comprehension of attachment and emotion regulation dynamics in diverse relational contexts.

REFERENCES

- Bartholomew, K., & Horowitz, L. M. (1991). *Attachment styles among young adults: A test of a four-category model*. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 61(2), 226–244.
- Bowlby, J. (1969). *Attachment and Loss: Vol. I. Attachment*. New York: Basic Books.
- Brennan, K. A., Clark, C. L., & Shaver, P. R. (1998). *Self-report measurement of adult attachment: An integrative overview*.
- Cassidy, J. (1999). *Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications* (pp. 3–20). New York: Guilford Press.

Attachment Styles and Interpersonal Emotion Regulation in Married Couples: A Quantitative Investigation in the Cultural Context of Mumbai

- Collins, N. L., & Feeney, B. C. (2000). *A safe haven: An attachment theory perspective on support seeking and caregiving in intimate relationships*. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78(6), 1053–1073.
- Feeney, J. A., Noller, P., & Hanrahan, M. (1994). *Attachment in adults: Clinical and developmental perspectives* (pp. 128–152). New York: Guilford Press.
- Hazan, C., & Shaver, P. R. (1987). *Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process*. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(3), 511–524.
- Hofmann, S. G., Carpenter, J. K., & Curtiss, J. (2016). *Interpersonal Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (IERQ): Scale development and psychometric characteristics*. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 40(3), 341–356. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-015-9748-y>
- J. A. Simpson & W. S. Rholes (Eds.), *Attachment theory and close relationships* (pp. 46–76). New York: Guilford Press.
- Main, M., & Solomon, J. (1990). Procedures for identifying infants as disorganized/disoriented during the Ainsworth Strange Situation. In M. T. Greenberg, D. Cicchetti, & E. M. Cummings (Eds.), *Attachment in the preschool years: Theory, research, and intervention* (pp. 121–160). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Mikulincer, M., & Florian, V. (1998). The relationship between adult attachment styles and emotional and cognitive reactions to stressful events. In J. A. Simpson & W. S. Rholes (Eds.), *Attachment theory and close relationships* (pp. 143–165). New York: Guilford Press.
- Mikulincer, M., Shaver, P. R., & Pereg, D. (2003). *Attachment theory and affect regulation: The dynamics, development, and cognitive consequences of attachment-related strategies*. *Motivation and Emotion*, 27(2), 77–102. New York: Guilford Press.
- Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2003). *The attachment behavioral system in adulthood: Activation, psychodynamics, and interpersonal processes*. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 35, 53–152. New York: Guilford Press.
- Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2007). *Attachment in adulthood: Structure, dynamics, and change*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2007). Adult attachment: Theory, research, and clinical implications. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications* (2nd ed., pp. 718–744). New York: Guilford Press.
- Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2016). *Attachment in adulthood: Structure, dynamics, and change* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Pietromonaco, P. R., & Barrett, L. F. (2000). *The internal working models concept: What do we really know about the self in relation to others?* *Review of General Psychology*, 4(2), 155–175.
- Shaver, P. R., & Mikulincer, M. (2002). *Attachment-related psychodynamics*. *Attachment & Human Development*, 4(2), 133–161.
- Simpson, J. A., Collins, W. A., Tran, S., & Haydon, K. C. (2007). *Attachment and the experience and expression of emotions in romantic relationships: A developmental perspective*. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 92(2), 355–367.
- Simpson, J. A., Rholes, W. S., & Nelligan, J. S. (1992). *Support seeking and support giving within couples in an anxiety-provoking situation: The role of attachment styles*. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 62(3), 434–446.
- Simpson, J. A., & Rholes, W. S. (2012). *Handbook of relationship initiation* (pp. 259–273). New York: Psychology Press.
- Simpson, J. A., & Rholes, W. S. (2017). *Adult attachment, stress, and romantic relationships*. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 13, 19–24.

Attachment Styles and Interpersonal Emotion Regulation in Married Couples: A Quantitative Investigation in the Cultural Context of Mumbai

- Waters, E., Merrick, S., Treboux, D., Crowell, J., & Albersheim, L. (2000). *Attachment security in infancy and early adulthood: A twenty-year longitudinal study*. *Child Development*, 71(3), 684–689.
- Waters, E., & Cummings, E. M. (2000). *A secure base from which to explore close relationships*. *Child Development*, 71(1), 164–172.
- Waters, E., Weinfield, N. S., & Hamilton, C. E. (2000). *The stability of attachment security from infancy to adolescence and early adulthood: General introduction*. *Child Development*, 71(1), 678–683.
- Wei, M., Vogel, D. L., Ku, T., & Zakalik, R. A. (2005). *Adult attachment, affect regulation, negative mood, and interpersonal problems: The mediating roles of emotional reactivity and emotional cutoff*. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 52(1), 14–24.
- Whiffen, V. E., & MacIntosh, H. B. (2005). *Mediators of the link between childhood sexual abuse and emotional distress: A critical review*. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 6(1), 24–49.
- Zeifman, D., & Hazan, C. (1997). Attachment, adult romantic relationships, and mental health. In R. W. Chris Fraley & J. A. Simpson (Eds.), *Attachment theory and close relationships* (pp. 137–156). New York: Guilford Press.
- Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J., & Ducat, W. (2010). *Positive and negative romantic relationship quality: Age, familiarity, attachment and well-being as correlates of couple agreement and projection*. *Journal of Adolescence*, 33(1), 79–90.
- Zlotnick, C., Johnson, D. M., & Kohn, R. (2006). *Intimate partner violence and long-term psychosocial functioning in a national sample of American women*. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 21(2), 262–275.

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Pandey, S.L. & Choudhury, S.A. (2024). Attachment Styles and Interpersonal Emotion Regulation in Married Couples: A Quantitative Investigation in the Cultural Context of Mumbai. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 12(1), 1659-1666. DIP:18.01.153.20241201, DOI:10.25215/1201.153