The International Journal of Indian Psychology ISSN 2348-5396 (Online) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (Print)

Volume 12, Issue 3, July-September, 2024

DIP: 18.01.145.20241203, DOI: 10.25215/1203.145

https://www.ijip.in

Comparative Study



Comparative Analysis of Interpersonal Relationships and Life Satisfaction Between Singles and Individuals in Romantic Relationships

Ajisha Thasneem T1, Fayize P V 2*

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to explore the impact of relationship status on interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction. Using a correlational design, the research analyzed the relationship between these variables among singles and romantically involved individuals. Data were collected from 100 participants using two questionnaires: the Relationship Scale Questionnaire (RSQ) for measuring interpersonal relationships and The Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS) for assessing life satisfaction. The analysis revealed significant differences between singles and romantically involved individuals, with romantically involved individuals reporting higher levels of both interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction. Specifically, individuals in romantic relationships had significantly higher scores on both measures compared to singles. Additionally, a moderate positive correlation was found between interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction, indicating that better interpersonal relationships are associated with higher life satisfaction. These findings suggest that romantic involvement positively influences both interpersonal relationships and overall life satisfaction.

Keywords: Relationship Status, Interpersonal Relationship, Life-Satisfaction

omantic relationships play a vital role in life, contributing to our psychological state "for better or for worse." Research highlights that romantic relationships often represent the most meaningful connections in life and are linked to higher life satisfaction (Bowlby, 1969; Morris, et al., 1988). However, this raises the question: Are those in romantic relationships the only ones living happy, fulfilled lives?

Society's View of Singlehood

Society's perception of single individuals has shifted across time. In the 1950s, being single was stigmatized and seen as abnormal. By the mid-1970s, singlehood gained acceptance as a valid lifestyle choice that could enhance happiness (Veroff et al., 1981). Today, remaining single is common, with significant growth in the single population—54 million people in the

Received: August 17, 2024; Revision Received: August 23, 2024; Accepted: August 26, 2024

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Safa College of Arts & Science, Pookkattiri, Edayur Road, Valanchery, Malappuram Dist. Kerala, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Safa College of Arts & Science, Pookkattiri, Edayur Road, Valanchery, Malappuram Dist. Kerala, India

^{*}Corresponding Author

^{© 2024,} Ajisha, T.T. & Fayize, P.V.; licensee IJIP. This is an Open Access Research distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

U.S. in 2014 compared to only 4 million in the 1950s (Online Dating Statistics, 2014). Many now choose singlehood as a permanent lifestyle, delaying or foregoing marriage.

Relationship Status and Interpersonal Relationships

Interpersonal relationships, including those with family, friends, and coworkers, are crucial to human well-being. Research shows secure attachment leads to positive relationships and greater emotional security (Hogg & Vaughan, 2011). The quality of our friendships and close bonds often reflects our early attachment styles (Bartholomew & Horowitz, 1991). Securely attached individuals typically enjoy healthier, more fulfilling relationships, while insecure attachments can lead to lower self-esteem and strained interactions (Mikulincer & Shaver, 2007).

Singlehood and Interpersonal Relationships

The stereotype that single individuals are lonely or unfulfilled is largely unfounded. Studies reveal that single individuals, particularly women, tend to have strong social skills and networks that prevent loneliness (Cockrum & White, 1985). While men may struggle more with loneliness, single women excel at building fulfilling interpersonal relationships. Supportive social networks can be key sources of happiness and validation for single people (Burr, 1979).

Romantic Relationships and Life Satisfaction

Being in a romantic relationship is generally associated with higher life satisfaction due to the emotional support and security it offers (Baumeister & Leary, 1995). However, not all relationships are fulfilling. Some individuals stay in unhappy relationships out of fear of being alone (Spielmann et al., 2013). Research indicates that quality, not just the presence, of a relationship is critical for life satisfaction. Poor-quality relationships can even lower well-being, while healthy, mutually supportive relationships enhance happiness and security (Rhoades et al., 2011).

Singlehood and Life Satisfaction

Contrary to common beliefs, single individuals can also experience high levels of life satisfaction. Factors such as career success, strong friendships, and personal fulfillment can lead to a satisfying single life (Cockrum & White, 1985). Some studies suggest that single women, in particular, may have higher life satisfaction than those in casual relationships, as they are often more self-aware and content with their choices (Gilligan, 1982).

While romantic relationships contribute positively to life satisfaction, they are not the sole path to happiness. Quality interpersonal relationships, fulfilling careers, and personal growth play equally important roles. As Maslow (1962) suggests, true happiness arises when individuals take responsibility for their lives and embrace self-actualization.

Needs and significance of study

The purpose of this study is to investigate the interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction among individuals who are either single or in a romantic relationship. It is important to explore whether there is a significant difference in interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction based on relationship status.

This study can serve as a guide to understanding whether individuals who are single or those in romantic relationships experience better interpersonal relationships and greater life

satisfaction. By comparing interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction according to relationship status, the study aims to determine whether one's level of life satisfaction is primarily influenced by relationship status or if it is shaped by various other factors.

Definition of Key Terms

- **Interpersonal relationship:** An interpersonal relationship can be defined as a strong deep, or close relationship with friends, family, work colleagues and or to a significant other (Hogg & Vaughan, 2011).
- **Life satisfaction:** Andrew (1974) states life satisfaction symbolizing an overarching criterion or ultimate outcome of human experience. Life satisfaction is an overall assessment of feelings and attitudes about one's life at a particular point in time ranging from negative to positive.

Aim

To investigate the differences in interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction between individuals who are single and those who are in a romantic relationship, and to explore the relationship between interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction among these groups.

Objectives

- To study the significant difference of Interpersonal relationship between single and romantically involved individuals.
- To study the significant difference of life satisfaction between single and romantically involved individuals involved individuals.
- To study the relationship between interpersonal relationship and life satisfaction.

Hypotheses

- **H01:** There is no significant difference in interpersonal relationships between single individuals and those who are romantically involved.
- **H02:** There is no significant difference in life satisfaction between single individuals and those who are romantically involved.
- **H03:** There is no significant relationship between interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction.

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

The literature highlights the significant role that interpersonal relationships play in life satisfaction across various contexts. Studies such as those by Guenzi and Pelloni (2004) emphasize the importance of interpersonal dynamics in customer satisfaction and loyalty.

Similarly, research by Hamre and Pianta (2001) shows that supportive teacher-student relationships are fundamental to academic success. The studies reviewed also reveal that interpersonal relationships are influenced by multiple factors, including gender, parenting styles, and group dynamics, as seen in the findings of Hakelinda (2007) and Kosir et al. (2007). These studies underline that positive interpersonal connections are crucial for individual well-being, whether in educational settings, workplaces, or family environments.

Research related to life satisfaction consistently finds that it is closely linked to health practices, stress management, and overall well-being. Hawley and Klaukave (1998) found that individuals satisfied with their relationships tend to engage in healthier practices.

Additionally, studies by Rode (2002) and Kenny et al. (2013) indicate that life satisfaction is predictive of better mental health and lower emotional distress. This review provides a foundation for the current study, which aims to examine the relationship between interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction among individuals who are single or in romantic relationships, shedding light on whether relationship status significantly impacts well-being.

METHOD

Participants

The study included a sample of 100 individuals from the Thrissur region of Kerala, India, selected using a convenience sampling method. Participants were categorized into two groups based on their marital status: 50 were classified as single, and 50 were classified as being in a romantic relationship. The majority of participants were aged between 18 and 30. Individuals in romantic relationships had been involved for an average of one to five years. Eligibility for participation required being at least 18 years old and either unmarried or in a romantic relationship. Participation in the study was voluntary.

Instruments

- 1. Relationship scale questionnaire (RSQ): Bartholomew & Horowitz (1991) created the Relationship Scale Questionnaire (RSQ), which is used to gauge overall relationship satisfaction. A relationship questionnaire was developed to gauge a person's interpersonal relationships. This scale comprises 13 items, on a 5-point Likert scale, the participant indicated how much they agreed with the statement that it represented their favourite method of interacting to others. The relationship questionnaire has a reliability rating of 0.6 and a Cronbach's alpha of 790, indicating strong internal consistency or reliability.
- 2. Satisfaction with life scale questionnaire: The Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS) was used to measure overall satisfaction in the participants life (Diener, Emmons, Larsen & Griffin, 1985). The five-item measure was assessed on a 7-point Likert scale, with 7 representing strong agreement and 1 representing strong disagreement. One measure of overall life satisfaction is obtained by averaging all replies on the seven-point rating system. Numerous research have confirmed the internal consistency of the five-item instrument, with alpha and test-retest coefficient consistency exceeding.80 (Pavot & Diener, 1993). For the given sample, the Cronbach's alpha was.871 to assess internal consistency and dependability. The validity and interitem correlation of the Life Satisfaction Scale are both satisfactory.

Procedure

Data collection was conducted primarily with adults, with each session taking approximately ten minutes. Two main scales were used: the Relationship Scale and the Life Satisfaction Scale. Before administering the scales, the researchers established a rapport with each participant, explaining the study's objectives and general guidelines. Participants were assured that their identities would remain confidential and that their data would be used solely for research purposes. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their involvement. After completing the questionnaire, participants were asked to review their responses for any omissions before the questionnaires were collected.

| RESULT AND DISCUSSION | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Table 1: Mean, | Standard | Deviation, | t-value, and | Significance | for Interpersonal | | |
| Relationships | | | | | _ | | |
| Relationship Status | s N | Mean | Std. Deviation | n t-value | Significance | | |
| Singles | 50 | 32.74 | 4.31 | 2.50** | p < 0.05 | | |
| Committed | 50 | 36.30 | 5.72 | | | | |

Table 1 shows the mean, standard deviation, and t-value for interpersonal relationships between singles and romantically involved individuals. The mean score for singles (M = 32.74, SD = 4.31) was significantly lower than that for committed individuals (M = 36.30, SD = 5.72). The t-value of 2.50 was statistically significant at the p < 0.05 level, suggesting that individuals in romantic relationships have significantly better interpersonal relationships than singles.

Life Satisfaction Between Singles and Romantically Involved Individuals

The study also aimed to explore differences in life satisfaction between singles and romantically involved individuals.

Table 2: Mean, Standard Deviation, t-value, and Significance for Life Satisfaction

| Relationship Status | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | t-value | Significance |
|----------------------------|----|-------|----------------|---------|--------------|
| Singles | 50 | 20.08 | 4.16 | 3.20** | p < 0.01 |
| Committed | 50 | 25.86 | 3.19 | | |

Table 2 shows the mean, standard deviation, and t-value for life satisfaction. Singles reported a mean score of 20.08 (SD = 4.16), which was significantly lower than the mean score of 25.86 (SD = 3.19) reported by committed individuals. The t-value of 3.20 was statistically significant at the p < 0.01 level, indicating that individuals in romantic relationships experience significantly higher life satisfaction than singles.

Relationship Between Interpersonal Relationships and Life Satisfaction

The study further examined the correlation between interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction among singles and romantically involved individuals.

Table 3: Correlation Coefficient between Interpersonal Relationship and Life Satisfaction

| Variable | Life Satisfaction |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Interpersonal Relationship | 0.327** |

Table 3 displays the correlation coefficient between interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction. The correlation was found to be 0.327, which is statistically significant at the p < 0.01 level. This result suggests a moderate positive correlation between interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction, indicating that better interpersonal relationships are associated with higher life satisfaction.

DISCUSSION

The findings from this study provide significant insights into the dynamics of interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction across different relationship statuses. The significant differences in both interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction between singles and

romantically involved individuals suggest that being in a romantic relationship positively influences these aspects of life.

For singles, while life satisfaction can still be high, the results indicate that the presence of a romantic partner may enhance interpersonal relationships and overall life satisfaction. The significant correlation between interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction further emphasizes the importance of strong, supportive relationships in contributing to an individual's overall well-being.

CONCLUSION

The study conclusively demonstrates that romantic relationships have a positive impact on both interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction. The significant differences observed between singles and romantically involved individuals highlight that those in romantic relationships experience better interpersonal relationship and higher levels of life satisfaction. Specifically, individuals in romantic relationships report significantly higher scores in both domains compared to their single counterparts. Moreover, the positive correlation between interpersonal relationships and life satisfaction underscores the integral role of supportive and meaningful relationships in enhancing overall well-being. These findings suggest that romantic involvement not only improves relational dynamics but also contributes to a more fulfilling life experience. Future research could further explore the specific aspects of romantic relationships that most significantly influence interpersonal satisfaction and life contentment.

REFERENCES

- Adamczyk, K., & Bookwala, J. (2013). Adult Attachment and Single vs. Partnered Relationship Status in Polish University Students. Psychological Topics, 22(3), 481-500.
- Adams, M. (1976). Single blessedness. New York: Basic books.
- Altman, I., & Taylor, D. A. (1973). Social penetration: The development of interpersonal relationships. New York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston.
- Amato, P. R. (2000). The Consequences of Divorce for Adults and Children. Journal Of Marriage & Family, 62(4), 1269-1287.
- Austrom, D. R. (1984). The consequences of being single / Douglas R. Austrom. New York:
- Bartholomew, K. & Horowitz, L. M. (1991). Attachment styles among young adults: A test a four category model. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 61, 226-224.
- Baumeister, R.F., & Leary, M.R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. Psychological Bulletin, 117, 497-529.
- Berlin, L. J., & Cassidy, J. (in press). Mothers self-reported control of their preschool Children's emotional expressiveness: Associations with infant-mother attachment and children's emotion regulations. Social Development
- Borzumato-Gainey, C., Kennedy, A., McCabe, B., & Degges-White, S. (2009). Life Satisfaction, Self-Esteem, and Subjective Age in Women Across the Life Span. Adultspan Journal, 8(1), 29-42.
- Bowlby, J. (1969). Attachment and Loss: Volume 1: Attachment.
- Braithwaite, S. R., Delevi, R., & Fincham, F. D. (2010). Romantic relationships and the physical and mental health of college students. Personal Relationships, 17(1), 1-12.
- Brown, B. B. (1999). You're going out with who? Peer group influences on adolescent romantic relationship. In W. Furman, B. B. Brown, & C. Feiring (Eds.). The development of romantic relationships in adolescence (pp. 291- 329). New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Browning, D., Brotzman, K., & Clairmont, D. (2001). The Case for Marriage: Why Married People Are Happier, Healthier and Better Off Financially. (Review). *The Christian Century*, (6),
- Burr, W. R., & 1936-. (1979). Contemporary theories about the family.
- Cheek, J. M. & Briggs, S. R. (2013). Aspects of Identity Questionnaire (AIQ-IV). *Measurement Instrument Database for the Social Science. Retrieved from www.midss.ie*
- Citizen's information board (11/01/2011). Rights of cohabiting couples. [ONLINE] Available at: http://www.citizensinformation.ie/en/birth_family_relationships/cohabiting_couples/rights of unmarried couples.html. [Last Accessed 24/01/2014].
- Clark, C. L., Shaver, P. R., & Abrahams, M. F. (1999). Strategic behaviors in romantic relationship initiation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 25, 709–722.
- Cockrum, J., & White, P. (1985). Influences on the Life Satisfaction of Never-Married Men and Women. Family Relations, 34(4), 551-556.
- Conley, T. D., & Collins, B. E. (2002). Gender, relationship status, and stereotyping about Sexual risk. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28, 1483-1494.
- Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The Satisfaction with Life Scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 49, 71-75.
- Duck, S., Lock, A., McCall, G., Fitzpatrick, M. A., & Cayne, J. C. (1984). Social and personal relationships. *A joint editorial. Journal of social and Personal Relationships*, *1*, *1-10*.
- Farlex (2014). [ONLINE] Available at: http://www.thefreedictionary.com/. [Last Accessed 25/01/2014].
- Frayley, R. C., Brumbaugh, C. C., & Marks, M. J (2007). The evolution and function of adult attachment: A comparative and phylogenetic analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 89, 731-746.
- Furman, W., & Wehner, E.A. (2001). The Behavioural Systems Questionnaire-Manual. Manuscripts in preparation.
- Gigy, L. L. (1980). Self-Concept of Single Women. Psychology Of Women Quarterly, 5(2), 321.
- Gilligan, C. (1992). In a different voice: psychological theory and women's development. *Cambridge, Mass Harvard University Press* 1993.
- Goode, W. J. (1960). A theory of role strain. American Sociological Review, 25(4), 483-496.
- Greitemeyer, T. (2009). Stereotypes of singles: are singles what we think? *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 39(3), 368-383.
- Griffin, D. W. & Bartholomew, K., (1994). Relationship Scales Questionnaire. Retrieved 9th February, 2014, from http://www.sfu.ca/psyc/faculty/bartholomew/rsq.htm.
- Hazan, C., & Schaver, P. (1987). Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52, 511-524.
- Hendrick, S. S. (1981). *Self-disclosure and marital satisfaction*. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 40, 1150–1159.
- Hendrick, S. S., & Hendrick, C. (1995). Gender differences and similarities in sex and love attitudes. *Personal Relationships*, 2, 55–65.
- Hogg, H., & Vaughan, G. (2011). Social Psychology (6th ed). Essex: Pearson"s Education Limited.
- Kalbfleisch, P. J. (1993). *Interpersonal Communication: Evolving Interpersonal Relationships*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum association inc, publishers.
- Kamp, D., & Amato P. R (2005). Consequences of relationship status and quality for subjective well-being. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 22:607–627.
- Keizer, R., Dykstra, P. A., & Poortman, A. (2010). The transition to parenthood and well-being: The impact of partner status and work hour transitions. *Journal Of Family Psychology*, 24(4), 429-438.
- Lucas, R. E. (2005). Time does not heal all wounds. A longitudinal study of reaction and adaption to divorce. *Psychological Science*. (16), pp.945-950

- Mead, G.H. (2011) Major Theorists of Symbolic Interactionism: Retrieved http://sobek.colorado.edu/SOC/SI/si-mead-bio.htm
- Meeus, W., Iedema, J., Helson, M., & Vollebergh, W. (1999). Patterns of adolescent identity development: Review of literature and longitudinal analysis. *Developmental Review*, 19, 419–461.
- Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2007). *Attachment in adulthood: Structure, dynamics, and change.* New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Mongeau, P. A., Serewicz, M. C. M., & Therrien, L. F. (2004). Goals for cross-sex first dates: Identification, measurement, and the influence of contextual factors. *Communication Monologues*, 71, 121–147.
- Morris, L. W., Morris, R. G., & Britton, P. G. (1988). *The relationship between marital intimacy, perceived strain and depression in spouse caregivers of dementia sufferers*. British Journal of Medical Psychology, 61(3), 231-236.
- Nadelson, C C, & Notman, M T (1981). To marry or not to marry: A choice American Journal of Psychiatry, 138(20), 1352-1356
- Online Dating Sta. [ONLINE] Available at: http://www.statisticbrain.com/online-dating-statistics. [Last Accessed 16/01/2014].
- Ott, M. A., Millstein, S. G., & Halpern-Felsher, B. K. (2006). Greater expectations: Adolescents" positive motivations for sex. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 38, 84-89.
- Pastorino, E. E. & Doyle-Portillo, S. M. (2013). What is Psychology?: Essentials. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Paul, E. L., & White, K. M. (1990). The development of intimate relationships in late adolescence. *Adolescence*, 25, 375–400
- Rhoades, G. K., Kamp Dush, C. M., Atkins, D. C., Stanley, S. M., & Markman, H. J. (2011). Breaking up is hard to do: The impact of unmarried relationship dissolution on mental health and life satisfaction. *Journal Of Family Psychology*, 25(3), 366-374.
- Riggio, Ronald E, (2013). Introduction to industrial/organizational psychology. 6th ed.: Upper Saddle River, NJ Pearson Education.
- Rubin, Z., Hill, C. T., Peplau, L. A., & Dunkel-Schetter, C. (1980). Self-disclosure in dating couples: Sex roles and the ethic of openness. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 42, 305–317.
- Ryff, C. D. (1989). In the eye of the beholder: Views of psychological well-being among middle-aged and older adults. *Psychology And Aging*, 4(2), 195-210.
- Schwartzberg, N., Berliner, K., & Jacob, D. (1995). Single in a married world: a life cycle framework for working with the unmarried adult / Natalie Schwartzberg, Kathy Berliner, Demaris Jacob. *New York: W.W. Norton & Co.*, c1995.
- Sharp, E. A., & Ganong, L. (2007). Living in the Gray: Women's Experiences of Missing the Marital Transition. *Journal Of Marriage and Family*, (3), 831.
- Soons, J. M., Liefbroer, A. C., & Kalmijn, M. (2009). The Long-Term Consequences of Relationship Formation for Subjective Well-Being. *Journal Of Marriage & Family*, 71(5), 1254-1270.
- Soren Ventegodt, Joav Merrick, and Niels Jorgen Andersen, "Quality of Life Theory III. Maslow Revisited," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 3, pp. 1050-1057, 2003. doi:10.11 00/tsw.2003.84
- Spielmann, S. S., MacDonald, G., Maxwell, J. A., Joel, S., Peragine, D., Muise, A., & Impett, E. A. (2013). *Settling for less out of fear of being single. Journal Of Personality and Social Psychology*, 105(6), 1049-1073.
- Starr, J, & Carns, D. (1972). Singles in the city. *Society*, *9*, *43-48*.
- Terhell, E. L., Broese van Groenou, M. I., & van Tilburg, T. (2004). Network dynamics in the long-term period after divorce. *Journal Of Social and Personal Relationships*, 21(6), 719-738.

- Veroff, J., Kulka, R. A., & Douvan, E. (1981). The inner American: a self-portrait from 1957 to 1976/Joseph Veroff, Elizabeth Douvan, Richard A. Kulka. New York: Basic Books, c1981.
- Wade, J. C., & Coughlin, P. (2012). Male reference group identity dependence, masculinity ideology, and relationship satisfaction in men's heterosexual romantic relationships. Psychology Of Men & Masculinity, 13(4), 325-339.
- Waskel, S. A., & Phelps, L. H. (1995). Women ages 30-60 and their crisis events. Psychological Reports, 77(3), 1211.
- Weiss, R (1981) The emotional impact of marital separation In Peter Stein (Ed.), Single life *Unmarried adults in social context* (pp 152-164) New York St. Martins Press.
- West, Richard (2009). Introducing Communication Theory-Analysis and Application, 4th Edition. McGraw-Hill, P. 181.
- Wheaton, B. (1990). Life Transitions, Role Histories, And Mental Health. American Sociological Review, 55(2), 209-223.
- White, K. M., Speisman, J. C., Costos, D., & Smith, A. (1987). Relationship maturity: A conceptual and empirical approach. In J. Meacham (Ed.), *Interpersonal relations: Family*, peers and friends. Basel, Switzerland: Karger.
- White, K. M., Speisman, J. C., Jackson, D., Bartis, S., & Costos, D. (1986). Intimacy maturity and its correlates in young married couples. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 50, 152-162.
- Zafirovski, M. (2005). Social exchange theory under scrutiny: a positive critique of its economicbehaviorist formulations. Electronic Journal of Sociology, Retrieved fromhttp://www.so ciology.org/content/2005/tier2/SETheory.pdf
- Zeifman, D., & Hazan, C. (2008). Pair bonds as attachments: Re-evaluating the evidence. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver (Eds), Adult attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications (2nd ed.) (pp. 436-455). London: Guilford.
- Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J., & Petherick, J. (2006). Intimacy dating goals and relationship satisfaction during adolescence and emerging adulthood: Identity formation, age and sex as moderations. *International Journal of Behavioural Development*, 30, 174-177.

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Ajisha, T.T. & Fayize, P.V. (2024). Comparative Analysis of Interpersonal Relationships and Life Satisfaction Between Singles and Individuals in Romantic Relationships. International Journal of Indian Psychology, 12(3), 1483-1491. DIP:18.01.145.20241203, DOI:10.25215/1203.145