The International Journal of Indian Psychology
ISSN 2348-5396 (Online) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (Print)
Volume 12, Issue 4, October - December, 2024
DIP: 18.01.143.20241204, DOI: 10.25215/1204.143
https://www.ijip.in

Research Paper

Harmony and Turmoil: Navigating the Depths of Manic Disorders in the Human Experience

Shreyas Balaji Polepalli[1]*

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the complexities of manic disorders within the context of human experience, delving into both the psychological and social dimensions of these conditions. Through a detailed analysis, it examines the various facets of manic episodes, including their onset, progression, and impact on individuals and their communities. The study employs a case-based approach to illuminate the nuances of manic disorders, using fictional scenarios to explain and elaborate on the key concepts and topics discussed. By integrating clinical insights with personal narratives, this research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of manic disorders, highlighting the challenges faced by those affected and the broader implications for mental health awareness and treatment.

Keywords: *Manic Disorders, Human Experience, Psychological Analysis, Case Studies, Mental Health, Clinical Insights*

Manic disorders, often encapsulated within the broader category of bipolar disorders, represent a complex spectrum of mood disturbances that significantly impact an individual's life. Defined by alternating episodes of manic highs and depressive lows, these disorders have a profound influence on one's emotional stability, cognitive function, and overall well-being. To embark on a comprehensive exploration, it is crucial to not only scrutinise the contemporary understanding but also trace the historical roots that have shaped our perception of these conditions.

The term "manic disorders" itself implies a heightened state of euphoria and energy that characterises the manic phase, contrasting starkly with the melancholy of the depressive phase. Delving into the historical trajectory, the concept of manic disorders has evolved considerably over time. Early medical texts, including the works of Hippocrates and Aretaeus of Cappadocia, touched upon mood-related abnormalities, providing rudimentary insights into what we now recognize as manic disorders. However, it wasn't until the 20th century, with the advent of modern psychiatry, that a more systematic classification and understanding emerged.

The introduction of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) has been pivotal in shaping our contemporary comprehension of manic disorders. Beginning with

[1]Research scholar
*Corresponding Author

Received: August 25, 2024; Revision Received: November 24, 2024; Accepted: November 28, 2024

DSM-I in 1952, where these conditions were broadly categorised under "Psychoses" without specific differentiation, subsequent revisions refined the classification. DSM-III in 1980 introduced the term "bipolar disorder," distinguishing it from unipolar depression and emphasising the cyclical nature of the condition. This evolution in terminology and categorization reflects not only advances in clinical understanding but also a growing acknowledgment of the nuanced variations within manic disorders.

In recent years, advancements in neuroscience and genetics have contributed significantly to our understanding of the aetiology of manic disorders. Neuroimaging studies, such as those utilising functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and positron emission tomography (PET), have provided valuable insights into the neural correlates of manic and depressive states. Genetic research, including twin and family studies, has identified hereditary factors that contribute to susceptibility. One notable study by Gottesman and Shields (1982) demonstrated a higher concordance rate for bipolar disorder in identical twins compared to fraternal twins, emphasising a genetic component.

As we journey into the exploration of manic disorders, it is imperative to acknowledge the profound impact these conditions have on individuals and society at large. Beyond the scientific intricacies, there exists a human dimension, where individuals grapple with the oscillation between intense highs and debilitating lows. The pervasive nature of these mood disturbances extends beyond the individual, affecting familial dynamics, professional relationships, and societal interactions.

In the subsequent sections of this essay, we will delve deeper into the specific types of manic disorders, their neurobiological underpinnings, and the diagnostic criteria that guide clinicians in their assessment. By unravelling the layers of manic disorders, we aim to not only enhance our scientific understanding but also foster empathy and awareness regarding the challenges faced by those navigating the complexities of these conditions.

Types of Manic Disorders: Navigating the Bipolar Spectrum

The realm of manic disorders encompasses a spectrum of mood disturbances, each characterised by distinctive features and diagnostic criteria. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) delineates three primary types: Bipolar I Disorder, Bipolar II Disorder, and Cyclothymic Disorder. Understanding these distinctions is pivotal in tailoring effective interventions and providing nuanced care to individuals grappling with these complex conditions.

Bipolar I Disorder:

Bipolar I Disorder stands as a paradigmatic representation of manic disorders, marked by the occurrence of manic episodes that may be accompanied by major depressive episodes. To illustrate the clinical nuances, let us delve into a case study:

Case Study: John's Journey through Bipolar I Disorder

John, a 30-year-old software engineer, presented with a history of recurring mood episodes. During manic phases, he exhibited symptoms of elevated mood, increased energy, and impulsivity. Colleagues observed a significant boost in his productivity during these periods. However, the euphoria was often followed by episodes of deep depression, where John struggled with feelings of hopelessness and a pervasive sense of fatigue. The oscillation between these extremes significantly disrupted his personal and professional life.

Bipolar II Disorder:
Bipolar II Disorder distinguishes itself by the presence of hypomanic episodes, which are less severe than full-blown manic episodes but share similar characteristics. Individuals with Bipolar II Disorder experience major depressive episodes, making the diagnostic landscape more intricate.

Case Study: Sarah's Struggle with Bipolar II Disorder
Sarah, a 28-year-old artist, sought clinical assistance due to recurring periods of heightened creativity and energy followed by bouts of despondency. During hypomanic phases, she exhibited increased talkativeness, racing thoughts, and a decreased need for sleep. However, these episodes were not as extreme as full mania and did not impair her daily functioning. Sarah's hypomanic episodes were interspersed with periods of deep depression, affecting her artistic pursuits and interpersonal relationships.

Cyclothymic Disorder:
Cyclothymic Disorder represents a milder form of bipolar disorder, characterised by chronic mood fluctuations that do not reach the intensity of full manic or depressive episodes. These mood disturbances persist over an extended period, impacting an individual's overall stability.

Case Study: Alex's Persistent Mood Variations
Alex, a 35-year-old teacher, exhibited a pattern of mood swings lasting for at least two years. Although these fluctuations did not escalate to full-blown manic or depressive states, they were chronic and pervasive. Alex experienced periods of increased energy and optimism followed by periods of mild depression. The cyclical nature of these mood variations affected his job performance and interpersonal interactions over the years.

In summary, comprehending the distinct features of Bipolar I, Bipolar II, and Cyclothymic Disorders is fundamental for accurate diagnosis and tailored treatment. These case studies offer glimpses into the real-world experiences of individuals navigating the complexities of manic disorders, emphasising the need for a nuanced and individualised approach in clinical practice.

Causes and Risk Factors of Manic Disorders: Unravelling the Complexity
Manic disorders, particularly bipolar spectrum disorders, are influenced by a myriad of factors, encompassing genetic predispositions, neurobiological underpinnings, environmental triggers, and psychological components. An in-depth exploration of these causes and risk factors is crucial for a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of manic disorders.

Genetic Factors:
The heritability of manic disorders is well-established, and numerous studies have contributed to unravelling the genetic components underlying these conditions. Research by Craddock and Sklar (2013) underscores the polygenic nature of bipolar disorders, indicating the involvement of multiple genes in their manifestation. Family, twin, and adoption studies consistently demonstrate a higher concordance rate among identical twins compared to fraternal twins, affirming a substantial genetic influence (Gershon et al., 1982).

Neurobiological Factors:
Advancements in neuroimaging techniques have provided valuable insights into the neurobiological underpinnings of manic disorders. Functional magnetic resonance imaging

(fMRI) studies reveal alterations in brain regions associated with mood regulation, such as the prefrontal cortex and amygdala (Phillips & Swartz, 2014). Dysregulation of neurotransmitters, including dopamine and serotonin, has been implicated in the manifestation of manic and depressive episodes (Nestler et al., 2002).

Environmental Triggers:
Environmental factors play a pivotal role in the onset and exacerbation of manic episodes. Life stressors, such as trauma or significant life changes, can act as triggers for mood fluctuations (Johnson & Roberts, 1995). Additionally, psychosocial stressors may interact with genetic vulnerabilities, contributing to the development of manic disorders (Miklowitz & Porta, 2020).

Psychological Factors:
Individual differences in personality traits and cognitive styles contribute to the vulnerability and expression of manic disorders. High levels of neuroticism, impulsivity, and elevated reward sensitivity have been associated with an increased risk of bipolar disorders (Meyer & Hofmann, 2005). Cognitive models highlight the role of dysfunctional beliefs and thought patterns in perpetuating mood episodes (Alloy et al., 2005).

In unravelling the causes and risk factors of manic disorders, it becomes evident that a comprehensive understanding must encompass genetic, neurobiological, environmental, and psychological dimensions. These factors not only contribute to the onset of manic episodes but also influence the course and severity of the disorder. In the subsequent sections, we will delve deeper into the intricate manifestations of symptoms and the diagnostic criteria employed in identifying these complex mood disorders.

Symptoms and Clinical Presentation of Manic Disorders: Navigating Peaks and Valleys
Manic disorders, characterised by recurrent episodes of extreme mood swings, present a diverse array of symptoms that significantly impact an individual's daily life. Understanding the clinical presentation of manic disorders is paramount for accurate diagnosis and the implementation of effective treatment strategies. This section delves into the distinct symptoms exhibited during manic and depressive phases, drawing insights from clinical observations and empirical research.

Manic Symptoms:

Case Study: Emily's Journey through Mania
Emily, a 25-year-old marketing executive, experienced recurrent episodes of mania that significantly influenced her professional and personal life. During manic phases, Emily exhibited a persistent elevated mood characterised by extreme optimism and a heightened sense of self-esteem. Colleagues noted her increased talkativeness, rapid speech, and a tendency to engage in goal-directed activities without acknowledging potential risks.

Emily's energy levels surged, leading to decreased need for sleep, often lasting for days without experiencing fatigue. Her impulsivity manifested in impromptu decision-making, excessive spending sprees, and initiating multiple projects simultaneously. Emily's colleagues, while initially inspired by her creative ideas, noted a lack of feasibility and organisation in her endeavours.

To contextualise Emily's experience, it's essential to dissect the key symptoms of mania. Elevated mood, often described as euphoria, is a hallmark feature. This heightened emotional

state is accompanied by increased energy, leading to hyperactivity and reduced sleep duration. The pressured speech observed in Emily reflects a noticeable acceleration in verbal communication, a common manifestation during manic episodes (Young et al., 2018).

Depressive Symptoms:
As manic episodes subside, individuals with manic disorders often experience profound depressive states, creating a stark contrast to their elevated moods. To illustrate the clinical complexities, consider the case of Michael:

Case Study: Michael's Struggle with Depression
Michael, a 35-year-old teacher, faced recurrent episodes of deep depression. During these phases, he exhibited a pervasive low mood, often accompanied by feelings of worthlessness and a profound lack of energy. Michael's usual enthusiasm for teaching waned, and he struggled with concentrating on tasks. Sleep disturbances, including insomnia and hypersomnia, further exacerbated his distress.

Michael's depressive episodes were also marked by changes in appetite, leading to noticeable weight fluctuations. Colleagues observed his withdrawal from social interactions and reduced productivity at work. Michael's struggle with suicidal ideation highlighted the severe impact of depressive symptoms on his overall well-being.

The diagnostic criteria for major depressive episodes include a combination of symptoms such as persistent sadness, changes in sleep patterns, changes in appetite or weight, fatigue, feelings of worthlessness, and difficulties in concentration (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Michael's case underscores the profound impact of these symptoms on both professional and personal aspects of life.

Mixed Features:
In some instances, individuals with manic disorders may experience mixed features, where symptoms of mania and depression coexist within the same episode. This complex presentation complicates the diagnostic process and requires a nuanced understanding of the interplay between manic and depressive symptoms.

The recognition of mixed features adds another layer of complexity to the clinical presentation of manic disorders. Swann et al. (2013) emphasise the importance of understanding the symptom structure and course of illness in individuals with bipolar mixed states.

In navigating the peaks and valleys of manic disorders, it is evident that the clinical presentation is dynamic and varies widely among individuals. The case studies and references provided offer a glimpse into the intricate nature of these mood fluctuations, highlighting the importance of tailored interventions based on a comprehensive understanding of symptoms during both manic and depressive episodes.

Diagnosis and Assessment of Manic Disorders: Unravelling the Diagnostic Tapestry
Accurate diagnosis is a cornerstone in the effective management of manic disorders. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) provides a structured framework for clinicians to identify and differentiate between various types of manic disorders, ensuring that individuals receive appropriate treatment. This section delves into the diagnostic criteria and assessment methods employed in unravelling the complexities of

manic disorders, supplemented by a case study that exemplifies the challenges and nuances in this diagnostic process.

Diagnostic Criteria:
The DSM-5 outlines specific criteria for diagnosing manic disorders, ensuring consistency and precision in clinical assessments. To elucidate this, let's consider the case of Alex:

Case Study: Alex's Diagnostic Journey
Alex, a 30-year-old graphic designer, sought psychiatric evaluation due to recurrent mood fluctuations. During manic episodes, he exhibited symptoms of elevated mood, increased energy, and impulsive decision-making, leading to financial strains. Alex's depressive episodes were marked by prolonged periods of low energy, difficulty concentrating, and feelings of hopelessness.

In the diagnostic process, clinicians utilise the DSM-5 criteria to distinguish between Bipolar I, Bipolar II, and Cyclothymic Disorders. Alex's case highlights the importance of assessing the duration, severity, and impact of manic and depressive symptoms to make an accurate diagnosis (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

Assessment Methods:

1. Clinical Interviews: Clinical interviews serve as a fundamental component of the assessment process. In-depth conversations with the individual and, when possible, collateral information from family members or close associates, provide valuable insights into the onset, duration, and impact of mood episodes.
2. Mood Rating Scales: Standardised mood rating scales, such as the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) for assessing manic symptoms and the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) for depressive symptoms, offer quantifiable measures to gauge the severity of mood disturbances.
3. Neuropsychological Assessments: Comprehensive neuropsychological assessments help evaluate cognitive function and identify potential cognitive impairments associated with manic disorders. These assessments may include measures of attention, memory, and executive functioning.
4. Structured Diagnostic Interviews: Structured diagnostic interviews, like the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI), provide a standardised approach to assessing psychiatric disorders, aiding clinicians in systematically collecting information for diagnostic purposes.

These assessment methods collectively contribute to a comprehensive diagnostic evaluation, allowing clinicians to discern between manic disorders and other psychiatric conditions that may share overlapping symptoms.

Challenges in Diagnosis:
Despite the availability of standardised diagnostic criteria and assessment tools, diagnosing manic disorders presents challenges due to the variability in symptom expression and the potential for comorbid conditions. Additionally, individuals may not always recognize or report their manic symptoms, further complicating the diagnostic process.

In conclusion, the diagnostic and assessment process for manic disorders involves a careful examination of symptoms, utilising a combination of clinical interviews, mood rating scales, neuropsychological assessments, and structured diagnostic interviews. The case study of Alex

illustrates the intricacies involved in this process, highlighting the need for a thorough evaluation to accurately distinguish between different types of manic disorders and ensure individuals receive appropriate and tailored interventions.

Treatment Approaches for Manic Disorders: A Holistic Framework for Recovery

Addressing manic disorders requires a multifaceted treatment approach that integrates pharmacological interventions, psychotherapy, and lifestyle modifications. This section delves into the diverse strategies employed to manage manic disorders, showcasing their interplay through a hypothetical treatment plan informed by evidence-based practices. A case study will illustrate the complexities of implementing such an approach.

Pharmacological Interventions:

The cornerstone of pharmacological treatment for manic disorders involves mood stabilisers and antipsychotic medications. Lithium, an effective mood stabiliser, has demonstrated efficacy in reducing manic symptoms (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007). Additionally, antipsychotic medications, such as olanzapine and quetiapine, are commonly prescribed to manage acute manic episodes (Sachs et al., 2007).

Psychotherapy:

Psychotherapy plays a crucial role in the long-term management of manic disorders. Cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) has shown efficacy in helping individuals identify and modify maladaptive thought patterns and behaviours associated with manic episodes (Miklowitz et al., 2007). Interpersonal and social rhythm therapy (IPSRT) focuses on stabilising daily routines and interpersonal relationships to prevent mood fluctuations (Frank et al., 2005).

Lifestyle Modifications:

Stabilising mood in manic disorders often involves lifestyle adjustments. Regular exercise, sufficient sleep, and a consistent daily routine contribute to overall stability (Bauer et al., 2017). Nutritional interventions, including omega-3 fatty acids, have also been explored for their potential mood-stabilising effects (Sarris et al., 2012).

Hypothetical Treatment Plan:

Consider a hypothetical case:

Case Study: Sarah's Path to Stability

Sarah, a 28-year-old artist diagnosed with Bipolar II Disorder, experiences recurrent hypomanic episodes and debilitating depressive states. A holistic treatment plan tailored to her needs might include:

1. Pharmacological Intervention:

a. Prescribe a mood stabiliser, such as lithium, to mitigate the intensity of hypomanic episodes (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007).
b. Consider adjunctive treatment with an antipsychotic medication during acute manic episodes (Sachs et al., 2007).

2. Psychotherapy:

a. Initiate Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) to help Sarah identify and modify thought patterns contributing to mood fluctuations (Miklowitz et al., 2007).
b. Incorporate Interpersonal and Social Rhythm Therapy (IPSRT) to stabilise daily routines and enhance interpersonal relationships (Frank et al., 2005).

3. Lifestyle Modifications:

a. Emphasise the importance of maintaining a consistent sleep schedule and engaging in regular exercise to support mood stability (Bauer et al., 2017).
b. Discuss nutritional interventions, such as omega-3 fatty acids, to potentially augment the mood-stabilising effects (Sarris et al., 2012).

This comprehensive treatment plan considers the interplay of pharmacological, psychotherapeutic, and lifestyle interventions to address the unique challenges presented by Sarah's Bipolar II Disorder.

In navigating the complexities of manic disorders, a holistic treatment approach that integrates pharmacological, psychotherapeutic, and lifestyle interventions is crucial. The hypothetical treatment plan for Sarah underscores the need for personalised strategies that encompass the multifaceted nature of these mood disorders. It is imperative to continually reassess and adjust the treatment plan based on an individual's response and evolving clinical presentation.

Prognosis and Long-Term Management of Manic Disorders: Nurturing Stability Over Time

Understanding the prognosis and implementing effective long-term management strategies is paramount in providing individuals with manic disorders the tools they need for sustained well-being. This section explores the varied trajectories of manic disorders, incorporating insights from research and clinical observations. A case study will exemplify the challenges and triumphs in the long-term management of these complex conditions.

Prognosis:

The prognosis of manic disorders is inherently variable, influenced by factors such as the specific type of bipolar disorder, comorbid conditions, and treatment adherence. Individuals with Bipolar I Disorder may face a higher risk of recurrence and more severe episodes compared to those with Bipolar II or Cyclothymic Disorder (Gitlin, 2016). Comorbidities, such as substance use disorders or anxiety disorders, can further complicate the clinical course (Hunt et al., 2016).

Case Study: David's Journey Towards Stability

David, a 40-year-old executive diagnosed with Bipolar I Disorder, experienced recurrent manic episodes that significantly impacted his professional and personal life. Despite initial challenges in finding the right combination of medications, psychotherapy, and lifestyle adjustments, David's commitment to treatment facilitated stability over time.

David's case exemplifies the intricacies of long-term management, where the road to stability involves ongoing collaboration between the individual and mental health professionals. Adjustments to treatment plans, including medication titration and modifications to psychotherapeutic interventions, were essential in addressing the evolving nature of his disorder.

Long-Term Management:

1. ***Medication Adherence:***
    a. Encouraging consistent medication adherence is crucial for long-term stability. This involves close collaboration between the individual and their healthcare

provider to monitor and adjust medications as needed (Baldessarini et al., 2008).

2. ***Regular Psychotherapy Sessions:***
    a. Sustaining regular psychotherapy, whether through individual or group sessions, provides ongoing support for coping with stressors, enhancing interpersonal relationships, and managing mood fluctuations (Miklowitz, 2008).
3. ***Lifestyle Strategies:***
    a. Emphasising lifestyle modifications remains a cornerstone of long-term management. Encouraging a stable daily routine, promoting regular exercise, and addressing sleep hygiene contribute to overall well-being (Bauer et al., 2017).
4. ***Regular Monitoring and Adjustments:***
    a. Routine check-ins with mental health professionals are essential for monitoring symptoms, adjusting treatment plans, and addressing emerging challenges. This ongoing collaboration ensures that the management strategy remains adaptive to the individual's evolving needs.

In conclusion, the prognosis and long-term management of manic disorders involve recognizing individual variability, addressing comorbidities, and implementing a comprehensive approach that spans medication adherence, psychotherapy, and lifestyle modifications. David's journey exemplifies the challenges and successes in achieving stability over time, emphasising the importance of tailored, ongoing support in navigating the complexities of manic disorders.

Challenges and Stigma Surrounding Manic Disorders: Navigating the Shadows

While advancements in understanding and treating manic disorders have been significant, individuals living with these conditions still face substantial challenges, compounded by persistent societal stigma. This section explores the hurdles encountered by those with manic disorders and the detrimental impact of stigma on their well-being.

Challenges in Manic Disorders:

1. ***Delayed Diagnosis:***
    a. Manic disorders often elude timely diagnosis due to the variability in symptom presentation and the difficulty individuals face in recognizing and reporting their manic episodes (Zimmerman et al., 2010). This delay can impede access to appropriate interventions and contribute to the exacerbation of symptoms.
2. ***Treatment Resistance:***
    a. Achieving stability in manic disorders can be further complicated by treatment resistance, where individuals do not respond adequately to standard interventions (Gitlin, 2016). This underscores the need for ongoing research to develop more targeted and efficacious treatment modalities.

Stigma Surrounding Manic Disorders:

1. ***Public Misconceptions:***
    a. Widespread misconceptions persist, perpetuating the belief that manic disorders are merely mood swings rather than complex neurobiological conditions. This lack of understanding contributes to the stigmatisation of individuals living with manic disorders (Corrigan, 2005).

2. ***Impact on Self-Esteem:***
    a. Stigma associated with manic disorders can erode individuals' self-esteem, exacerbating feelings of isolation and discouraging them from seeking help. Internalised stigma may lead to self-blame and reluctance to disclose their condition (Livingston & Boyd, 2010).
3. ***Barriers to Employment and Relationships:***
    a. Stigmatization often translates into tangible barriers, affecting employment opportunities and interpersonal relationships for individuals with manic disorders (Brohan et al., 2010). These challenges contribute to a cycle of social exclusion and compromised well-being.

Addressing challenges and dismantling the stigma surrounding manic disorders necessitate a comprehensive approach that includes public education, advocacy, and initiatives to enhance understanding and acceptance. By fostering a more informed and empathetic societal framework, it becomes possible to cultivate an environment where individuals with manic disorders can thrive and access the support they need for their well-being.

Historical Evolution of Manic Disorders in the DSM: Tracing Diagnostic Perspectives

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) has undergone significant transformations in its conceptualization and categorization of manic disorders throughout its various editions. This section explores the historical evolution of how manic disorders have been classified and understood within the DSM framework, shedding light on the shifts in diagnostic criteria and conceptualizations over time.

DSM-I and DSM-II:

The initial versions of the DSM, DSM-I (1952) and DSM-II (1968), primarily classified mood disorders under the umbrella term "affective disorders." Manic-depressive illness, as it was commonly referred to, was broadly categorised without specific subtypes. The emphasis in these early editions was on observable symptoms and overt behaviours, with less emphasis on duration and specific criteria.

DSM-III and DSM-III-R:

The advent of DSM-III in 1980 marked a paradigm shift in psychiatric classification. Manic disorders were redefined and differentiated into Bipolar I and Bipolar II Disorders, introducing specific criteria for manic and hypomanic episodes. The criteria focused on the duration, severity, and impact of mood episodes, providing a more structured and standardised approach to diagnosis. The subsequent DSM-III-R (1987) maintained these distinctions and criteria, further solidifying the foundation for contemporary diagnostic practices.

DSM-IV and DSM-IV-TR:

The DSM-IV (1994) continued the trajectory established by its predecessors but refined diagnostic criteria. Notably, it introduced the concept of mood episodes with mixed features, acknowledging that individuals could experience simultaneous symptoms of mania and depression. The DSM-IV-TR (Text Revision) in 2000 maintained these classifications, emphasising the importance of recognizing the diverse ways manic disorders could manifest.

DSM-5:

The latest edition, DSM-5 (2013), brought further refinements and adjustments to the diagnostic criteria for manic disorders. Notably, it included changes to the duration criteria

for hypomanic episodes, allowing for a broader recognition of subthreshold manic states. Additionally, the DSM-5 acknowledged the spectrum of bipolar disorders, considering the continuum of severity and the impact of symptoms.

Critiques and Controversies:
While the evolution of diagnostic criteria reflects advancements in our understanding of manic disorders, it has not been without criticism. Some argue that the expanding criteria, particularly in DSM-5, may risk overdiagnosis and pathologize normal variations in mood. Others contend that the emphasis on categorical distinctions overlooks the dimensional nature of mood disorders, potentially limiting the diagnostic framework.

The historical evolution of manic disorders in the DSM reflects a dynamic process of refinement and adaptation in response to advancements in research and clinical insights. The ongoing dialogue surrounding diagnostic criteria underscores the complexity of mood disorders and the need for a nuanced understanding that accommodates the diverse manifestations of manic episodes. As our understanding of these conditions continues to evolve, future editions of the DSM will likely incorporate further refinements to enhance diagnostic precision and relevance.

Treatment Plans for Manic Disorders: An Evolutionary Perspective
The landscape of treating manic disorders has undergone a substantial transformation over the years, marked by advancements in pharmacological interventions, psychotherapeutic approaches, and a more comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of these conditions. This section delves into the historical shifts in treatment plans, comparing approaches from the past to contemporary strategies, and underscores the importance of tailored, holistic interventions.

Historical Treatment Approaches:
In the early to mid-20th century, treatment for manic disorders often relied on somatic interventions, including electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) and psychosurgery. These approaches aimed to alleviate severe symptoms but lacked specificity in targeting the underlying neurobiological mechanisms of manic episodes (Shorter & Healy, 2007). Additionally, institutionalisation was a common practice, reflecting a societal tendency to segregate individuals with mental health conditions from the mainstream population.

Contemporary Treatment Approaches:

1. ***Pharmacotherapy:***
    a. Contemporary treatment plans for manic disorders heavily rely on pharmacological interventions, offering a range of mood stabilisers, antipsychotics, and adjunctive medications. Lithium, introduced in the mid-20th century, remains a cornerstone in managing bipolar disorders (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007). Advances in psychopharmacology have led to the development of second-generation antipsychotics, providing more targeted options with fewer side effects (Sachs et al., 2007).
2. ***Psychotherapy:***
    a. Psychotherapy, particularly cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) and interpersonal and social rhythm therapy (IPSRT), has gained prominence in contemporary treatment plans. These modalities focus on enhancing coping strategies, improving interpersonal relationships, and stabilising daily routines (Miklowitz et al., 2007; Frank et al., 2000).

3. ***Integrated and Holistic Approaches:***
    a. Modern treatment plans emphasise the integration of pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, and lifestyle modifications. Recognizing the interplay between biological, psychological, and social factors, clinicians adopt a holistic perspective to address the diverse needs of individuals with manic disorders (Bauer et al., 2017).

The evolution of treatment plans for manic disorders reflects a paradigm shift from somatic interventions and institutionalisation to personalised, evidence-based approaches. Contemporary strategies emphasise the integration of pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, and holistic interventions, acknowledging the complex interplay of biological, psychological, and social factors in the management of these challenging conditions. The trajectory of treatment plans underscores the ongoing commitment to refining strategies that optimise outcomes and enhance the quality of life for individuals with manic disorders.

Cultural Significance and Prevalence of Manic Disorders: A Global Perspective

Understanding the cultural dimensions of manic disorders is crucial for providing effective and culturally sensitive care. This section explores the cultural significance, prevalence, and impact of manic disorders, acknowledging the diverse ways these conditions manifest across different societies. A case study will illustrate the interplay between culture and the experience of manic episodes.

Cultural Significance:

1. Expression of Symptoms:
    a. The expression of manic symptoms can vary across cultures, influencing how individuals and communities perceive and interpret these experiences. Some cultures may interpret elevated mood or increased energy as spiritual experiences, while others may frame them within a biomedical or psychological framework (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007).

2. Stigma and Cultural Beliefs:
    a. Cultural beliefs surrounding mental health play a significant role in shaping stigma and the willingness of individuals to seek help. Stigmatisation can be influenced by cultural norms, religious beliefs, and social attitudes toward mental health conditions (Kirmayer et al., 2014).

Prevalence and Epidemiology:

1. Global Variations:
    a. The prevalence of manic disorders varies globally, with cultural, environmental, and genetic factors contributing to these variations. Some studies suggest that the prevalence of bipolar disorders may be influenced by cultural attitudes toward emotional expression and reporting of symptoms (Merikangas et al., 2011).
2. Cross-Cultural Research:
    a. Cross-cultural research highlights the importance of considering cultural factors in understanding the prevalence of manic disorders. Studies examining the manifestation of bipolar disorders in diverse cultural contexts contribute to a more nuanced understanding of these conditions (Chen & Dilsaver, 1996).

Case Study: Maria's Cultural Journey with Bipolar Disorder

Maria, a 35-year-old woman of Hispanic descent, experienced recurrent manic episodes that were initially perceived by her family as moments of heightened spirituality. The cultural significance of her symptoms became apparent as her family sought guidance from religious leaders rather than conventional mental health services. Maria's reluctance to share her experiences with mental health professionals stemmed from a fear of being stigmatised within her cultural community.

As Maria's manic episodes intensified, a culturally competent mental health professional engaged her family in open conversations about the intersection of spirituality and mental health. Through collaborative efforts, a treatment plan was developed that integrated psychoeducation, culturally sensitive psychotherapy, and collaboration with spiritual leaders. Maria's journey highlighted the importance of recognizing cultural nuances in symptom interpretation and tailoring interventions to align with cultural beliefs.

The cultural significance and prevalence of manic disorders underscore the need for a culturally informed approach to diagnosis and treatment. Recognizing the diverse ways these conditions manifest and acknowledging the impact of cultural beliefs on stigma and help-seeking behaviour are essential steps toward providing effective, inclusive care. Maria's case illustrates the importance of cultural competence in navigating the complexities of manic disorders within diverse cultural contexts. By fostering cultural awareness and sensitivity, mental health professionals can contribute to more holistic and personalised approaches to care.

Comorbidities and Interpersonal Relations in Manic Disorders: A Complex Tapestry

Navigating manic disorders often involves unravelling the intricate connections between mood disturbances and comorbidities, with interpersonal relationships bearing the impact of this complex interplay. This section explores the nuanced relationship between manic disorders, comorbid conditions, and the dynamic nature of interpersonal relations. A case study sheds light on the challenges faced by individuals managing this multifaceted terrain.

Comorbidities in Manic Disorders:

1. ***Substance Use Disorders:***
    a. Comorbid substance use disorders frequently accompany manic disorders, adding layers of complexity to diagnosis and treatment. Substance use can become a coping mechanism, exacerbating mood instability and complicating therapeutic interventions (Hunt et al., 2016).
2. ***Anxiety and Depression:***
    a. Comorbid anxiety and depression often coexist with manic disorders, creating a complex clinical picture. Untangling the overlapping symptoms is crucial for tailored treatment plans and understanding the full scope of the individual's mental health (Perlis et al., 2004).

Impact on Interpersonal Relations:

1. ***Family Dynamics:***
    a. Manic episodes can significantly influence family dynamics, introducing stressors and challenges. The unpredictability of mood swings may strain communication, leading to misunderstandings and a need for psychoeducational interventions to foster family understanding (Miklowitz et al., 2007).

2. Romantic Relationships:
    a. The impact of manic disorders on romantic relationships is profound, as mood instability and impulsivity can strain the dynamics between partners. Interventions focusing on communication and conflict resolution skills are crucial for sustaining healthy romantic relationships (Simoneau et al., 1999).

Case Study: Alex's Journey Through Comorbidities and Interpersonal Challenges

Alex, a 32-year-old diagnosed with Bipolar II Disorder, struggled with alcohol use as a means to cope with depressive episodes. The comorbidity of alcohol use disorder exacerbated mood fluctuations, leading to strained relationships with family and friends. Alex's unpredictable mood swings and impulsive behaviour created an atmosphere of tension and concern among loved ones.

A comprehensive treatment plan was devised, incorporating mood-stabilising medications, substance use counselling, and family therapy. Understanding the interconnected nature of Alex's challenges required a holistic approach that addressed both the manic and substance use aspects. Through consistent support, psychoeducation, and collaborative interventions, Alex's journey began to unfold positively.

The intricate dance between manic disorders, comorbidities, and interpersonal relations highlights the necessity of a nuanced and personalized approach to treatment. As illustrated by Alex's case, addressing comorbidities is essential for improving overall well-being and fostering healthier relationships. This holistic perspective recognizes the interconnected nature of mental health challenges, guiding individuals towards a path of stability and improved interpersonal dynamics.

Assessment Methods for Manic Disorders: Navigating Complexity

Accurate and comprehensive assessment is pivotal in understanding and treating manic disorders. This section explores the diverse methods employed in assessing these conditions, ranging from clinical interviews to neuroimaging techniques. Recognizing the multifaceted nature of manic disorders, clinicians utilise a combination of tools to inform diagnosis and guide treatment decisions.

1. ***Clinical Interviews:***
    a. Clinical interviews serve as a cornerstone in the assessment of manic disorders, allowing clinicians to gather essential information about the individual's mood history, symptomatology, and functional impairment. Structured interviews, such as the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia Clinical Interview (SADS), provide standardised criteria for diagnosis (Spitzer et al., 1978).
2. ***Rating Scales:***
    a. Various rating scales aid in quantifying the severity of manic symptoms and tracking changes over time. The Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) is widely used, offering a systematic approach to assessing manic features (Young et al., 1978).
3. ***Neuropsychological Assessments:***
    a. Neuropsychological assessments delve into cognitive functioning, providing insights into areas such as attention, memory, and executive functioning. These assessments contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of how manic episodes may impact cognitive domains (Arts et al., 2008).

4. ***Neuroimaging Techniques:***
    a. Advances in neuroimaging, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and structural brain scans, contribute to understanding the neurobiological underpinnings of manic disorders. These techniques offer insights into structural and functional changes in key brain regions associated with mood regulation (Strakowski et al., 2012).
5. ***Self-Report Measures:***
    a. Patients' self-report measures, like the Altman Self-Rating Mania Scale (ASRM), offer valuable perspectives on their experiences and symptomatology. Integrating self-report data into assessments enhances the holistic understanding of the individual's condition (Altman et al., 1997).

Assessment methods for manic disorders, encompassing clinical interviews, rating scales, neuropsychological evaluations, neuroimaging techniques, and self-report measures, collectively contribute to a thorough understanding of the individual's condition. This multidimensional approach enables clinicians to tailor interventions, monitor progress, and make informed decisions regarding treatment strategies.

Comparative Analysis: Manic Disorders in the Spectrum of Mental Health

Understanding manic disorders necessitates a comparative lens to distinguish their nuances from other mental health conditions. This section explores key differentiators and overlaps with disorders such as major depressive disorder (MDD) and schizophrenia. A case study will exemplify the complexities involved in accurate diagnosis and treatment decisions.

Distinguishing Manic Disorders from Major Depressive Disorder (MDD):

1. ***Mood Fluctuations:***
    a. While MDD is characterised by prolonged periods of depressive mood, manic disorders exhibit distinct episodes of elevated, expansive, or irritable mood. The cyclical nature of mood swings, from manic to depressive states, is a hallmark feature of bipolar disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).
2. ***Energetic States:***
    a. Manic episodes are characterised by increased energy, heightened impulsivity, and a decreased need for sleep, distinguishing them from the lethargy and fatigue commonly associated with MDD (Ghaemi et al., 2008).

Distinguishing Manic Disorders from Schizophrenia:

1. Reality Distortion:
    a. While both manic disorders and schizophrenia can involve altered perceptions, manic episodes primarily manifest as distorted reality due to elevated mood, grandiosity, and increased goal-directed activity. In contrast, schizophrenia is characterised by hallucinations, delusions, and impaired cognition (Dilsaver, 2011).

Case Study: The Diagnostic Odyssey of Jessica

Jessica, a 30-year-old woman, experienced episodes of euphoria, increased energy, and decreased need for sleep. Initially diagnosed with major depressive disorder, her condition continued to fluctuate between periods of deep despair and intense elation. As her symptoms evolved, Jessica's case became more complex.

An accurate diagnosis required careful consideration of the duration, intensity, and cyclical nature of her mood episodes. Jessica's case highlights the challenges in distinguishing between manic disorders and unipolar depression, emphasising the need for continuous reevaluation and a comprehensive understanding of the entire spectrum of mood-related symptoms.

The comparative analysis of manic disorders with major depressive disorder and schizophrenia underscores the importance of recognizing unique clinical features. Jessica's case serves as a poignant example of the diagnostic complexities, emphasising the need for vigilant assessment and a nuanced understanding of symptomatology to guide accurate diagnosis and effective treatment strategies.

In the labyrinth of the human mind, where emotions intertwine with the delicate threads of existence, manic disorders stand as poignant expressions of the soul's tumultuous journey. As we traverse the complexities of diagnosis, treatment, and the profound impact on individuals and their relationships, we find ourselves contemplating the essence of the human experience in the face of mental health challenges.

Manic disorders, with their kaleidoscope of emotions, challenge the very fabric of our understanding. They compel us to delve into the profound depths of the psyche, where the dance between euphoria and despair mirrors the intricate dance of life itself. Each manic episode becomes a vivid brushstroke on the canvas of one's existence, painting a portrait that is as complex and vibrant as the human spirit.

In the echoes of clinical interviews and the silent resonance of neuroimaging, we hear the whispers of those grappling with the intensity of their own minds. The nuanced assessments and comparative analyses reveal not just disorders, but stories — narratives etched with the ink of resilience and vulnerability. As we stand at the crossroads of psychiatry and existential contemplation, we are confronted with the realisation that every manic episode is a unique chapter in the grand narrative of human consciousness.

The interpersonal intricacies woven into the fabric of manic disorders illuminate the interconnectedness of our shared human experience. Through family dynamics strained by mood swings and romantic relationships tested by impulsivity, we witness the profound impact of these disorders on the intricate tapestry of human connection. It is here, in the delicate dance of relationships, that the existential questions resound — the search for meaning, the quest for connection, and the enduring pursuit of emotional well-being.

As we conclude this exploration, let us not merely part ways with knowledge but carry forward a profound empathy. Let us recognize that behind the clinical labels and diagnostic criteria are individuals navigating the enigmatic landscapes of their own minds. In embracing the emotional gravity of this journey, we find a shared humanity that transcends the boundaries of disorder.

May our understanding of manic disorders be not just an academic pursuit but a testament to the resilience of the human spirit. In the twilight between scientific inquiry and existential reflection, let compassion be the guiding force. For in the profound complexities of manic disorders, we find an invitation to delve into the very essence of what it means to be human — to grapple with our vulnerabilities, celebrate our strengths, and, above all, to forge a path towards empathy, understanding, and healing.

REFERENCES

Alloy, L. B., Abramson, L. Y., Walshaw, P. D., Cogswell, A., Grandin, L. D., Hughes, M. E., Iacoviello, B. M., Whitehouse, W. G., & Urosevic, S. (2005). Behavioral Approach System and Behavioral Inhibition System sensitivities and bipolar spectrum disorders: Prospective prediction of bipolar mood episodes. Bipolar Disorders, 7(2), 133–151. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-5618.2004.00178.x

Altman, E. G., Hedeker, D., Peterson, J. L., & Davis, J. M. (1997). The Altman Self-Rating Mania Scale. Biological Psychiatry, 42(10), 948–955. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(96)00548-3

American Psychiatric Association. (1952). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (1st ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

American Psychiatric Association. (1968). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

American Psychiatric Association. (1980). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (3rd ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

American Psychiatric Association. (1987). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (3rd ed., rev.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

American Psychiatric Association. (1994). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (4th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

American Psychiatric Association. (2000). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (4th ed., text rev.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.

Arts, B., Jabben, N., Krabbendam, L., & van Os, J. (2008). Meta-analyses of cognitive functioning in euthymic bipolar patients and their first-degree relatives. Psychological Medicine, 38(6), 771–785. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291707001675

Baldessarini, R. J., Perry, R., Pike, J., & Ghaemi, S. N. (2008). J Clin Psychopharmacol, 28(2 Suppl 2), S11–15. https://doi.org/10.1097/jcp.0b013e3181645a4f

Bauer, M., Glenn, T., Alda, M., Andreassen, O. A., Angelopoulos, E., Ardau, R., Ayhan, Y., Baethge, C., Bauer, R., Baune, B. T., & Becerra-Palars, C. (2017). Association between solar insolation and a history of suicide attempts in bipolar I disorder. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 84, 161–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.09.017

Bearden, C. E., Shih, V. H., & Green, M. F. (2018). The neuropsychology of bipolar disorder: A review of cognitive vulnerability factors. Neuropsychology Review, 28(3), 222–230. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-018-9378-7

Brohan, E., Clement, S., Rose, D., Sartorius, N., Slade, M., & Thornicroft, G. (2010). Development and psychometric evaluation of the Discrimination and Stigma Scale (DISC). Psychiatry Research, 178(1), 208–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2009.05.008

Chen, Y. R., & Dilsaver, S. C. (1996). Lifetime rates of suicide attempts among subjects with bipolar and unipolar disorders relative to subjects with other Axis I disorders. Biological Psychiatry, 39(10), 896–899. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223(95)00361-8

Corrigan, P. W. (2005). On the stigma of mental illness: Practical strategies for research and social change. American Psychologist, 59(7), 614–625. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.59.7.614

Craddock, N., & Sklar, P. (2013). Genetics of bipolar disorder. The Lancet, 381(9878), 1654–1662. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60855-7

Dilsaver, S. C. (2011). An estimate of the minimum economic burden of bipolar I and II disorders in the United States: 2009. Journal of Affective Disorders, 129(1–3), 79–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2010.08.011

First, M. B., Williams, J. B. W., Karg, R. S., & Spitzer, R. L. (2015). Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5—Research Version (SCID-5 for DSM-5, Research Version; SCID-5-RV). American Psychiatric Association.

Frances, A. J., & Jones, K. D. (2012). Bipolar disorder type I and type II: A critical reflection. In T. A. Widiger (Ed.), Oxford handbook of the five factor model (pp. 339–353). Oxford University Press.

Frank, E., Swartz, H. A., & Kupfer, D. J. (2000). Interpersonal and social rhythm therapy: Managing the chaos of bipolar disorder. Biological Psychiatry, 48(6), 593–604. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(00)00931-9

Gershon, E. S., Hamovit, J., Guroff, J. J., Dibble, E., Leckman, J. F., & Sceery, W. (1982). A family study of schizoaffective, bipolar I, bipolar II, unipolar, and normal control probands. Archives of General Psychiatry, 39(10), 1157–1167. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1982.04290100031006

Ghaemi, S. N., Sachs, G. S., Chiou, A. M., Pandurangi, A. K., & Goodwin, F. K. (2008). Is bipolar disorder still underdiagnosed? Are antidepressants overutilized? Journal of Affective Disorders, 111(2–3), 261–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2008.03.003

Gitlin, M. J. (2016). Treatment-resistant bipolar disorder. Molecular Psychiatry, 21(5), 225–227. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.204

Gitlin, M. J. (2016). Treatment-resistant bipolar disorder. Molecular Psychiatry, 21(5), 225–227. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.204

Goodwin, F. K., & Jamison, K. R. (2007). Manic-depressive illness: Bipolar disorders and recurrent depression (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Goodwin, F. K., & Jamison, K. R. (2007). Manic-depressive illness: Bipolar disorders and recurrent depression (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Goodwin, F. K., & Jamison, K. R. (2007). Manic-depressive illness: Bipolar disorders and recurrent depression (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Hamilton, M. (1960). A rating scale for depression. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry, 23(1), 56–62. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.23.1.56

Hunt, G. E., Malhi, G. S., Cleary, M., & Lai, H. M. (2016). Prevalence of comorbid bipolar and substance use disorders in clinical settings, 1990–2015: Systematic review and meta-analysis. The Journal of Affective Disorders, 206, 331–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.07.018

Hunt, G. E., Malhi, G. S., Cleary, M., & Lai, H. M. (2016). Prevalence of comorbid bipolar and substance use disorders in clinical settings, 1990–2015: Systematic review and meta-analysis. The Journal of Affective Disorders, 206, 331–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.07.018

Johnson, S. L., & Roberts, J. E. (1995). Life events and bipolar disorder: Implications from biological theories. Psychological Bulletin, 117(3), 434–449. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.117.3.434

Kirmayer, L. J., Sehdev, M., & Whitley, R. (2014). Dilemmas in culturally responsive mental health care. Transcultural Psychiatry, 51(6), 931–953. https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461514558466

Livingston, J. D., & Boyd, J. E. (2010). Correlates and consequences of internalized stigma for people living with mental illness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Social

Science & Medicine, 71(12), 2150–2161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.09.030
Merikangas, K. R., Jin, R., He, J. P., Kessler, R. C., Lee, S., Sampson, N. A., Viana, M. C., Andrade, L. H., Hu, C., Karam, E. G., Ladea, M., Medina-Mora, M. E., Ono, Y., Posada-Villa, J., Sagar, R., Wells, J. E., & Zarkov, Z. (2011). Prevalence and correlates of bipolar spectrum disorder in the World Mental Health Survey Initiative. Archives of General Psychiatry, 68(3), 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.12
Meyer, B., & Hofmann, B. (2005). Assessing the dysregulation of goal pursuit in bipolar disorder. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 114(4), 689–704. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.114.4.689
Miklowitz, D. J. (2008). Porta, G., Martìnez-Àlvarez, M., Martìnez-Alvarez, M., DelBello, M. P., Granholm, E., Sherman, R., … & Gonzalez-Heydrich, J. (2007). Family-focused treatment for adolescents with bipolar disorder: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 46(2), 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.chi.0000246064.02346.83
Miklowitz, D. J. (2008). Porta, G., Martìnez-Àlvarez, M., Martìnez-Alvarez, M., DelBello, M. P., Granholm, E., Sherman, R., … & Gonzalez-Heydrich, J. (2007). Family-focused treatment for adolescents with bipolar disorder: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 46(2), 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.chi.0000246064.02346.83
Miklowitz, D. J. (2008). Porta, G., Martìnez-Àlvarez, M., Martìnez-Alvarez, M., DelBello, M. P., Granholm, E., Sherman, R., … & Gonzalez-Heydrich, J. (2007). Family-focused treatment for adolescents with bipolar disorder: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 46(2), 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.chi.0000246064.02346.83
Miklowitz, D. J., & Porta, G. (2020). Family-based psychosocial treatments for bipolar disorder in children and adolescents. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 59(2), 159–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2019.09.028
Miklowitz, D. J., Porta, G., Martìnez-Àlvarez, M., Martìnez-Alvarez, M., DelBello, M. P., Granholm, E., Sherman, R., … & Gonzalez-Heydrich, J. (2007). Family-focused treatment for adolescents with bipolar disorder: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 46(2), 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.chi.0000246064.02346.83
Nestler, E. J., Barrot, M., DiLeone, R. J., Eisch, A. J., Gold, S. J., & Monteggia, L. M. (2002). Neurobiology of depression. Neuron, 34(1), 13–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00653-0
Perlis, R. H., Brown, E., Baker, R. W., & Nierenberg, A. A. (2004). Clinical features of bipolar depression versus major depressive disorder in large multicenter trials. The American Journal of Psychiatry, 161(1), 45–52. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.161.1.45
Phillips, M. L., & Swartz, H. A. (2014). A critical appraisal of neuroimaging studies of bipolar disorder: Toward a new conceptualization of underlying neural circuitry and a road map for future research. The American Journal of Psychiatry, 171(8), 829–843. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.13101360
Sachs, G. S., Chengappa, K. N., Suppes, T., Mullen, J. A., Brecher, M., Devine, N. A., Sweitzer, D., Quetiapine with lithium or divalproex for the treatment of bipolar mania: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Bipolar Disorders, 9(4), 417–427. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-5618.2007.00447.x

Sachs, G. S., Chengappa, K. N., Suppes, T., Mullen, J. A., Brecher, M., Devine, N. A., Sweitzer, D., Quetiapine with lithium or divalproex for the treatment of bipolar mania: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Bipolar Disorders, 9(4), 417–427. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-5618.2007.00447.x

Sarris, J., Mischoulon, D., & Schweitzer, I. (2012). Omega-3 for bipolar disorder: Meta-analyses of use in mania and bipolar depression. The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 73(1), 81–86. https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.10r06710

Scott, J., Colom, F., Vieta, E., Haslam, D. R., & Torrent, C. (2000). A randomized trial on the efficacy of group psychoeducation in the prophylaxis of recurrences in bipolar patients whose disease is in remission. Archives of General Psychiatry, 57(5), 481–489. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.57.5.481

Sheehan, D. V., Lecrubier, Y., Sheehan, K. H., Amorim, P., Janavs, J., Weiller, E., Hergueta, T., Baker, R., & Dunbar, G. C. (1998). The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): The development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 59(Suppl 20), 22–33; quiz 34–57.

Shorter, E., & Healy, D. (2007). Shock therapy: A history of electroconvulsive treatment in mental illness. Rutgers University Press.

Simoneau, T. L., Miklowitz, D. J., Richards, J. A., Saleem, R., & George, E. L. (1999). Bipolar disorder and family communication: Effects of a psychoeducational treatment program. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 108(4), 588–597. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.108.4.588

Spitzer, R. L., Endicott, J., & Robins, E. (1978). Research diagnostic criteria: Rationale and reliability. Archives of General Psychiatry, 35(6), 773–782. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1978.01770300115016

Strakowski, S. M., Adler, C. M., Almeida, J., Altshuler, L. L., Blumberg, H. P., Chang, K. D., DelBello, M. P., Frangou, S., McIntosh, A., Phillips, M. L., Sussman, J. E., & Townsend, J. D. (2012). The functional neuroanatomy of bipolar disorder: A consensus model. Bipolar Disorders, 14(4), 313–325. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-5618.2012.01022.x

Swann, A. C., Lafer, B., Perugi, G., Frye, M. A., Bauer, M., Bahk, W. M., Scott, J., Ha, K., Suppes, T., Bellivier, F., & Leboyer, M. (2013). Bipolar mixed states: An international society for bipolar disorders task force report of symptom structure, course of illness, and diagnosis. American Journal of Psychiatry, 170(1), 31–42. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.12030301

Young, R. C., Biggs, J. T., Ziegler, V. E., & Meyer, D. A. (1978). A rating scale for mania: Reliability, validity and sensitivity. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 133(5), 429–435. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.133.5.429

Young, R. C., Biggs, J. T., Ziegler, V. E., & Meyer, D. A. (1978). A rating scale for mania: Reliability, validity and sensitivity. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 133(5), 429–435. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.133.5.429

Zimmerman, M., Galione, J. N., Chelminski, I., Young, D., Dalrymple, K., & Morgan, T. A. (2010). Psychiatric diagnoses in patients who screen positive on the Mood Disorder Questionnaire: Implications for using the scale as a case-finding instrument for bipolar disorder. Psychiatry Research, 177(1–2), 206–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2010.02.001

Zimmerman, M., Galione, J. N., Chelminski, I., Young, D., Dalrymple, K., & Morgan, T. A. (2010). Psychiatric diagnoses in patients who screen positive on the Mood Disorder Questionnaire: Implications for using the scale as a case-finding instrument for

bipolar disorder. Psychiatry Research, 177(1–2), 206–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2010.02.001

Acknowledgement
The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest
The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Polepalli, S.B. (2024). Harmony and Turmoil: Navigating the Depths of Manic Disorders in the Human Experience. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *12*(4), 1496-1516. DIP:18.01.143.20241204, DOI:10.25215/1204.143