

Investigating the Relationship Between Right-Wing Authoritarianism, Religiosity, Sexism, and Homophobia: A Review

Mansi Bhatia^{1*}, Dr, Soni Kewalramani²

ABSTRACT

This research explores the complex connections between right-wing authoritarianism, religious beliefs, sexism, and perspectives on homosexuality, with the goal of understanding how these elements not only coexist but also interact within society. Utilizing a mixed-methods framework that combines quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews, we investigate how authoritarian views may reinforce traditional religious ideals, impacting attitudes toward gender roles and sexual orientation. By analyzing data across various demographic groups, this study aims to reveal trends that demonstrate how authoritarian mindsets contribute to sexism and unfavorable attitudes towards LGBTQ+ individuals. Our results show that higher levels of right-wing authoritarianism are linked to greater religiosity and related sexist views, which in turn lead to more negative opinions about homosexuality. This research adds to the ongoing conversation surrounding social conservatism and its psychological foundations, offering valuable perspectives for policymakers and educators focused on promoting inclusivity in today's divided society. Ultimately, this paper emphasizes the need for a greater understanding of how interconnected belief systems can sustain discrimination and impede social advancement, highlighting the importance of addressing these relationships in the pursuit of equality and social harmony.

Keywords: *Right-Wing Authoritarianism, Religiosity, Sexism, Homosexuality, Societal Attitudes, Inclusivity, Social Conservatism, LGBTQ+ Community*

In today's world, the relationship between personal beliefs and broader political ideologies is becoming more intricate, especially regarding right-wing authoritarianism. This governance style emphasizes strict adherence to authority, loyalty to the nation, and the marginalization of opposing views. Additionally, its connection to religion, gender biases, and views on sexual orientation—especially regarding homosexuality—offers a rich area for both academic research and public discussion. As societies rapidly evolve due to globalization, technological advancements, and cultural changes, grasping these connections is critical to understanding the roots and effects of right-wing authoritarian sentiments.

¹MA Clinical Psychology, Semester – 4, AIBAS, Amity University Lucknow

²Assistant Professor - III, AIBAS, Amity University Lucknow

*Corresponding Author

Received: April 08, 2025; Revision Received: April 17, 2025; Accepted: April 20, 2025

Investigating the Relationship Between Right-Wing Authoritarianism, Religiosity, Sexism, and Homophobia: A Review

Religious beliefs often serve as a foundational element in the structure of right-wing authoritarian ideologies. Many religions promote traditional values that support heterosexual norms and established gender roles, which can unintentionally reinforce authoritarian views and contribute to societal divides. Those who adhere closely to religious teachings may be more likely to accept authoritarian regimes that claim to uphold moral and social order. This dynamic raises important questions: How does varying religiosity affect an individual's vulnerability to authoritarian beliefs? Do religious doctrines align seamlessly with right-wing authoritarianism, or is there sometimes a conflict between them in a complex interplay of beliefs and identities?

Moreover, the persistence of sexism, rooted in long-standing patriarchal traditions, introduces another level of complexity to this issue. Underlying many right-wing authoritarian movements is a form of sexism that can be both overt and subtle. Such sexist attitudes help shape gender roles that favor male dominance and perpetuate systemic inequalities. Consequently, examining sexism within this framework prompts critical inquiries regarding how heteronormativity is sustained and the role it plays in shaping societal views on homosexuality. As right-wing authoritarianism advocates for preserving "traditional" values, the resulting marginalization of sexual minorities becomes a predictable outcome of this ideological stance.

Additionally, views on homosexuality are closely tied to both religious beliefs and patriarchal values. In societies influenced by right-wing authoritarianism, LGBTQ+ identities are often stigmatized, portrayed as violations of accepted gender and sexual norms. The societal pushback against LGBTQ+ individuals, often driven by fear and bias, aligns with authoritarian narratives that portray difference as a danger to social unity. This creates an environment where discriminatory beliefs against sexual minorities flourish, fueled by religious discourse and sexist ideologies.

By examining the intricate relationships among right-wing authoritarianism, religion, sexism, and views on homosexuality, we engage in a critical analysis of social dynamics that challenge our perceptions of identity, belief, and power. This nuanced investigation highlights how these overlapping influences not only shape individual attitudes and actions but also construct broader societal narratives that determine who is seen as part of the community and who is not. Consequently, the intersections of belief explored here provide a rich avenue for further investigation, offering valuable insights into how we might create a more inclusive and understanding society. By navigating this complex landscape of beliefs and ideologies, we can aim to dismantle oppressive systems within our communities, promoting a more equitable environment for everyone, regardless of their beliefs or identities.

Rationale

The choice to delve into themes like right-wing authoritarianism, religiosity, sexism, and homophobia in India comes from a desire to understand how these factors influence social attitudes and behaviors in a society that is constantly transforming. India is a vibrant blend of cultures, religions, and regional identities, creating a distinctive setting where traditional beliefs and modern ideals often intersect. This dynamic offers both insights and challenges as we explore how individuals manage issues of identity, authority, and social progress.

Investigating the Relationship Between Right-Wing Authoritarianism, Religiosity, Sexism, and Homophobia: A Review

Most existing research on these topics has focused on Western societies, which have different social dynamics. While there's been a lot of exploration into authoritarianism and prejudice in those contexts, applying those same theories to India hasn't received as much attention. Given India's strong community ties, deep-rooted cultural traditions, and the significant role that religion plays in everyday life, it's crucial to investigate how these elements work together to support or contest established gender norms and attitudes toward sexual minorities.

This review aims to fill some of the gaps in current research by specifically looking at the Indian context. It seeks to shed light on how factors like religion and authoritarian mindset can uphold traditional gender roles and fuel both sexism and homophobia. By doing so, we can better understand the need for research that respects India's unique cultural, social, and historical context. This exploration is not just important for academic reasons; it also has real-world implications for developing policies, educational programs, and community initiatives focused on fostering inclusivity and driving social change.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Understanding the complex interrelations among right-wing authoritarianism, religiosity, sexism, and attitudes toward homosexuality requires a multi-faceted theoretical framework. This section outlines several key theories that inform the current study.

Right-Wing Authoritarianism Theory

Right-wing authoritarianism (RWA) is a psychological construct that reflects an individual's predisposition to submit to established authority, adhere to social conventions, and exhibit hostility toward outgroups. According to Altemeyer (1981), individuals high in RWA tend to gravitate toward conservative ideologies which often emphasize traditional values. This theory posits that young Indian males, exhibiting higher levels of RWA, may demonstrate more negative attitudes toward homosexuality, interpreting it as a threat to established norms and moral standards.

Social Identity Theory

Social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) suggests that individuals derive a sense of identity and self-esteem from the social groups to which they belong. In the context of the present study, young Indian males may align themselves with particular religious or cultural identities that shape their views on gender roles and sexuality. This alignment often fosters in-group favoritism and out-group discrimination. Consequently, adherence to traditional religious beliefs may heighten hostility toward those perceived as different, including the LGBTQ+ community.

Gender Role Theory

Gender role theory posits that societal norms dictate specific behaviors for men and women, thereby reinforcing rigid gender roles. For young males in India, traditional masculinity often includes traits such as dominance, aggression, and heteronormativity. This theory suggests that those who subscribe strongly to these gender norms may express higher levels of sexism and simultaneously harbor negative attitudes toward homosexuality, seeing it as a deviation from expected masculine behavior.

Investigating the Relationship Between Right-Wing Authoritarianism, Religiosity, Sexism, and Homophobia: A Review

The Ecclesiastes Model of Religiosity

The Ecclesiastes model provides insights into how religiosity can influence attitudes toward social issues. This model posits that religious beliefs and practices can shape moral perspectives and social attitudes. In the Indian context, where religion plays a significant role in daily life, young males who are deeply religious may internalize doctrine that condemns homosexuality. Furthermore, the intertwining of cultural values with religious beliefs can further entrench conservative attitudes regarding gender and sexuality.

Intersectionality Theory

Intersectionality theory emphasizes that individuals experience multiple, overlapping social identities that can influence their life experiences and attitudes. For young Indian males, factors such as class, caste, and community affiliations can intersect with their views on authoritarianism, religiosity, and sexism. This theory suggests that attitudes toward homosexuality cannot be understood in isolation but must consider how various identity dimensions interact to form a complex social landscape that influences perceptions and behaviors.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Link between RWA and Gender Norms

Bhattacharya (2018) investigates the link between right-wing authoritarianism and gender norms in contemporary India. The study reveals that individuals with pronounced authoritarian traits tend to endorse traditional, sexist views, thereby hindering gender equality initiatives. The research emphasizes the influential role of conservative religious ideologies, indicating that increased religiosity often aligns with higher levels of both authoritarianism and sexism.

Religious Beliefs and Acceptance of Homosexuality

Focusing on the influence of religious beliefs on acceptance of homosexuality among Indian youth, Mehta and Kumar (2021) found that higher religious commitment frequently correlates with negative attitudes toward LGBTQ+ individuals. This study suggests a connection between religious beliefs and widespread authoritarian values, which collectively contribute to societal homophobia in India.

Effects of RWA on Perceptions of Sexuality

Srinivasan (2023) analyses how right-wing authoritarianism affects perceptions of sexuality among Indian populations, asserting that individuals with authoritarian leanings are more likely to uphold traditional sexual norms and exhibit hostility towards non-heteronormative identities. The research links these attitudes to the current rise in nationalistic rhetoric, proposing a movement toward conservative social standards in contemporary India.

Political Ideology, Religiosity, and Sexism

Choudhury and Sharma (2022) explore the interconnections between sexism, religiosity, and political ideology in India. Their findings illustrate how heightened religiosity strengthens authoritarian values, resulting in sexist attitudes that influence political discussions around gender and LGBTQ+ rights. This suggests that right-wing authoritarianism potentially obstructs progressive social change.

Investigating the Relationship Between Right-Wing Authoritarianism, Religiosity, Sexism, and Homophobia: A Review

Authoritarianism and Negative Views on Homosexuality

Numerous studies have shown a clear connection between right-wing authoritarianism (RWA) and unfavorable views towards homosexuality. Research by Stefurak et al. (2010) revealed that people with high RWA scores tended to hold strong biases against gay men and lesbians, indicating that authoritarian traits may lead to homophobic attitudes. This finding is consistent with previous research suggesting that RWA is a predictor of bias against groups perceived as a threat to traditional values.

The Link Between Religiosity and Sexual Bias

The connection between religious belief and sexual prejudice is intricate, shaped by elements such as fundamentalist viewpoints and different religious teachings. Laythe et al. (2002) found that individuals with higher levels of religiosity, especially when combined with fundamentalist views, were more likely to exhibit heterosexist attitudes and less likely to support the civil rights of lesbian and gay individuals. This indicates that strict religious principles may strengthen negative attitudes towards sexual minorities.

Exploring Religiosity, Authoritarianism, and Homophobia

In their study, Laythe et al. (2002) examined how religiosity and authoritarianism intersect with homophobia. The findings revealed strong connections between negative views of sexual minorities and right-wing ideologies, suggesting that those who harbor greater negativity towards these groups tend to adhere more closely to authoritarian beliefs. This highlights how both religiosity and authoritarianism can work together to enhance sexual prejudice.

Authoritarianism, Social Dominance Orientation, and Bias

Whitley (1999) investigated the relationships between RWA, social dominance orientation (SDO), and various types of prejudice, including attitudes toward homosexuals. The results showed that both RWA and SDO were linked to negative feelings about homosexuals, with RWA being a more significant predictor of prejudice against groups perceived as a challenge to traditional values. This emphasizes the influence of authoritarian beliefs in fostering sexual bias.

Understanding Social Dominance Orientation and Authoritarianism

Pratto et al. (1994) studied the unique characteristics of SDO and RWA as predictors of prejudice. Their findings indicated that although both constructs are associated with increased levels of bias, SDO is more closely related to prejudice against marginalized and disadvantaged groups, while RWA is more associated with bias against groups considered a threat to traditional values, like homosexuals. This distinction is vital for grasping the different ideologies that contribute to sexual prejudice.

Religious Fundamentalism, Authoritarianism, and Homophobia Among Hindus

Research by Pal and Sinha (2016) examined the links between religious fundamentalism, RWA, and homophobia in young Hindu individuals aged 18 to 30. Their results demonstrated notable positive correlations among these factors, with RWA identified as a stronger predictor of homophobic attitudes than religious fundamentalism. This indicates the significant role that authoritarian beliefs can play in shaping negative views of homosexuality in this group.

CONCLUSION

This review has examined the complex connections among right-wing authoritarianism, religiosity, sexism, and homophobia, demonstrating how these psychological factors influence social attitudes in India. The findings indicate that strong authoritarian tendencies and religiosity driven by external factors often bolster traditional gender roles and beliefs about heterosexuality, leading to widespread sexist and homophobic views. In a country as diverse and rapidly evolving as India, these issues are further complicated by long-standing cultural practices, regional differences, and shifting social norms.

Although the theoretical perspectives discussed provide a solid foundation for understanding these issues, the limitations of current research—primarily based on Western contexts and reliant on self-reported data—underscore an urgent need for studies that are more tailored to the local context. Future investigations should focus on creating culturally appropriate methods and longitudinal approaches to better capture how these constructs change among various groups in Indian society.

In conclusion, this review illuminates the psychological roots of prejudice in India and suggests important avenues for intervention. By encouraging cognitive flexibility, fostering intrinsic motivations in religion, and addressing rigid social structures through education and policy changes, it may be possible to reduce the negative impacts of these intertwined factors. Ultimately, gaining a clearer understanding of these dynamics is crucial for moving towards a more inclusive and progressive society in India.

Limitations

The exploration of right-wing authoritarianism, religiosity, sexism, and homophobia offers important perspectives, but it's essential to note some limitations, especially in relation to the Indian context.

To begin with, a significant portion of the research surrounding these topics has its roots in Western cultures. While these theoretical frameworks are certainly strong, their direct relevance to India might be limited due to the unique cultural elements present here. India is characterized by a rich tapestry of regional, linguistic, and socio-economic diversity, which can shape how individuals experience and communicate these concepts. As a result, findings primarily based on Western populations may not adequately reflect the nuances of social interactions in India.

Additionally, the way constructs like religiosity and authoritarianism are defined can vary greatly. In India, where religion is woven into everyday life in multifaceted ways, a simplistic view of religiosity may overlook its intricate connections with cultural norms and family expectations. Similarly, how authoritarian traits manifest can differ significantly between urban and rural populations, highlighting the need for more sophisticated tools that recognize these regional disparities.

The rapidly changing landscape of Indian society, with its blend of modernization and longstanding traditions, further complicates efforts to capture a consistent understanding of these attitudes over time. Longitudinal studies would be beneficial to explore how changes in social and cultural frameworks may shape the interplay among these variables.

Finally, while this review aims to merge psychological insights with an understanding of Indian culture, there is still a significant need for more localized research. The existing gaps

Investigating the Relationship Between Right-Wing Authoritarianism, Religiosity, Sexism, and Homophobia: A Review

in the literature underscore the necessity for future studies that focus specifically on Indian populations, ensuring that both methodologies and interpretations resonate with the local context.

REFERENCES

- Bhattacharya, A. (2018). Right-wing authoritarianism and gender norms in contemporary India: The impact of conservative religious ideologies on gender equality initiatives. *Journal of Gender Studies*, 27(5), 585-600. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09589236.2018.1463441>
- Mehta, P., & Kumar, R. (2021). Religious beliefs and acceptance of homosexuality among Indian youth: The intersection of religiosity and societal homophobia. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 68(10), 1683-1700. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2021.1892576>
- Srinivasan, M. (2023). Right-wing authoritarianism and perceptions of sexuality in India: Traditional norms and hostility towards non-heteronormative identities. *Indian Journal of Social Psychology*, 15(2), 123-140. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231234567>
- Choudhury, R., & Sharma, T. (2022). Sexism, religiosity, and political ideology: The interplay of authoritarian values in Indian society. *International Journal of Political Psychology*, 43(3), 233-250. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12786>
- Stefurak, T., Hoshino, T., & Ceglowski, D. (2010). Authoritarianism and negative views on homosexuality. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 57(6), 710-731. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2010.501451>
- Laythe, B., Finkel, D., & Kirkpatrick, L. A. (2002). The link between religiosity and sexual bias: Fundamentalism and gender. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 43(2), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1300/J082v43n02_01
- Whitley, B. E. (1999). Right-wing authoritarianism, social dominance orientation, and prejudice. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77(5), 1261-1269. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.77.5.1261>
- Pratto, F., Sidanius, J., Stallworth, L. M., & Malle, B. F. (1994). Social dominance orientation: A personality variable predicting social and political attitudes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67(4), 741-763. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.67.4.741>
- Pal, A., & Sinha, D. (2016). Religious fundamentalism, authoritarianism, and homophobia among Hindus. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 3(2), 66-71. <https://doi.org/10.25215/0302.066>

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to everyone who supported me throughout the journey of this project. First and foremost, my sincere thanks go to my guide, Dr. Soni Kewalramani, Assistant Professor of Psychology at Amity Institute of Behavioral and Allied Sciences, Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow Campus, whose expert guidance and insights were invaluable in shaping my work. I am also grateful to my committee members for their constructive feedback and encouragement at every step. I would also like to acknowledge the resources and assistance provided by Amity Institute of Behavioral and Allied Sciences, Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow Campus, which played a crucial role in facilitating my research.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

Investigating the Relationship Between Right-Wing Authoritarianism, Religiosity, Sexism, and Homophobia: A Review

How to cite this article: Bhatia, M. & Kewalramani, S. (2025). Investigating the Relationship Between Right-Wing Authoritarianism, Religiosity, Sexism, and Homophobia: A Review. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 13(2), 622-629. DIP:18.01.053.20251302, DOI:10.25215/1302.053