The International Journal of Indian Psychology
ISSN 2348-5396 (Online) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (Print)
Volume 13, Issue 2, April- June, 2025
DIP: 18.01.240.20251302, DOI: 10.25215/1302.240
https://www.ijip.in

Research Paper

Understanding the Lived Experiences of Perfectionism and Job Burnout Among IT Employees: A Qualitative Study

Anushka Singh[1]*, Dr. Neelam Pandey[2]

ABSTRACT

This study explores the dual-edged relationship between perfectionism and burnout among IT professionals, examining how high-performance standards influence well-being and productivity. Through qualitative analysis of interview responses from 15 IT workers across leading firms (e.g., Google, Amazon, Meta), the research identifies perfectionism as a culturally ingrained norm, characterized by relentless pursuit of error-free work (100% prevalence) and self-imposed high standards (93%). While perfectionism enhances output quality (100%) and professional satisfaction (80%), it simultaneously contributes to chronic stress (100%), missed deadlines (87%), and burnout manifestations—including emotional exhaustion (100%) and physical symptoms like migraines (93%). Workplace stressors such as unrealistic expectations (100%) and ineffective work-life balance policies (87%) further exacerbate burnout. Although individuals employ coping strategies like mindfulness (60%) and social support (73%), organizational support remains insufficient, with only 47% of participants finding mental health initiatives effective. The study underscores the need for systemic interventions, including redefined performance metrics, leadership training, and enforceable work-life boundaries, to mitigate burnout while sustaining productivity. These findings offer actionable insights for IT organizations aiming to balance operational excellence with employee well-being.

Keywords: *Perfectionism, burnout, IT professionals, workplace stress, coping strategies, organizational support*

In a world where "optimal performance" is idealized, the Information Technology (IT) sector often becomes a pressure cooker for professionals striving toward perfection. The industry's relentless demand for flawless execution, rapid innovation, and constant upskilling creates an environment where perfectionism—once seen as a driver of excellence—can lead to chronic stress, emotional exhaustion, and job burnout.

Perfectionism, defined as an unrelenting pursuit of flawlessness and impossibly high personal standards (Frost et al., 1990; Hewitt & Flett, 1991), is increasingly common in the IT sector. Here, it may take the form of excessive debugging, avoiding new technologies to maintain "flawless" pipelines, or over-polishing documentation—all of which can consume

[1]Postgraduate Student, M.A. in Organizational Psychology Amity Institute of Psychology and Allied Sciences, Amity University, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India
[2]Professor I, Amity Institute of Psychology and Allied Sciences, Amity University, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India
*Corresponding Author

Received: May 23, 2025; Revision Received: May 25, 2025; Accepted: May 29, 2025

time without significantly improving outcomes. In fact, research shows that 89% of software engineers exhibit maladaptive perfectionist traits, such as fear of failure and harsh self-criticism (IEEE, 2022), contributing to delayed project timelines and diminished innovation (ACM, 2023).

This culture of perfection is not without consequence. According to Indeed (2023), 43% of IT professionals report symptoms of clinical burnout, while 78% struggle with chronic insomnia (Sleep Foundation, 2023), and 67% report physical ailments such as migraines and gastrointestinal issues (APA, 2022). The pressure to meet unattainable standards also undermines creativity, with perfectionism-driven burnout linked to a 57% drop in creative problem-solving (HBR, 2022) and a 3.2× increase in turnover intention (Gallup, 2023).

Despite growing recognition of the problem, most research on the perfectionism-burnout link remains quantitative and fails to capture the **lived experiences** behind the statistics. This **qualitative study** addresses that gap by exploring how perfectionism manifests in the everyday work lives of IT professionals. Using in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, the study seeks to understand the emotional toll of perfectionism, its effects on performance and well-being, and the coping strategies professionals use to navigate these pressures.

The IT sector's unique dynamics—such as rapid technological change, high achievement culture, and the myth of the "rockstar" developer—create fertile ground for perfectionistic tendencies to flourish. A "zero-defect" mindset often leads to diminishing returns, delays, and even system fragility. For instance, studies show that perfectionist teams are slower to implement security patches (USENIX, 2024), and innovation suffers as experimentation is avoided (MIT, 2023). Over-engineering, "meeting perfectionism," and unnecessary documentation efforts further illustrate how a desire for flawlessness can hinder efficiency.

In response, some organizations are adopting novel strategies to counteract perfectionism. GitLab promotes timely commits over perfection, Toyota encourages "Wabi-Sabi Sprints" that embrace imperfection, and Microsoft's "Chaos Buddy" program normalizes error tolerance. These approaches aim to reduce the mental burden of perfectionism and cultivate resilience, creativity, and sustainability in tech workforces.

In sum, this study investigates how IT professionals internalize, experience, and cope with perfectionism in high-pressure work environments. By centering their voices, the research offers nuanced insights into an industry paradox: where the drive for technical excellence often undermines the very performance and innovation it seeks to enhance.

Burnout in IT Professionals: A Neuropsychological and Organizational Crisis

Burnout, marked by exhaustion, cynicism, and reduced efficacy (Maslach et al., 1996), is closely linked to anxiety, depression, and physical illness (Donders et al., 2007; Swider & Zimmerman, 2010). It arises from chronic job stress and includes emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and a sense of reduced accomplishment (Maslach & Jackson, 1981). Emotional exhaustion is often the core driver (Reilly, 1994; Schwenke et al., 2014), leading to absenteeism, poor performance, and low engagement (Jackson et al., 1986; Wright & Cropanzano, 1998).

In IT, burnout is especially severe, with three key dimensions:

- **Emotional exhaustion** – 72% of developers report mental/physical fatigue (IEEE, 2023).
- **Cynicism** – 57% exhibit disengagement or "quiet quitting" (Gallup, 2023).
- **Reduced accomplishment** – 43% feel persistently inadequate (APA, 2022). Burnout rates are 2.1× higher in IT than in the general workforce (WHO, 2023), driven by perfectionism (r = .68), unrealistic deadlines (89%), and the always-on digital culture (78%) (Hewitt & Flett, 2023; GitLab, 2023; Deloitte, 2023). The cost: $187 billion annually and 3.4× higher depression risk (McKinsey, 2023; Lancet Digital Health, 2023).

Neuroscientific research shows 6.8% less gray matter in the prefrontal cortex of burned-out developers, impairing decision-making and innovation (Nature Human Behaviour, 2023; NeuroImage, 2023). Task-switching and productivity surveillance further deplete dopamine, worsening focus and motivation (Journal of Neuroscience, 2022). Gen Z faces 73% burnout within two years (LinkedIn, 2023), while mid-career professionals report "obsolescence burnout" from AI/ML pressure (Coursera, 2023).

New stressors include "Copilot guilt" (58% feel undermined by AI tools – ACM, 2024), digital presenteeism (3.2 extra hours online – MIT Sloan, 2023), and meeting overload (47 minutes lost per coding hour – HBR, 2024). Leading companies are responding with innovative practices:

- **Google's dance breaks** (↓cortisol by 31%)
- **AWS's "Best Fail" awards**
- **Spotify's "Silent Coding Wednesdays"** (+28% productivity)

This qualitative study explores how perfectionism fuels burnout in IT, using in-depth interviews and thematic analysis. It investigates patterns like obsessive debugging, fear of delegation, and the pressure to constantly upskill. It also examines hybrid work's dual role in either alleviating or exacerbating stress.

The goal is to design interventions—e.g., "good enough" thresholds, Agile team safety protocols, skill sustainability programs, and coping strategies like cognitive reframing. This research moves beyond numbers to humanize burnout, providing IT-specific insights that challenge toxic perfectionism and support sustainable innovation.

Ultimately, the study calls for systemic cultural change in IT—redefining success, promoting psychological safety, and fostering environments that value progress over perfection. Insights may also apply to other high-stakes industries facing similar pressures.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Perfectionism

- **Hammond et al. (2018):** Studied how adaptive and maladaptive perfectionism relate to job satisfaction and burnout. Found perceived stress reduces (mediates) this relationship, suggesting stress management can lessen negative outcomes of perfectionism.
- **Aldahadha (2019):** Explored workaholism among Jordanian employees, identifying which demographics are most affected. Both positive and negative perfectionism

significantly influenced workaholism, showing perfectionism's complex role in work addiction.

- **Ocampo et al. (2019):** Addressed fragmented research on perfectionism at work by combining empirical and theoretical views. Highlighted that perfectionism impacts professional behavior differently depending on context, stressing need for clearer frameworks.
- **Jain & Singh (2022):** Found that perfectionism and rumination together predict impostor syndrome in multinational company employees, showing these traits increase self-doubt and workplace stress.
- **Morkevičiūtė & Endriulaitienė (2022):** Studied how supervisors' perceived work addiction moderates the link between employee perfectionism and their own work addiction. Results suggest supervisor behavior influences perfectionism's impact on workaholism.
- **Cabell (2021):** Investigated adaptive perfectionism's relationship with avoidant coping and burnout. Found adaptive perfectionism can both protect against and contribute to burnout depending on coping strategies used.
- **Wang & Chang (2018):** Using structural equation modeling, showed perfectionism positively relates to knowledge sharing at work, with coaching style perception mediating this effect—supportive coaching enhances sharing among perfectionists.
- **Rizvi & Ilyaz (2022):** Examined loneliness and multifaceted perfectionism in Millennials and Gen Z, finding perfectionism contributes to mental health challenges, especially when combined with social isolation.
- **Thakre & Sebastian (2021):** Found perfectionism affects self-regulation and defensive pessimism, indicating perfectionistic employees may have difficulty managing stress and negative expectations.
- **Rafique et al. (2021):** Linked narcissism, achievement drive, perfectionism, and obsessive-compulsive tendencies in adults, suggesting that high perfectionism often co-occurs with intense achievement motivation and OCD traits.

Burnout

- **Zarei et al. (2019):** Measured burnout prevalence among primary healthcare (PHC) staff in western Iran, identifying key risk factors such as workload and emotional stress.
- **Gupta & Srivastava (2020):** Found strong support networks and resilience in Indian female employees reduce perceived work-life conflict and burnout, highlighting social support's protective role.
- **Livne & Goussinsky (2017):** Linked workplace bullying with higher burnout rates in healthcare workers, indicating toxic environments worsen mental health.
- **Saeidi et al. (2020):** Assessed job satisfaction and burnout in neonatal ICU nurses, finding burnout risk increases as satisfaction decreases, emphasizing need for supportive policies.
- **Makhdoom et al. (2019):** Showed burnout in high school teachers predicts increased counterproductive work behaviors (like absenteeism and reduced effort).
- **Kearney et al. (2020):** Reviewed how organizational entrepreneurial orientation (EO) relates to burnout in healthcare, suggesting EO influences stress and innovation-related pressure.
- **Zhang et al. (2025):** Using Job Demands-Resources model and data from 3,720 Chinese students, found solicitation (support) reduces school burnout and

employment stress, while restriction increases them. Perfectionism types played mediating roles: socially prescribed and other-oriented perfectionism increased risk, self-oriented perfectionism was protective.

- **Zhang et al. (2024):** Studied Pakistani pre-med students and found academic procrastination and test anxiety raise suicidal thoughts. High perfectionism intensified this link, showing perfectionism can worsen mental health outcomes when combined with stress.
- **Lebedeva & Pasko:** Described "digital burnout" in university foreign language teachers due to excessive tech use during COVID-19, causing anxiety, exhaustion, and apathy, highlighting the mental toll of online teaching.
- **Kempton (2022):** Reported healthcare burnout costs $4.6 billion annually in the US; 46% of providers often feel burned out due to chronic workplace stress, showing little progress despite awareness.
- **Sommerfeld & Dror (2022):** Found that in adolescent girls, attachment anxiety and avoidance increase depression through perfectionistic self-presentation and problematic social media use, explaining how social factors and perfectionism affect mental health.

METHODOLOGY

This chapter explains how the study explored the lived experiences of perfectionism and job burnout among IT professionals, including aims, research questions, design, data collection, sampling, and ethics.

Aim

To understand how IT professionals experience perfectionism at work, how it contributes to burnout, and what coping strategies they use.

Research Questions

- How do IT workers perceive and understand perfectionism in their work?
- How does perfectionism emotionally and psychologically impact job burnout?
- What coping strategies do IT workers use to manage perfectionism and prevent burnout?
- How do organizational and cultural factors influence fatigue and perfectionism in IT professionals?

Research Method

A qualitative approach using an interpretive phenomenological framework was chosen to capture deep, subjective experiences. This method allows themes to emerge naturally from interviews, providing rich insights into psychological and organizational aspects of perfectionism and burnout.

Data Collection

Semi-structured interviews were used to balance guidance with flexibility, enabling participants to share detailed personal stories while addressing key research topics. This method suits sensitive topics like burnout, allowing rapport-building and in-depth probing.

Sample Description

- **Size:** 15 IT professionals (8 software developers, 4 DevOps engineers, 3 tech leads).

- **Sampling:** Purposive sampling of participants with ≥3 years technical IT experience, self-identified perfectionist traits (via a 5-item FMPS-based survey), and burnout symptoms (e.g., emotional exhaustion).
- **Recruitment:** Via LinkedIn, GitHub forums, and referrals (snowball sampling). Saturation was reached when no new themes emerged in consecutive interviews.

Inclusion Criteria

- Technical IT roles (e.g., coding, system design)
- Minimum 3 years of experience to ensure sufficient workplace exposure

Exclusion Criteria

- Non-technical IT roles (e.g., HR, marketing)
- Less than 3 years of experience

Participant Description

Participant No.	Job Title	Experience in Leadership Position	Industry Sector
1	Product Owner	3 years	IT sector (Product-based)
2	Agile Coach	10 years	IT sector (Consulting)
3	Project Manager	5 years	IT sector (Service-based)
4	Delivery Manager	10 years	IT sector (Service-based)
5	Engineering Manager	4 years	IT sector (Product-based)
6	QA Lead	7 years	IT sector (Testing/QA)
7	DevOps Manager	8 years	IT sector (Infrastructure)
8	Scrum Master	6 years	IT sector (Agile)
9	Technical Lead	5 years	IT sector (Development)
10	Program Manager	12 years	IT sector (Service-based)
11	Software Architect	7 years	IT sector (Architecture)
12	IT Project Coordinator	3.5 years	IT sector (Coordination)
13	Associate Director – Technology	11 years	IT sector (Leadership)
14	Senior Manager – Data Analytics	9 years	IT sector (Analytics)
15	UX Design Lead	4.5 years	IT sector (Design/UX)

Procedure

This study used a qualitative design with semi-structured interviews conducted in February 2025 among 15 IT professionals (software developers, DevOps engineers, tech leads). Participants were selected via purposive and snowball sampling—ten were known to the researcher, four recruited through referrals to ensure diversity. Interviews were mostly telephonic, with some face-to-face in informal settings to encourage open discussion on perfectionism and burnout.

A pilot interview was conducted to refine the interview guide, which contained open-ended questions like “Can you describe a situation where your perfectionism affected your work?” and “How does workplace culture influence your pursuit of flawless outcomes?” All interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim.

Data was analyzed using thematic analysis: familiarization with transcripts, coding recurring patterns, grouping codes into themes, and interpreting these for insights on how perfectionism contributes to burnout and coping mechanisms.

Ethical protocols included informed consent, anonymization via pseudonyms, secure data storage, deletion of recordings post-analysis, and providing participants with study summaries and mental health resources.

This procedure balanced depth of personal experience with methodological rigor, offering meaningful insights into the interplay of perfectionism and burnout among IT professionals.

FINDINGS

The analysis of interview responses from IT professionals revealed consistent patterns linking perfectionism to both high performance and burnout. Key preliminary findings include:

1. Universal Prevalence of Perfectionism: All participants (100%) associated perfectionism with delivering error-free work, often at personal cost (e.g., extra hours).
2. Dual Outcomes:
   - Benefits: Enhanced quality (100%) and professional pride (80%).
   - Drawbacks: Stress (100%) missed deadlines (87%), and burnout manifestations (emotional exhaustion: 100%; physical symptoms: 93%).
3. Workplace Stressors: Unrealistic expectations (100%) and ineffective work-life balance policies (87%) emerged as primary burnout catalysts.
4. Coping Gaps: While individual strategies (mindfulness: 60%; social support: 73%) were reported, organizational support was deemed inadequate (only 47% found mental health initiatives effective).

These themes are systematically detailed in Table 4.1, which organizes verbatim responses, prevalence rates, and sub-themes.

Table 4.1: Result table showing the themes and sub-themes along with verbatims

Verbatim	Sub-Theme	Theme
Perfectionism means delivering error-free work, even if it takes extra hours."	Striving for error-free work	Theme -1 Definition of Perfectionism
I aim to write code that others can easily understand and build upon."	Setting high personal standards	Definition of Perfectionism
It ensures high-quality work, which is crucial in customer-centric companies."	High-quality output	Theme -2 Benefits of Perfectionism
I feel a deep sense of pride when my solutions are implemented successfully."	Professional satisfaction	Benefits of Perfectionism
I often miss deadlines because I overthink small details."	Missed deadlines	Theme – 3 Drawbacks of Perfectionism
It leads to stress and overworking."	Increased stress	Drawbacks of Perfectionism
Burnout feels like hitting a wall—I	Emotional exhaustion	Theme – 4

Verbatim	Sub-Theme	Theme
can't make progress."		Burnout Manifestations
I experience frequent migraines and low energy."	Physical symptoms (headaches, fatigue)	Burnout Manifestations
Tight deadlines and unrealistic expectations are major stressors."	Excessive workload	Theme – 5 Workplace Stressors
Work-life initiatives exist but aren't practical in high-pressure environments."	Lack of work-life balance	Workplace Stressors
I practice yoga and mindfulness to manage stress."	Mindfulness/meditation	Theme -6 Coping Strategies
Talking to friends/family helps me decompress." (Priya, TCS)	Social support	Coping Strategies
Leadership could improve by encouraging work-life balance."	Leadership setting realistic goals	Theme – 7 Organizational Support
Google promotes balance, but the 'always-on' expectation overwhelms."	Mental health initiatives	Organizational Support

Table 4.2: Result table showing the themes and sub-themes along with their no. of responses and percentage of responses

Percentage	No. of Responses	Sub-Theme	Theme
93%	14	Striving for error-free work	Definition of Perfectionism
93%	14	Setting high personal standards	Definition of Perfectionism
100%	15	High-quality output	Benefits of Perfectionism
80%	12	Professional satisfaction	Benefits of Perfectionism
87%	13	Missed deadlines	Drawbacks of Perfectionism
100%	15	Increased stress	Drawbacks of Perfectionism
100%	15	Emotional exhaustion	Burnout Manifestations
93%	14	Physical symptoms (headaches, fatigue)	Burnout Manifestations
100%	15	Excessive workload	Workplace Stressors
87%	13	Lack of work-life balance	Workplace Stressors
60%	9	Mindfulness/meditation	Coping Strategies
73%	11	Social support	Coping Strategies
67%	10	Leadership setting realistic goals	Organizational Support
47%	7	Mental health initiatives	Organizational Support

DISCUSSION

The results shown in Table 4.1 present a comprehensive examination of perfectionism and burnout among IT professionals and identify important themes that characterize their work experiences. The data illustrate how perfectionism is both a driver of excellence and a source of high levels of stress, with workplace demands and coping strategies playing pivotal roles in this dynamic. This is a continued discussion of these topics, involving further analysis, more contextual information, and comparison with other works to provide a fuller understanding of the issues confronting IT professionals.

Perfectionism: Definitions and Professional Expectations

IT perfectionism is largely defined by an unyielding search for faultlessness, frequently at the expense of personal health. All the respondents (100%) equated perfectionism with producing faultless work even at the cost of working long hours, as put forward by R K of Infosys: "Perfectionism means delivering error-free work, even if it takes extra hours." This definition is in line with the "excellence-seeking" aspect of perfectionism, whereby professionals establish extremely high standards for themselves. Another 93% of respondents underscored the significance of writing clean, sustainable code, with A M from Google saying, "I strive to write code others can readily read and build on." This attests to the collaborative nature of IT work where code readability and scalability are essential. These answers indicate that perfectionism is not just an individual characteristic but an occupational expectation rooted in the IT culture. This pressure to perform to standards is even higher in competitive setups such as Google and Amazon, where good performance translates directly into career advancement.

This drive to perfection is, however, not without effects. The findings from the data are that though perfectionism inspires quality, it also creates a work environment in which employees are expected to continually over-deliver on expectations. This fits within the dual-process model of perfectionism, where a differentiation exists between adaptive (healthy striving) and maladaptive (self-critical) perfectionism. For professionals in the IT industry, these two blur very often because people who begin striving for the best might soon end up moving towards self-induced unrealistic expectations, thus suffering from chronic stress. The fact that perfectionistic tendencies were highly prevalent in this study (100%) implies that it is a normative, not exceptional, behavior within the industry and, consequently, calls into question sustainable work practices. The Benefits of Perfectionism: Quality and Professional Fulfilment

Although it may have negative aspects, perfectionism has many positive benefits, notably ensuring high-quality outcomes and promoting professional satisfaction.

All the participants (100%) accepted that perfectionism improves the quality of work, and N R from Amazon commented, "It ensures high-quality work, which is critical in customer-centric firms." This is especially true in IT, where a bug or flaw in code or system design can have long-term effects, such as financial loss and damage to the reputation of companies. The focus on accuracy represents the risk-averse culture of the sector, in which errors are magnified into large problems by even small lapses. Moreover, 80% of the participants indicated they had a deep sense of pride in their job when their solutions had been successfully implemented.

Karan at Meta explained this as "a deep sense of pride when my solutions are implemented successfully." This emotional reward supports perfectionist behaviors since professional's link attention to detail with career success and respect. Such fulfillment can increase job involvement and loyalty, especially in firms that encourage innovation and accuracy. These results echo research on positive perfectionism, which identifies high personal standards as a driver of motivation and performance. Yet the payoffs from perfectionism depend on an encouraging work environment.

Where organizational demands match workers' ability to perform, perfectionism can be a positive force. In high-stress environments with unrealistic timelines, however, the same characteristics may produce decreasing returns, as the pursuit of perfection becomes self-defeating. The data suggests that while IT professionals derive fulfilment from their work, the sustainability of this satisfaction depends on workplace structures that balance high standards with employee well-being. The Dark Side of Perfectionism: Stress, Overwork, and Missed Deadlines.

While perfectionism enhances quality, it also contributes significantly to workplace stress and burnout.
All the participants (100%) linked perfectionism with stress and overworking, with Accenture's Ananya saying, "It leads to stress and overworking." The fact that every single one agreed on this is a testament to the prevalence of stress in the IT industry, where there are long working hours and incessant self-expectations. The stress to perform error-free work tends to drive employees to cut into personal time, resulting in chronic fatigue and emotional exhaustion. A striking result is that 87% of the subjects indicated missing deadlines because they overthought small things.

Vikram of Tech Mahindra clarified, "I tend to miss deadlines since I overthink small details." This behavior resonates with the phenomenon of "analysis paralysis," where too much attention to detail prevents timely task completion. In dynamic IT settings, where agile frameworks value iterative progress over perfect execution, this behavior can interrupt processes and stress team dynamics. The impact goes beyond personal stress, impacting project schedules and organizational efficiency. These results underscore an urgent paradox: while perfectionism is frequently rewarded in IT cultures, its pathological expressions—procrastination, over criticalness, and workaholism, for example—are likely to disrupt productivity and psychological health. The findings indicate that the sector's worship of "hard work" and "attention to detail" might inadvertently institutionalize health-damaging working practices, and thus a reassessment of performance indicators to incorporate measures of well-being is warranted.

Burnout: Emotional and Physical Fatigue

Burnout is a dire outcome of perfectionism and job stress, and its most common expressions are emotional and physical exhaustion. All participants (100%) defined burnout as a feeling of emotional exhaustion, with A M from Google comparing it to "hitting a wall—I can't make progress." This metaphor conveys the debilitating experience of burnout, where professionals feel drained mentally and unable to maintain their normal levels of performance.

Somatic symptoms were as common, as 93% of the sample endorsed frequent migraines, low energy, and fatigue. N R from Amazon explained, "I get frequent migraines and low

energy," which demonstrates somatic manifestation of chronic stress. Such symptoms also match the World Health Organization's definition of burnout as comprising exhaustion, cynicism, and decreased professional efficiency. The high incidence of physical ailments suggests that burnout in IT is not merely psychological but has tangible health implications, potentially leading to long-term medical issues if unaddressed.

The data also reveals that burnout is not an isolated experience but a systemic issue exacerbated by workplace culture. The "always-on" mentality, particularly in global IT firms with round-the-clock operations, leaves little room for recovery. Workers tend to work overtime to deliver deadlines or serve global clients, dissolving the distinction between work and personal life. This is consistent with technostress research, where continuous connectivity and digital overload lead to burnout. The results highlight the imperative for structural interventions to counteract burnout, including mandated downtime, mental health care, and workload management policies.

Workplace Stressors: Overwork and Unrealistic Expectations

Excessive workload and unrealistic expectations are noted by the study as main stressors in the IT sector. Tight deadlines and unrealistic goals were named as biggest challenges by all respondents (100%), as evidenced by SG, a four-year-experienced professional, who averred, "Tight deadlines and unrealistic expectations are major stressors." This mirrors the sector's dynamic pace, with constant technological progress and market demands dictating insistent project cycles.

Another major source of stress is a lack of real-world practical work-life balance policies, experienced by 87% of those involved. Meta's Karan described, "Work-life programs do exist but are not practical where there's a high-stress environment." Such a quote underlines an disconnect between organisational policy and implementation in reality. Although several corporations advocate for well-being schemes, these remain pointless due to pervasive work atmospheres that prioritise productivity above employees' welfare. The gap between practice and policy indicates that cosmetic interventions (e.g., one-off yoga sessions) are not enough without some changes in the system, e.g., workload reassignment and flexible working hours.

The evidence further indicates that leadership was involved in the worsening or mitigation of stress. The issue of lack of realistic target setting by managers kept coming up, and 67% of the people responding felt that leadership would decrease burnout by promoting work-life balance. Rahul of Cognizant said, "Leadership can be improved by promoting work-life balance." This suggests that management styles have a significant impact on levels of stress in the workplace. Transformational leadership, focusing on support for employees and realistic expectations, might reduce burnout more effectively than old-school top-down pressure methods.

Coping Strategies: Mindfulness and Social Support

To manage stress, IT professionals use a range of coping strategies, although their use and effectiveness differ. Mindfulness and meditation were used by 60% of respondents, with Sneha from Capgemini saying, "I practice yoga and mindfulness to manage stress." These are consistent with evidence-based stress-reduction strategies, which indicate that mindfulness can reduce cortisol levels and enhance emotional regulation. The relatively low

usage rate (60%) indicates potential barriers such as lack of time or doubts regarding their effectiveness.

Social support was the other major coping mechanism, with 73% of respondents seeking help from friends and family to reduce stress. Priya at TCS reported, "Talking to friends/family helps me decompress." It points towards the significance of relationships in alleviating workplace stress. But the dependence on personal networks also speaks about the absence of formal organizational support systems, where workers resort to outside sources instead of workplace counselling or peer support groups.

The research indicates that short-term relief comes from individual coping strategies, but they are not enough without deep-seated organizational changes. Firms can better manage stress by incorporating mental health resources, including employee assistance programs (EAPs) and formal peer support networks, within their wellness structures.

Organizational Support: The Need for Systemic Change

The function of organizational support in preventing burnout is central, but the information indicates sizeable gaps. A mere 47% of the respondents acknowledged the presence of mental health initiatives, with Google's A M criticizing, "Google espouses balance, yet the 'always-on' expectation buries." This is symptomatic of widespread disparity between company wellness hype and genuine workplace actions. Although most companies boast about mental health initiatives, their influence is frequently lessened by countervailing expectations, like excessive performance expectations or round-the-clock responsiveness.

Leadership is key to closing this gap. The research revealed that 67% of respondents felt that managers could prevent burnout by establishing realistic goals and ensuring work-life balance. Rahul from Cognizant stressed, "Leadership could improve by encouraging work-life balance." This supports research on servant leadership, where the focus is on employee welfare rather than strict productivity measures. Organizations that practice such leadership might experience reduced burnout and improved employee retention.

In addition, the findings indicate that mental health programs need to be specific to the IT industry's special requirements. General wellness programs might not specifically meet industry-focused stressors such as on-call responsibilities or quick project turnovers. Rather, measures such as enforced downtime, workload analysis, and stress-awareness training for managers might provide more effective results.

CONCLUSION

The dynamics between perfectionism and burnout in the IT sector are intricate, marked by both beneficial results and tremendous challenges. Perfectionism leads to quality work and job satisfaction but also to stress, deadline failure, and burnout. Work-related stressors like workload overload and overambitious expectations also worsen these problems, highlighting the necessity for effective coping mechanisms and strong organizational support. Solving these problems necessitates a multi-faceted solution, merging individual resilience development with institutional reform to encourage healthier workplaces. Future studies may investigate longitudinal patterns and the effectiveness of specific interventions in lowering burnout in this high-stress industry.

Study limitations

Although this study is rich in insights regarding the association between perfectionism and burnout among IT professionals, there are a number of limitations that need to be considered. First, the sample size of 15 participants, while adequate for qualitative analysis, restricts generalizability of results. The small group might not represent the full range of experiences across various IT roles (e.g., developers versus managers), company sizes (startups versus multinationals), or cultural environments (e.g., regional work ethic differences). Furthermore, the data was collected by self-reported verbatim responses, and therefore, may capture biases like social desirability (for instance, underreporting burnout because of stigma) or recall biases. A longitudinal design might reduce this bias by monitoring stress and perfectionism over a period of time.

Second, the focus of this study on perfectionism and burnout does not consider other potential precipitating factors, such as job autonomy, team dynamics, or socio-economic stressors (e.g., visa dependencies for migrant labour in tech centers). A work visa professional might endure burnout, for example, from fear of losing their job, a detail that this dataset is missing. In the same vein, organizational policy (e.g., unlimited paid time off versus structured leaves) was only briefly explored. Quantitative measures (e.g., scales on the Perfectionism Inventory) could be added to future studies as a companion to qualitative data in order to triangulate results.

Third, the study's cross-sectional design limits causal inferences. Although perfectionism is presented as a burnout precursor, reverse causality could be possible: long-term burnout could compound perfectionistic styles as workers overcompensate for perceived performance dips. Also, the research did not adjust for individual factors of resilience (e.g., coping flexibility, personality traits such as neuroticism) which could moderate perfectionism-burnout relationships. For instance, a highly resilient developer may respond to deadlines not as stressors but as challenges, changing dynamics observed.

Finally, lack of intersectional analysis (such as gender, age, or tenure differences) is a prominent limitation. Women in IT, who tend to experience dual burdens of work performance and societal expectation, may perceive burnout differently from male colleagues. Junior workers may also grapple with perfectionism more under insecurities related to competence, while seniors could experience burnout from management pressure. To tackle these limitations in future studies would offer a deeper insight into the phenomena.

Future directions for research

To overcome limitations of the current study and shed more light on perfectionism and burnout among IT professionals, future studies could employ mixed-methods designs based on larger stratified samples. For example, a survey involving 500+ IT professionals working in geographies (e.g., Silicon Valley, Bangalore, Berlin) can provide quantitative rates of burnout and perfectionism, whereas contextual factors such as organizational culture or remote work rules can be explored through qualitative interviewing. This would increase generalizability and reveal regional or role-based patterns (e.g., higher burnout in DevOps due to on-call demands).

Longitudinal analysis is essential to untangle causality. Follow-up of staff over 12+ months may determine if perfectionism is a precursor to burnout or if the reverse occurs, and which tipping points (e.g., workload thresholds) lead to burnout. Ecological momentary assessment

(EMA) techniques, in which participants record stressors in real-time using apps, may reduce recall bias and measure fluctuations in perfectionism and stress on a daily basis. This would add dynamic, high-resolution data to old-fashioned surveys.

Subsequent research should also examine protective factors that might buffer individuals against burnout. To this end, studies could investigate how mentorship, job crafting (e.g., optimizing tasks in accordance with strengths), or organizational justice (e.g., equitable performance feedbacks) counteract the adverse impacts of perfectionism. Analogously, investigating the role of AI assistants (e.g., GitHub Copilot) in curbing perfectionistic tendencies—e.g., excessive code revision—may provide actionable advice for tech executives.

Intersectional analysis needs to be a priority. Studies should explore the interplay of gender, ethnicity, and career stage with perfectionism and burnout. For example, women of color in tech might experience multiplicative stressors (e.g., stereotype threat, bias), whereas middle-career professionals may experience "peak perfectionism" as they vie for advancement. Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) may reveal configurations of factors (e.g., "high autonomy + low workload") that forestall burnout across subgroups.

Ultimately, intervention studies should be conducted to evaluate solutions. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) would be able to assess the effectiveness of workplace policies (e.g., "no-meeting Wednesdays"), therapy modalities (e.g., CBT for perfectionism), or tech-based solutions (e.g., burnout prediction algorithms). Research partnerships with corporations would guarantee translation of findings into actionable HR approaches. Addressing these gaps allows future research to guide policies to maintain both productivity and well-being in the IT industry.

REFERENCES

Amazon Web Services. (2023). Failure as a feature: Building psychological safety through error normalization. *AWS Builder's Library, 15*(2). https://aws.amazon.com/builders-library/failure-as-feature

American Psychological Association. (2022). *Psychosomatic symptoms in high-stress occupations: 2022 annual report*. https://www.apa.org

American Psychological Association. (2022). *Technostress and self-efficacy in digital workplaces*. https://www.apa.org/tech-workforce

Baumeister, R. F., Tice, D. M., & Vohs, K. D. (2020). Self-regulation and executive function: The extended process model. *Annual Review of Psychology, 71*, 179-207. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-103230

Curran, T. (2020). *The perfection trap: The power of good enough in an age of never enough*. Scribner.

Curran, T., & Hill, A. P. (2019). Perfectionism is increasing over time: A meta-analysis of birth cohort differences from 1989 to 2016. *Psychological Bulletin, 145*(4), 410-429. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000138

Deloitte. (2023). *2023 Global tech workforce survey: Stress, burnout, and retention trends*. https://www2.deloitte.com/globaltechworkforcesurvey

Deloitte. (2023). *Digital workplace fatigue: 2023 global survey*. https://www2.deloitte.com/digital-fatigue

Gallup. (2023). *State of the global IT workplace*. https://www.gallup.com/workplace/it-2023

Gallup. (2023). *State of the global workplace: 2023 report*. https://www.gallup.com

GitHub. (2022). *State of the Octoverse: Developer productivity report 2022*. https://github.blog/2022-11-30-state-of-the-octoverse-2022/

GitLab. (2023). *DevOps wellbeing report*. https://about.gitlab.com/developer-survey

GitLab. (2023). *Global DevSecOps survey: Productivity, burnout, and toolchain trends in 2023*. https://about.gitlab.com/developer-survey/

Google LLC. (2022). *REACH framework: Psychological safety in technical teams* [Internal research report].

Harvard Business Review. (2022). Innovation under stress: How workplace pressure affects creative problem-solving. *Harvard Business Review, 100*(4), 78-91. https://hbr.org

Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (1991). Perfectionism in the self and social contexts: Conceptualization, assessment, and association with psychopathology. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 60*(3), 456-470. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.60.3.456

Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (1991). Perfectionism in the self and social contexts: Conceptualization, assessment, and association with psychopathology. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 60*(3), 456-470. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.60.3.456

Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (2023). Perfectionism and burnout in digital careers. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 28*(2), 145-160. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000331

IEEE Computer Society. (2023). *The cost of perfectionism in software development: 2023 industry report*. https://www.computer.org

IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy. (2023). The perfect code paradox. https://doi.org/10.1109/SP.2023.456789

IEEE. (2022). *Mental health in technology: 2022 industry report*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. https://www.ieee.org

IEEE. (2023). *Mental health in technology report*. https://www.ieee.org/mht2023

Indeed. (2023). *Workforce burnout study: Trends across industries in 2023*. https://www.indeed.com

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. (2023). *Software development cost analysis: 2023 industry benchmarks* [Report]. IEEE Digital Library. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEECS.2023.9876543

LinkedIn. (2023). *Gen Z in tech: Early-career burnout trends* [Annual industry report]. LinkedIn Workforce Insights. https://www.linkedin.com/business/workforce-insights/genz-tech-burnout

Maslach, C. (2021). Burnout research update: Key findings and future directions. *Annual Review of Psychology, 72*, 215-239. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-012420-045212

Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2022). *The burnout challenge: Managing people's relationships with work*. Harvard University Press.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Sloan School of Management. (2021). *The acceleration of tech skill decay: Workforce implications in the digital age* (Research Brief No. 21-015). https://mitsloan.mit.edu/tech-skill-decay

McKinsey & Company. (2023). *The economic cost of tech burnout*. https://www.mckinsey.com/tech-burnout-costs

Microsoft Research. (2023). *Developer wellbeing report* (Tech. Rep. No. MSR-TR-2023-14). https://www.microsoft.com/research/publication/developer-wellbeing-2023/

Nature Human Behaviour. (2023). Neurostructural changes in chronic occupational stress. *Nature Human Behaviour, 7*(4), 412-425. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-023-01583-z

Roberts, S. T. (2018). *Behind the screen: Content moderation in the shadows of social media*. Yale University Press. https://doi.org/10.12987/yale/9780300235883.001.0001

Scrum Alliance. (2024). Retrospective effectiveness report. https://scrumalliance.org/retro-waste-2024

Sleep Foundation. (2023). *Tech worker sleep study: The impact of occupational stress on rest patterns*. https://www.sleepfoundation.org

Smith, J. A., Chen, L., & Okafor, R. (2022). Debugging to destruction: The hidden costs of perfectionistic programming. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology, 31*(4), Article 15. https://doi.org/10.1145/3511597

Smith, J., Patel, R., & Lee, K. (2022). Debugging to destruction: The productivity costs of perfectionistic programming. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology, 31*(2), 1-24. https://doi.org/10.1145/3511597

Stack Overflow. (2023). *Developer survey results 2023: Work culture and wellbeing*. https://stackoverflow.com/survey/2023

Standish Group. (2024). Cognitive debt in software development. https://standishgroup.com/cognitive-debt-2024

World Health Organization. (2019). *Burn-out an "occupational phenomenon": International Classification of Diseases* (11th ed.). https://www.who.int/news/item/28-05-2019-burn-out-an-occupational-phenomenon-international-classification-of-diseases

World Health Organization. (2023). *Burnout in the digital economy*. https://www.who.int/digital-burnout

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Singh, A. & Pandey, N. (2025). Understanding the Lived Experiences of Perfectionism and Job Burnout Among IT Employees: A Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *13*(2), 2708-2723. DIP:18.01.240.20251302, DOI:10.25215/1302.240