The International Journal of Indian Psychology
ISSN 2348-5396 (Online) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (Print)
Volume 13, Issue 2, April- June, 2025
DIP: 18.01.336.20251302, DOI: 10.25215/1302.336
https://www.ijip.in

Research Paper

Memory in the Digital Era: Cognitive and Cultural Shifts in Remembering

Dr. Mahfooz Akhter[1]*

ABSTRACT

The widespread use of computers, smartphones, and the internet has drastically changed how people obtain and absorb information. This study examines the advantages and disadvantages of these developments, taking into account worries about diminished memory consolidation and a greater reliance on surface-level processing while acknowledging how digital tools improve cognitive capacities by providing instant access to enormous information repositories and serving as external memory aids. The study examines how digital technologies affect memory through an interdisciplinary lens, using knowledge from information science, psychology, neuroscience, and sociology. It also discusses new problems and areas for additional study, including as privacy concerns, cognitive overload, and digital forgetfulness. In order to educate tactics that promote memory performance, minimize negative outcomes, and encourage responsible use of digital tools in memory-related functions, the research concludes by highlighting the significance of comprehending the dynamic interaction between human memory and digital technology.

Keywords: *Memory, Digital Era, Cognitive and Cultural Shifts*

People's ways of interacting with, encoding, storing, and retrieving information have changed since digital technology became widely used in the last few decades. There is no doubt that computers, cell phones, and the internet have changed many parts of human life. Memory is one of the most complicated parts of how people think, and this digital revolution has had the biggest effect on it. This study aims to look into the complicated relationship between memory and digital technology, making clear what this means for memory research and the cognitive effect.

Psychology and neuroscience have long been interested in memory research, which looks at the systems and processes that help people learn, remember, and access information (Camina & Güell, 2017). Memory is a basic cognitive skill that we use every day to live, learn, make decisions, and solve problems. But in the digital age, new things have been added to this old equation. Digital devices, big online libraries of knowledge, and memory aids from outside sources have made it possible for people to remember things better and worse (Wilmer et al., 2017). In this case, it is very important to look into how much digital technologies have changed how memory works. On the one hand, modern technologies have

[1]Assistant Professor, Psychology Shibli National College Azamgarh Affiliated MSDU, Azamgarh
*Corresponding Author

Received: June 9, 2025; Revision Received: June 15, 2025; Accepted: June 18, 2025

changed the way people learn and increased their mental abilities by making it easier for people to get and understand a lot of information. People are worried that relying too much on digital devices to store and retrieve information could have bad effects, like the use of shallow encoding techniques and a possible decline in memory consolidation.

Researchers from fields like information science, psychology, neuroscience, and sociology are now working together to study how digital technologies affect human memory (Sierp, 2021). This is because the effects of these technologies on memory have become more important. This collaborative approach not only helps us learn more about memory in the digital age, but it also helps us find ways to improve memory function and deal with the problems that come up in the ever-changing digital world.

The purpose of this study is to give a general idea of how digital technologies have a big impact on memory research and operations. By learning more about how digital tools and human memory interact, researchers and practitioners can come up with ways to improve memory performance, lessen possible negative effects, and encourage a more balanced and effective use of digital resources for memory-related tasks. People may be able to use digital technology while keeping their mental health in check at a time when digitalization is moving quickly.

Historical Perspective

Greek and Roman scholars like Aristotle and Cicero have been studying memory for a long time. They looked at mnemonic devices and how memory and learning are related. Aristotle, for instance, thought that memory was an active cognitive process instead of a passive storehouse (Annas, 1995). Cicero wrote a lot about how important memory is and how it helps people learn and share knowledge (Pieper, 2014). These old ideas made it possible for us to look into memory and how it works with digital technology today.

Ebbinghaus was a German psychologist who did a lot of important work on how memory works in the late 1800s. His experiments, which often involved memorizing meaningless syllables, showed that the rate of forgetting follows a consistent pattern over time. This led to the idea of the learning curve. Essentially, Ebbinghaus's study showed how important it is to first learn and remember information, as well as the three main parts of memory: encoding, storage, and retrieval. His research helped to make memory capacity and the natural fading of memories clearer by showing that people don't always remember things the same way. Ebbinghaus's empirical method is still important in memory research today because it gives us a solid foundation for understanding how memory works, especially in the digital age (Ebbinghaus, 2013).

In the middle of the 20th century, cognitive psychology changed how people studied memory. Atkinson and Shiffrin are two researchers who came up with a model of how the brain processes information. This model showed how important memory is for thinking. The Modal Model said that sensory memory, short-term memory, and long-term memory are three separate parts that are linked by ways to encode, store, and get information back. If you don't deal with sensory input, your sensory memory will fade quickly. Short-term memory can only hold so much information, so it needs to be used again and again to stay in memory. Long-term memory is a big place where you can keep information for a long time. The model has built-in control systems that manage encoding, attention, and retrieval (Hockley, 2000; Baddeley et al., 2019). The Modal Model is still a very important turning

point in memory studies, even though more research has made it better. It changed how we think about memory in dynamic cognitive frameworks.

Neuroscience and neuroimaging have come a long way, and we've learned a lot more about memory. We now have a neurobiological view of how memory works thanks to finding brain areas that are linked to memory, like the hippocampus. The medial temporal lobe has a structure that looks like a seahorse. It is very important for making, storing, and remembering memories that include facts and experiences. Neuroimaging studies have shown that the hippocampus is involved in memory tasks and that it changes how it works during these tasks, such as when information is first encoded and when memories are moved to long-term storage (Lisman et al., 2017; Voss et al., 2017; Bird & Burgess, 2008). A broader look at memory from a neurobiological point of view has also revealed a complicated network of other brain areas that support both declarative and nondeclarative memory systems (Sridhar et al., 2023). Declarative memory is when you remember things and events on purpose. When you remember things like skills, habits, and other types of implicit memory, you are using nondeclarative memory. The amygdala is close to the hippocampus and helps store and process memories that are important to us emotionally. This could help them stay in memory longer and change how they are later remembered (Rajmohan & Mohandas, 2007). The dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the rest of the prefrontal cortex are in charge of working memory and executive functions. It holds and changes information for a short time and lets us control memory processes (Perry & Mitchell, 2019). The entorhinal cortex connects the hippocampus and the neocortex and helps with the first steps of remembering and retrieving information. It helps send information back and forth between these two important parts of the brain. When we relax and think about ourselves, the posterior cingulate cortex, which is part of the default mode network, becomes active. It helps us remember things, especially memories about ourselves and our own lives (Anderson et al., 2016). Different memory processes happen in different parts of the temporal and parietal lobes. The temporal lobe, for instance, is important for remembering and recognizing specific episodic memories, while the parietal lobe helps combine spatial and episodic memories. The basal ganglia help us learn and do things that involve movement. They are part of non-declarative or procedural memory, which is a type of memory that includes skills, habits, and other things that are not explicitly stated. The thalamus sends sensory information to the cortex and helps with memory consolidation by sending information to and from the neocortex (Rolls, 2019; Kamali et al., 2023). It also helps with the first time memories of things we see, hear, and feel are stored. These brain areas work together to do different things with memory, such as forming, consolidating, retrieving, and connecting memories to feelings. But the way they work can be different for each person, depending on the task or experience and the type of memory being processed.

The digital age has changed how researchers study memory over the past few decades. Researchers are now more interested in how digital technologies affect the way we save, get to, and remember information. This change happened because digital devices and the internet spread so quickly. That's why the digital age has had such a big effect on collective memory (Mandolessi, 2023). Some people believe that digital memory is very different from regular memory, but new research shows that it is a physical representation of ideas that have been studied in memory research. Researchers have found that digital memory changes how people think about collective memory by looking at the social side of memory again, how the digital archive changes over time, and how memory and forgetting work together. The digital age is changing memory, technology, and society. This is because memory processes are changing. The Google effect and the photo-taking-impairment effect are two

examples of how easy it is to find information online and how taking pictures may change memory (Storm & Soares, 2021). We used to think that photographic memory was a thing, but now we know that the widespread use of digital media and social networks creates a huge shared visual memory. Digital health and mHealth (mobile health) are new areas that bring together technology and healthcare. They are mostly interested in using digital technologies, especially mobile devices, to improve health outcomes and the way healthcare is delivered (García-Gavilanes et al., 2017; Firth, Torous & Firth, 2020; Barasch et al., 2017). People are using social media and digital networks more and more to store and share information, which makes transactive memory more common (Han, 2023). This change makes me think about how autobiographical memory and the information that is easy to find online work together. It also makes me think about the role of cognitive offloading in memory processes in the age of fake news and the changing world of metacognition. This new field of study will help us learn more about how using digital technology and social media changes the way we think and remember things.

Biased Mind

Many cognitive distortions can change how people remember and think about things that have already happened. In a society full of gadgets and digital devices, this can affect decisions, judgments, and social interactions.

When people remember or understand information in a way that supports what they already believe or feel, they are showing confirmation bias. People are more likely to remember and talk about information that supports what they already believe than information that goes against what they believe. Confirmation or myside bias can make people more sure of their own ideas and make it harder for them to consider other points of view. This can change how they see the world (Peters, 2022). When you remember things that fit with your views or feelings and forget or ignore things that don't, that's called selective memory. This bias could cause people to pay too much attention to memories that support what they already believe and ignore other points of view (Waring & Kensinger, 2011). People often make decisions based on information that is easy to find, according to the availability heuristic. People tend to give more weight to memories that stand out clearly and come to mind easily, even if they don't accurately reflect the whole situation. So, the availability heuristic might make people choose based on the most recent or emotionally charged memories, which could lead to bad choices (MacLeod & Campbell, 1992).

People who have hindsight bias believe they knew what would happen before it did. Some people call this the "I-knew-it-all-along" syndrome. People often think they can guess what will happen in the future better than they really can, and they may remember their past decisions as being more accurate than they really were. People may not fully understand how some things happened or be able to learn from their mistakes because of hindsight bias (Bernstein et al., 2007). People often believe that good things happen because of their own skills or hard work, but bad things happen because of things they can't control or bad luck. So, the self-serving bias can hurt people's self-esteem and make them see themselves in an unrealistic way because they often think that their successes are because of their skills and their failures are because of things outside of their control (Shepperd et al., 2008). People use the anchoring heuristic when they base their choices on the first piece of information they see. This first anchor could change how people rate things later, which could lead to biased ratings because people might make decisions based on information that isn't relevant or is random, even if it has nothing to do with the choice at hand (Furnham & Boo, 2011).

If people knew about memory-based biases, they could do things to lessen their effects and make better, more objective decisions in the digital age. Researchers and psychologists are still looking into these kinds of biases to learn more about how people think and find ways to make them less of a factor in behavior and decision-making in a society that is completely technological.

Digitalization

People use information in different ways because of digital devices and the internet. Switching from analog to digital makes it easier to get to huge collections of knowledge and share information with people all over the world. People can collect, process, and store information more easily thanks to search engines, online databases, and digital libraries (Bergman & Whittaker, 2016). Digital technology has changed how we remember things. People are using cell phones and computers more and more as external memory aids because they are easy to get to. Because of this, people are worried about how much we rely on external storage and retrieval methods to help us remember things in the digital age (Yasseri et al., 2022).

People may have trouble prioritizing and taking in all the information they have (Arnold et al., 2023). In the digital age, search engines, recommendation algorithms, and cloud storage have changed how people get information. Sometimes, they even replace ways of remembering things. So, people use digital technology and social media to share their personal stories with the world for both personal and social reasons. Digital technologies don't change how we remember things about ourselves, but they do add settings that change how we remember things. Smartphones let you record events in real time, which changes how you pay attention and remember things. Social media also lets people remember and share things on a regular basis, which could change how they feel about them (Eliseev & Marsh, 2021). People may use external devices to help them remember things, which is called cognitive offloading. This is because externalization in the digital age may change how people remember their experiences. This might help with mental stress, but it could also hurt your memory. People use smartphones for a lot of things, like getting information, taking notes, and setting daily reminders. Because of this, smartphones can change how people remember and recall information (Grinschgl et al., 2021).

So, in order to understand the cognitive effects and limits of using digital technology for memory tasks, we need to know how it affects how we process information.

Cognitive Impact

Digital technologies make it easy to get to big stores of information, which increases cognitive abilities and helps people make smart choices. E-books, online courses, and instructional apps all help with memory and application. Digital calendars, reminders, and note-taking apps are examples of external memory aids that help you keep track of information and remember important facts.

Heersmink and Carter (2020) look at memory technologies as tools that change how people think. We look at memory technologies' purpose, how they store information, how they are categorized, and what they mean in terms of being. The epistemological parts look at the truth of external memory, the conditions of knowledge, and metacognitive processes. Memory technologies have an effect on biological memory, personal and social identities, and morality when it comes to ethical and moral issues. This study focuses on philosophical and conceptual questions, but it also looks at empirical ones. This shows how philosophical and experiential studies in memory technology are connected. Another, more recent study

looks at how technology has changed the way people connect by looking at Pew Research Center surveys of mobile phone, smartphone, and internet use. Even though lab studies have shown that memory is affected by context and cooperation, we don't know as much about how virtual collaboration affects memory as we do about in-person studies. The study uses two online chatroom experiments to introduce a virtual collaborative memory paradigm. It also talks about how online memory effects depend on the situation. Because people in Experiment 1 had worse memories than people who did research in person, there was no collaborative inhibition (lower recall in groups working together). But Experiment 2, which had different instructions and an experimenter present, showed collaborative inhibition (Greeley et al., 2022).

Relying too much on digital devices to store and retrieve information could interfere with memory consolidation, making it harder for short-term memories to become long-term ones. Digital technology may make memory development harder by encouraging shallow encoding processes because it makes information easy to get to. Digital amnesia is when people use electronics to remember phone numbers and places. This may make memory worse and affect how information is encoded and stored. Digital forgetfulness can be fought by using digital memory aids wisely and learning actively (Ward et al., 2017; Lodha, 2019; Schacter, 2022).

People can improve their memory by balancing external memory aids with their own cognitive processes when they know how digital technology affects memory. To help students remember more, teachers and students can encourage deep encoding to balance out shallow information processing and encourage critical thinking, active engagement with knowledge, and reflection (Haux et al., 2021).

Digital Studies

Because digital technologies have such a big impact on our lives, memory studies have become an interdisciplinary field. Research done in the digital age can change and improve existing memory theories, which can help us understand how memory works in a world full of digital information.

Psychologists are looking into how digital technologies affect memory, attention, and information processing. They are also looking into how using modern technology and doing multiple tasks at once affects health, relationships, and school performance. Modern neuroscientists are looking into how digital technologies affect memory in the brain, such as how doing more than one thing at once on a computer changes the brain's plasticity. Neuroscience has taught us a lot about how memory works in the brain. Advanced brain-computer interfaces let digital technology and brain activity talk to each other directly. This opens up interesting possibilities for improving memory, but it also raises ethical and cognitive concerns (Hoskins, 2009; Bowen & Petrelli, 2011). Sociology research looks at how people and societies make, share, and keep memories, as well as how digital culture affects collective memory (Dorr et al., 2019). This is important in a time of social media, online communities, and digital archives. Lastly, information scientists are looking into how to manage and remember digital information, such as how to structure, retrieve, and keep information. So, information science can help make memory technologies like digital preservation, data storage, and search algorithms. These technologies can change how people and organizations remember things in the digital age.

This approach from different fields helps researchers figure out how digital technologies affect memory by working together to solve hard problems. Collaboration is leading to the development of useful tools like digital-age interventions, teaching tools, and memory-enhancing technologies.

Data Excess

When people have too much information to handle, they get information overload. News articles, social media posts, emails, and other online content can all take your attention away from what you're doing. This could make it hard to remember things and keep your attention, which could hurt memory functions. When people are afraid of missing out or making bad choices, too much information can make them unable to make a decision. People may have trouble encoding, storing, and retrieving information when they have too much of it. It's hard to find what you need to help your memory stick. Rich information can also lead to shallow processing, which is when people scan instead of engaging with knowledge. This can make it harder to learn and remember things over time (Arnold et al., 2023). Cognitive problems can make it hard to make decisions based on memory, but most people can deal with too much information with digital tools like filtering, curation, and material aggregation. They organize and rank information to make it easier for people to process. Some people take breaks from their digital devices and practice mindfulness to help them think more clearly and relieve cognitive strain from too much information (Fan et al., 2021).

Advanced information management systems, AI-driven filters, and personalized content suggestions may help with information overload. So, people can get around the digital world better if they know how to read and write, and they can also make better choices about what information to use and how to remember it by taking classes and getting training (Mahdi et al., 2020).

Privacy Concerns

Digital platforms, apps, and websites sometimes get user data without their knowledge or consent. Profiling, targeted advertising, and customization are all things that use this kind of data, which could change how people remember and understand information. Digital technologies leave behind big digital footprints, like online activities and interactions (Quach et al., 2022), so the monitoring and collection of personal data from these interactions has raised concerns about data privacy and security. So, people should be more careful about what they say online, as this could change their digital connections and memories.

The Metaverse uses AI, 5G, cloud computing, blockchain, and encryption to let people talk to each other through avatars. Avatars need privacy and authentication systems to be trusted. To make avatars more personal, you have to enter private information and show emotions, which raises security concerns. To protect privacy, digital identity, and data use, it is important to make personal identification identifiers different from each other. A consistent way to verify digital identities is necessary for social trust. Wu and Zhang (2023) say that the right to personality can be used to fix problems with digital identity and privacy security. Worrying about privacy can make you anxious and stressed, which can hurt your memory and thinking. Concerns about data privacy could get in the way of memory exercises. People are becoming more privacy-conscious in their online activities and policies because of rising concerns about privacy. The European General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the US California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) both aim to give people more control over

their data. These rules affect how digital platforms and services get and use user data, which has an effect on the user's experience and cognitive impact (Alexander, 2020; Yom-Tov & Ofran, 2022).

In the future, technology and practices that protect data and give users more control over it might help with privacy issues. Decentralized identification systems and end-to-end encryption could help keep people's information private and reduce the mental stress that comes with dealing with data. People may be able to make more informed choices about sharing and interacting with data if they take part in digital literacy programs and awareness campaigns (Alajlan et al., 2023). Encourage people to learn about digital privacy and self-awareness so they have more control online. Schools and tech companies may be able to help with privacy problems. Adding privacy lessons to school and making privacy tools easier to use could make digital security and privacy better. Wu and Zhang (2023) say that secure data exchange and encryption may make digital technology users' privacy and cognitive health better.

Cognitive Integrity

Alerts, notifications, and the urge to multitask can all take your attention away from what you're doing and make it harder to process information, which may affect your memory. In a digital world where people are always connected and information is always being shared, it can be hard to keep cognitive functions like memory, attention, and critical thinking (Aivaz & Teodorescu, 2022). Digital tools and methods that help people stay focused and get rid of distractions may help with this problem and improve cognitive integrity (Storm & Soares, 2021). It is possible to create advanced content filtering and information management systems that make it easier for people to prioritize and handle digital information without putting too much strain on their brains.

Digital literacy programs and stricter data privacy laws will help people navigate the digital world with more awareness and control, since collecting, monitoring, and surveilling data can disrupt cognitive processes (Jain et al., 2016). Schools and tech companies can work together to teach people how to think critically so they can decide which information sources to trust, make smart digital choices, and tell the difference between true and false information (Khan et al., 2021; Rahayu et al., 2022). So, cognitive integrity means finding a balance between using digital devices and being aware of how you use them. Digital tools and apps that encourage mindfulness and digital well-being may help people keep their digital connections in check and their minds clear (Abhari et al., 2021).

Optimization Strategies

As we move into the digital age, it's important to come up with ways to improve memory while reducing the negative effects of digital technology. Recent studies have shown that multitasking can make it harder for your brain to work and do well in school, especially when you use social media and other instant-gratification apps. Teachers say that these things hurt the relationships between students and teachers and the integrity of the classroom. It's hard to control digital distractions, and banning gadgets might make students less interested. Taking breaks from technology and writing notes by hand may help you learn better and stop doing too many things at once (Kostić & Ranđelović, 2022).

To process information well, you need to pay close attention to digital content so that it is stored and used in the best way possible. Schools and online learning platforms can encourage deep learning by making courses and materials that encourage students to study

deeply and think critically. People might use these tools to help them remember things instead of just using them on their own. Personalized digital assistants that help people remember things while also respecting how the brain works might help find this balance (Lin & Chang, 2023). So, AI-powered memory improvement tools might change how well your memory works based on your cognitive profile (Silvhiany et al., 2021).

Future Implications

In a digital world that is always changing, practitioners, educators, researchers, and technology developers can work together to create new interventions, teaching methods, and memory-boosting apps that protect cognitive integrity and make memory-related tasks easier.

So, applied research will help researchers update memory theories for the digital age by looking at how digital technologies affect our minds and what problems they cause. Teachers can help students remember things better by encouraging them to learn deeply, think critically, and use technology effectively. Psychologists, counselors, and other professionals who work with people on their well-being will encourage people to use technology mindfully and help them improve their memory by limiting digital distractions and practicing mindfulness. Experts in data privacy, cybersecurity, and the law may call for stricter rules about data privacy and help companies set up more advanced solutions that focus on privacy. Better digital tools and apps might put more focus on the mental health and honesty of their users. These technologies should help with memory while not interfering with how the brain works. So, this study has an impact on a lot of people who work with and study memory in the digital age.

Recommendations

In the digital age, it's important to find a balance in how you use digital resources to improve memory and cognitive health. This report gives people, teachers, tech developers, and politicians ideas for how to fix this problem. These tips help you use digital tools in a healthy way while keeping your memory and thinking processes safe.

First, people need to be careful when they use digital tools. This means being aware of how and when you use digital devices and how they affect your memory and thinking. Using technology mindfully helps people make smart choices about how it affects their cognitive health (Lucero-Romero & Arias-Bolzmann, 2023). To get the most out of your memory in the digital age, you need to understand how helpful external memory aids can be. Digital calendars, reminders, and note-taking apps might help you remember things better, but you need to find a balance between using them and your natural memory.

Another good idea is to teach people how to use digital tools responsibly, like how to evaluate online information, find reliable sources, and navigate the digital world. As technology becomes more common in everyday life, digital literacy should help people use it safely while keeping their memory and thinking skills sharp (Tinmaz et al., 2022). Deep learning and critical engagement help people remember things and use what they know (Manalo, 2020). Teaching digital literacy at all levels can help students use the internet safely. Teachers can help students find reliable sources, think critically, and get deeply involved with digital content.

Another suggestion is to deal with information overload and digital distractions by turning off notifications, setting aside time to work, and sometimes going on a digital detox (Fan et al., 2021).

When making digital products, technology developers should focus on making them use less memory, keeping people from getting distracted, and keeping data safe (Chammas et al., 2015). So, a wider range of memory improvement technologies that work with each user's unique cognitive profile and memory needs should help with memory optimization, which is a very personalized cognitive process. People can have full control over their digital footprints and personal data through data advocacy. This advice is in line with laws and regulations that protect people's digital identities and privacy, which have a direct effect on activities related to memory and cognition (Vervier et al., 2017).

It is also recommended that future study publications on digital technology and memory use questionnaires to gather data. Researchers can use a well-structured survey with clear, short, and unbiased questions to find out about participants' experiences, attitudes, and behaviors related to the study issue (Taherdoost, 2021). It is easy to look at and draw conclusions from the data when everyone collects it the same way. At the end of this study, the sample questionnaire asks research participants about how digital technology affects memory and memory studies. This is important information.

CONCLUSIONS

People use mobile phones, computers, and the internet all the time, so these things have a big impact on how people talk to each other and get information. Digital technologies have big effects on memory, which in turn affects mental abilities, decision-making, learning, and well-being. Digital technologies give us access to more information and resources than ever before, but they also make it easier to forget things, overload our memories, and have privacy issues. When people have to deal with too much information, it can put too much stress on their brains and make it harder for them to remember things. People have also had to adapt their thinking in the digital age by learning how to sort through huge amounts of data, judge sources, and think critically about information. Memory research in the digital age is done by people from many different fields, including psychology, neurology, sociology, and information science.

As people become more dependent on digital technology, the future of memory and thinking will be more connected to it. This study aimed to give academics and practitioners long-lasting ideas on how to use digital tools to improve memory while lowering the risks of overuse. It did this by looking at how these tools can be used in education, healthcare, and technology development. By looking into the pros and cons of using digital tools for memory-related tasks, people may be able to fully integrate them into their lives while still keeping their cognitive integrity.

REFERENCES

Abhari, K., Klase, M., Koobchehr, F., Olivares, F., Pesavento, M., Sosa, L., & Vaghefi, I. (2021). Toward a Theory of Digital Mindfulness: A Case of Smartphone-Based Self-Monitoring. In HCI in Business, Government, and Organizations. HCII 2021, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, *12783*, 417-430. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77750-0_35

Aivaz, K. A., & Teodorescu, D. (2022). College students' distractions from learning caused by multitasking in online vs. face-to-face classes: A case study at a public university

in Romania. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(18), 11188. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811188

Alajlan, R., Alhumam, N., & Frikha, M. (2023). Cybersecurity for blockchain-based IoT Systems: A review. *Applied Sciences*, *13*(13), 7432. https://doi.org/10.3390/app13137432

Alexander, C. B. (2020). The general data protection regulation and California consumer privacy act: The economic impact and future of data privacy regulations. *Loyola Consumer Law Review*, *32*(2), 199-245.

Anderson, M. C., Bunce, J. G., & Barbas, H. (2016). Prefrontal-hippocampal pathways underlying inhibitory control over memory. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, *134*(Part A), 145-161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2015.11.008

Annas, J. (1995). Aristotle on Memory and the Self. In M. C. Nussbaum & A. O. Rorty (Eds.), Essays on Aristotle's *De Anima* (pp. 1-25). Oxford Academic. https://doi.org/10.1093/019823600X.003.0017

Arnold, M., Goldschmitt, M., & Rigotti, T. (2023). Dealing with information overload: A comprehensive review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*, Organizational Psychology. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1122200

Baddeley, A. D., Hitch, G. J., & Allen, R. J. (2019). From short-term store to multicomponent working memory: The role of the modal model. *Memory and Cognition*, *47*, 575-588. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13421-018-0878-5

Barasch, A., Diehl, K., Silverman, J., & Zauberman, G. (2017). Photographic memory: The effects of volitional photo taking on memory for visual and auditory aspects of an experience. *Psychological Science*, *28*, 1056-1066.

Bergman, O., & Whittaker, S. (2016). The Science of Managing Our Digital Stuff. MIT Press.

Bernstein, D. M., Atance, C., Meltzoff, A. N., & Loftus, G. R. (2007). Hindsight bias and developing theories of mind. *Child Development*, *78*(4), 1374-1394. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2007.01071.x

Bird, C. M., & Burgess, N. (2008). The hippocampus and memory: Insights from spatial processing. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *9*, 182-194. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2335

Bowen, S., & Petrelli, D. (2011). Remembering today tomorrow: Exploring the human-centered design of digital mementos. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, *69*, 324-337.

Camina E., & Güell F. (2017). The Neuroanatomical, neurophysiological and psychological basis of memory: Current models and their origins. *Front Pharmacol*, *8*, 438. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2017.00438

Chammas, A., Quaresma, M., & Mont'Alvão, C. (2015). A closer look on the user-centered design. *Procedia Manufacturing*, *3*, 5397-5404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.656

Dorr, M. E., Erll, A., Högerle, E., Vickers, P., & Wegner, J. M. I. (2019). Introduction: Travel, locatedness, and new horizons in memory studies. *Journal of Aesthetics & Culture*, *11*(Supplement 1). https://doi.org/10.1080/20004214.2019.1690840

Ebbinghaus, H. (2013). Memory: A contribution to experimental psychology. *Annals of Neuroscience*, *20*(4), 155-156. https://doi.org/10.5214/ans.0972.7531.200408

Eliseev, E. D., & Marsh, E. J. (2021). Externalizing autobiographical memories in the digital age. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *25*(12), 1072-1081. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2021.08.005

Eustache, F., & Peschanski, D. (2022). Toward new memory sciences: The program 13-Novembre. *Collective Memory*, *274*, 177-201. Progress in Brain Research. ISBN: 978-0-323-99001-1. https://shs.hal.science/halshs-03919010

Fan, M., Huang, Y., Qalati, S. A., Shah, S. M. M., & Ostic, D. (2021). Effects of information overload, communication overload, and inequality on digital distrust: A cyber-violence behavior mechanism. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.643981

Firth, J. A., Torous, J., & Firth, J. (2020). Exploring the impact of internet use on memory and attention processes. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(24), 9481. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249481

Furnham, A., & Boo, H. C. (2011). A literature review of the anchoring effect. *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, *40*(1), 35-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2010.10.008

García-Gavilanes, R., Mollgaard, A., Tsvetkova, M., & Yasseri, T. (2017). The memory remains: Understanding collective memory in the digital age. *Science Advances*, *3*(4), e1602368. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1602368

Greeley, G. D., Peña, T., & Rajaram, S. (2022). Social remembering in the digital age: Implications for virtual study, work, and social engagement. *Memory, Mind & Media*, *1*, E13. https://doi.org/10.1017/mem.2022.3

Grinschgl, S., Papenmeier, F., & Meyerhoff, H. S. (2021). Consequences of cognitive offloading: Boosting performance but diminishing memory. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *74*(9), 1477-1496. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747021821100 8060

Han, Y. (2023). Evolution of mediated memory in the digital age: Tracing its path from the 1950s to 2010s. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *10*, Article 603. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02129-4

Haux, D. H., Maget Dominicé, A., & Raspotnig, J. A. (2021). A cultural memory of the digital age? *International Journal of Semiotics and Law*, *34*, 769-782. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-020-09778-7

Heersmink, R., & Carter, J. A. (2020). The philosophy of memory technologies: Metaphysics, knowledge, and values. *Memory Studies*, *13*(4), 416-433. https://doi.org/10.1177/1750698017703810

Hockley, W. E. (2000). The Modal Model Then and Now: Review of On Human Memory: Evolution, Progress, and Reflections on the 30th Anniversary of the Atkinson–Shiffrin Model, by C. Izawa. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *44*(2), 336-345. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmps.2000.1306

Hoskins, A. (2009). Digital Network Memory. In A. Erll & A. Rigney (Eds.), Mediation, Remediation, and the Dynamics of Cultural Memory (pp. 91-108). De Gruyter. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110217384.1.91

Jain, P., Gyanchandani, M., & Khare, N. (2016). Big data privacy: A technological perspective and review. *J Big Data 3*(25). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-016-0059-y

Kamali, A., Milosavljevic, S., Gandhi, A., Lano, K. R., Shobeiri, P., Sherbaf, F. G., Sair, H. I., Riascos, R. F., & Hasan, K. M. (2023). The cortico-limbo-thalamo-cortical circuits: An update to the original papez circuit of the human limbic system. *Brain Topography*, *36*, 371-389. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-023-00955-y

Khan, S., Singh, J., Kaur, D., & Arumugam, T. (2021). Mindfulness in an age of digital distraction and the effect of mindfulness on employee engagement, wellbeing, and perceived stress. *Global Business and Management Research: An International Journal*, *12*(3), 77-86.

Kostić, J. O., & Ranđelović, K. R. (2022). Digital distractions: Learning in multitasking environment. *Psychological Applications and Trends*, 301-304. https://doi.org/10.36315/2022inpact070

Lin, K. Y., & Chang, K. H. (2023). Artificial intelligence and information processing: A systematic literature review. *Mathematics*, *11*(11), Article 2420. https://doi.org/10.3390/math11112420

Lisman, J., Buzsáki, G., Eichenbaum, H., Nadel, L., Ranganath, C., & Redish, A. D. (2017). Viewpoints: How the hippocampus contributes to memory, navigation and cognition. *Nature Neuroscience*, *20*(11), 1434-1447. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4661

Lodha, P. (2019). Digital amnesia: Are we headed towards another amnesia? *Indian Journal of Mental Health*, *6*(1), 18-22. https://doi.org/10.30877/IJMH.6.1.2019.18-22

Lucero-Romero, G., & Arias-Bolzmann, L. G. (2023). Impact of "mindfulness" or full awareness meditation on learning abilities. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*, *32*(4), 469-490. Emerald Publishing.

MacLeod, C., & Campbell, L. (1992). Memory accessibility and probability judgments: An experimental evaluation of the availability heuristic. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *63*(6), 890-902. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.63.6.890

Maguire, E. A. (2022). Does memory research have a realistic future? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *26*(12), 1043-1046. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2022.07.006

Mahdi, M., Ahmad, A. R., Ismail, R., Kadhim, H. N., & Mohammed, M. A. (2020). Solution for information overload using faceted search – A review. IEEE Access, 8, 119554-119585. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3005536

Manalo, E. (ed.) (2020). Deeper Learning, Dialogic Learning, And Critical Thinking. New York: Routledge.

Mandolessi, S. (2023). Memory in the digital age. *Open Research Europe*, *3*, Article 123. https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.16228.1

Perry, B. A. L., & Mitchell, A. S. (2019). Considering the evidence for anterior and laterodorsal thalamic nuclei as higher order relays to cortex. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience*, *12*, Neuroplasticity and Development. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2019.00167

Peters, U. (2022). What is the function of confirmation bias? *Erkenntnis*, *87*, 1351-1376. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10670-020-00252-1

Pieper, C. (2014). Memoria saeptus: Cicero and the mastery of memory in his (post-) consular speeches. *Symbolae Osloenses: Norwegian Journal of Greek and Latin Studies*, *88*(1), 42-69. https://doi.org/10.1080/00397679.2014.964473

Quach, S., Thaichon, P., Martin, K. D., Weaven, S., & Palmatier, R. W. (2022). Digital technologies: Tensions in privacy and data. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *50*, 1299-1323. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-022-00845-y

Rahayu, S., Isnaeni, W., & Masturi, M. (2022). Critical thinking skills and digital literacy of high school students in science learning using e-learning with STEM vision. *Journal of Innovative Science Education*, *11*(3), 347-361.

Rajmohan, V., & Mohandas, E. (2007). The limbic system. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, *49*(2), 132-139. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.33264

Rolls, E. T. (2019). The cingulate cortex and limbic systems for emotion, action, and memory. *Brain Structure and Function*, *224*(9), 3001-3018. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-019-01945-2

Schacter, D. L. (2022). Media, technology, and the sins of memory. *Memory, Mind & Media*, *1*, Article E1. https://doi.org/10.1017/mem.2021.3

Shepperd, J., Malone, W., & Sweeny, K. (2008). Exploring causes of the self-serving bias. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, *2*(2), 895-908. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2008.00078.x

Sierp, A. (2021). Memory Studies – Development, Debates and Directions. In M. Berek, K. Chmelar, O. Dimbath, H. Haag, M. Heinlein, N. Leonhard, V. Rauer, & G. Sebald (Eds.), Handbuch Sozialwissenschaftliche Gedächtnisforschung Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-26593-9_42-1

Silvhiany, S., Huzaifah, S., & Ismet. (2021). Critical digital literacy: EFL students' ability to evaluate online sources. *Indonesian Journal of EFL and Linguistics*, *6*(1), 249. https://doi.org/10.21462/ijefl.v6i1.364

Sridhar, S., Khamaj, A., & Asthana, M. K. (2023). Cognitive neuroscience perspective on memory: Overview and summary. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *17*, Article 1217093. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2023.1217093

Storm, B. C., & Soares, J. S. (2021). Memory in the Digital Age. In The Oxford Handbook of Human Memory (pp. 1-61). Oxford University Press.

Taherdoost, H. (2021). Data collection methods and tools for research: A step-by-step guide to choose data collection technique for academic and business research projects. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management (IJARM)*, *10*(1), 10-38.

Tinmaz, H., Lee, Y. T., Fanea-Ivanovici, M., & Hasnan Baber. (2022). A systematic review on digital literacy. *Smart Learning Environments*, *9*(21), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-022-00204-y

Vervier, L., Schomakers, E.-M., Lidynia, C., & Ziefle, M. (2017). Perceptions of Digital Footprints and the Value of Privacy. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Internet of Things, Big Data and Security, 80-91. https://doi.org/10.5220/0006301000800091

Voss, J. L., Bridge, D. J., Cohen, N. J., & Walker, J. A. (2017). A closer look at the hippocampus and memory. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *21*(8), 577-588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2017.05.008

Ward, A. F., Duke, K., Gneezy, A., & Bos, M. W. (2017). Brain drain: The mere presence of one's own smartphone reduces available cognitive capacity. *Journal of the Association for Consumer Research*, *2*(2), 140-154.

Waringa, J. D., & Kensinger, E. A. (2011). How emotion leads to selective memory: Neuroimaging evidence. *Neuropsychologia*, *49*(7), 1831-1842. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.03.007

Wilmer, H. H., Sherman, L. E., & Chein, J. M. (2017). Smartphones and cognition: A review of research exploring the links between mobile technology habits and cognitive functioning. *Front Psychol*, *8*, 605. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00605

Wu, H., & Zhang, W. (2023). Digital identity, privacy security, and their legal safeguards in the metaverse. *Security and Safety*, *2*, 2023011. https://doi.org/10.1051/sands/2023011

Yasseri, T., Gildersleve, P., & David, L. (2022). Collective memory in the digital age. *Progress in Brain Research*, *274*(1), 203-226. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pbr.2022.07.001

Yom-Tov, E., & Ofran, Y. (2022). Implementation of data protection laws in the European union and in California is associated with a move of clinical trials to countries with fewer data protections. *Frontiers in Medicine*, *9*, Regulatory Science. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.1051025

Acknowledgment
The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest
The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Akhter, M. (2025). Memory in the Digital Era: Cognitive and Cultural Shifts in Remembering. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *13*(2), 3796-3810. DIP:18.01.336.20251302, DOI:10.25215/1302.336