

## Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience

Antarjot Kaur<sup>1\*</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Childhood trauma, particularly abuse, disrupts normal development and leaves long-lasting effects on memory processing, emotional regulation and psychosocial functioning. Despite this, many survivors demonstrate resilience, recovering and even thriving through adaptive neurobiological and psychological mechanisms. This review examines resilience in trauma survivors with a specific focus on how traumatic memories are encoded, retrieved and required. We explore alterations in memory-related brain regions such as the amygdala, hippocampus and prefrontal cortex and discuss how resilience modulates these effects through processes like reconsolidation, emotional distancing and meaning-making. Future directions using trauma and memory-based interventions are highlighted as promising approaches for long-term recovery. Understanding trauma memory as both a source of vulnerability and a target for adaptive change offers a nuanced framework for supporting survivors of early adversity.

**Keywords:** Resilience, Traumatic Memories, Childhood Abuse, Emotional Regulation

Trauma that stems from violence, most natural disasters, and many other forms of personal insult have the potential to very powerfully affect a traumatized individual's mental emotional, and social functioning. Because trauma will typically disrupt the normal type of neurobiological as well as psychological and social course of development, it potentially puts individuals at risk when suffering from abuse for a mental health disorder such as major depression, and PTSD among other suicidal behaviors. Certain individuals who have survived traumatic situations can be resilient throughout these experiences, overcome obstacles in their lives, and flourish into successful personal and professional lives. Resilience has been defined as a psychological construct in literature, which allows people to overcome the adversities they face and basically bounce back from the effects of such events to functioning level before it ever happened.

Emerging evidence shows that the way traumatic memories are remembered whether they are integrated coherently or remain fragmented and intrusive, also plays a long-term adjustment. Trauma can alter memory processes by strengthening emotionally charged content while disrupting contextual integration leading to vivid but disjointed recollections. In some, such memories, remain accessible and accurate for long periods particularly if the event had significant emotional or psychological impact (Goodman et al., 2018). While in

<sup>1</sup>Thapar School of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology

\*Corresponding Author

Received: June 26, 2025; Revision Received: July 11, 2025; Accepted: July 15, 2025

## Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience

others, avoidance or suppression or trauma-related psychopathology may distort or impair recall.

But understanding the processes that contribute to resilience in these cases may hold the key to explaining why some survivors of traumatic experiences become capable of living normally and can provide some indication of what sorts of interventions are likely to be effective in supporting recovery.

There has also been an increasing body of research in the past on survivors of trauma from childhood abuse, emphasizing that many individuals can be very resilient when met with such profound challenges, able to use early-life adversity and find adaptive ways to master and grow (Teicher et al., 2016)

### ***Long-Term Impacts of Childhood Abuse: Challenges and Pathways to Resilience***

The well-being of those who have endured multiple traumas, child abuse, and other such experiences is negatively impacted by these occurrences. However, abuse of children, especially from family members, caregivers, and other individuals, especially during early childhood, interferes with basic developmental processes, such as attachment, emotional control, and basic comprehension, all of which are essential for growing up to be a responsible and psychologically sound adult.

Long-term HPA dysfunction has been seen to be linked to mood disorders and functional deficiencies far into adulthood, for survivors of ACEs, abuse, or neglect. The emergence of depressive, anxious, PTSD, and suicidal states can also be considered one of these impacts. Long-term impairment in the HPA axis also relates to mood disorders and defects in functioning well into adult life. These effects also can be seen as the development of states of depression, anxiety, PTSD, and suicide. (Teicher et al., 2016).

Studies have shown that trauma due to abuse is related to structural and functional changes in the anterior cingulate cortex and amygdala, which contributes to hypervigilance and threat sensitivity in the victims (McCrorry et al., 2011). These neurobiological changes lead to detrimental effects on daily living at times, on a severe scale or act as obstacles for the survivors of abuse.

Traumatic childhood experiences, especially abuse, often leave a long-lasting imprint not only on emotional and cognitive development but also how memories are formed, stored and retrieved. One of the most well-documented neurocognitive consequences is the over consolidation of emotionally charged memories, a hallmark feature of post-traumatic stress (McGaugh, 2004; Roozendaal and McGaugh, 2011). Individuals with trauma, particularly during sensitive developmental periods, heightened glucocorticoid and noradrenergic activity at the time of encoding can result in the hyper salience of traumatic cues, strengthening the durability and emotional intensity of such memories (Roozendaal et al., 2009; McEwen, 2003). This neurochemical environment during early trauma not only alters hippocampal development, leading to memory fragmentation and impaired contextualization, but also reinforces amygdala-driven encoding, which enhances fear generalization and intrusive recall (Teicher and Samson, 2016; Bremner, 2006; McCrorry et al., 2011)

Social support, perceived resilience, and a sense of purpose are considered protective factors that facilitate recovery (Roy et al., 2011; Sapienza & Masten, 2011). The processes involved

## Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience

in the reframing of abuse experiences rather than the development of a victimization narrative also facilitate growth among survivors. Healthy relationships help overcome difficulties and prevent further trauma. Therapy and counseling are important for adaptive coping. In their longitudinal study, Werner and Smith (1992) found that one-third of people who experienced early adversity had turned out to be successful adults; mentorship and social support were the identified protective factors.

Survivors of abuse may experience intrusive memories, flashbacks or emotional triggers long after the event, highlighting how memory networks remain sensitized (Brewin, Gregory, Lipton and Burgess, 2010; Ehlers, Hackmann and Michael, 2004). These persistent traumatic memories can contribute to a sense of ongoing threat and difficulty in forming coherent autobiographical narratives (Brewin, 2014). Importantly, the fragmentation and disorganization of trauma memories, characterized by sensory-laden “hot spot” separated from temporal or narrative coherence, may also impede the natural integration process essential for emotional regulation and healing (Ehlers et al. 2004, van der Kolk and Fessler, 1995). Thus, interventions aimed at fostering resilience must also engage memory processing systems, helping survivors not just cope emotionally, but reconsolidate memories into more adaptive and contextualized form (Lane et al., 2015, Schiller et al. 2010)

The temporal aspect of resilience, which allows for healing to take place years after trauma, is also significant. Roy et al. (2004) emphasized that resilience is a dynamic process and noted that stable adulthood enables survivors to analyse their experiences more emotionally and from a distance. Understanding such a cycle could help survivors recover by providing hints about therapies tailored to various stages of life.

### ***Psychosocial Factors Affecting Resilience***

Psychosocial elements not only aid in emotional regulation and social interactions but also influence how traumatic memories are processed, remembered, and integrated over time. For example, individuals who have robust social support systems frequently exhibit coherent trauma narratives, linked to lower emotional distress and fewer intrusive memories (McLean et al., 2017). The fundamental idea of psychological resilience involves the capability to reinterpret traumatic events as a source of strength instead of viewing them as defining instances of victimhood in their identity. This reframing diminishes self-blame and encourages emotional healing. Survivors who perceive their trauma as a catalyst for personal development often undergo greater post-traumatic growth. Self-compassion serves as a crucial resource for trauma survivors to progress without feeling guilt for experiences they did not deserve, since it counters self-critical thoughts and promotes positive reconsolidation, reducing avoidance (Neff, 2003; Farb et al., 2012). It enables survivors to recognize their experiences with emotional safety, which may allow fragmented memories to be assimilated into their larger autobiographical narrative. In this way, psychosocial resilience not only offers protection in the present but also aids in the long-term restructuring of traumatic memory representations.

Social support is vitally important for fostering resilience. A good relationship with family, peers, and/or mentors provides contact that acts not only at an emotional level but also supplies practical aids, which have been found to buffer or minimize the physiological effects of stress. Indeed, it is social support that confers trust and enables the learning of adaptive ways of dealing with life events.

## Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience

In a study by (Thomas, 2022), emotional health and sociodemographic factors significantly explained resilience among institutional survivors of Childhood Sexual Abuse. Anxiety, aggression, and depression were seen as negative predictors for resilience, indicating that the most central aspects of nurturing resilience must involve addressing the mental health challenges of the individuals majorly. It also showed that social support systems, like friendships among peers and extended stays within rehabilitation settings, were relevant to resilience. Cultural and community-focused practices become a source of resilience as well. In this instance, Roy et al. (2004) relates that shared culture and narrative and tradition increase identity in the promotion of resilience.

### *Neurobiological Underpinnings of Resilience*

The impact of childhood maltreatment on brain development has been documented over several decades of research, with the potential to modify the neural pathways that regulate stress and emotional processing in children, making them more susceptible to lasting neural and biological effects. Nevertheless, resilience appears to serve as a mediating factor in these alterations, encouraging neural adaptations that aid the recovery of those who have experienced trauma.

Earlier investigations concentrated on the notion that childhood abuse results in enduring, irreversible changes within individuals' brains, typically manifesting as heightened emotional reactivity or increased sensitivity to stress. For instance, McCrory et al. (2011) explored the involvement of the anterior cingulate cortex and amygdala in hypervigilance and sensitivity to threats. These discoveries suggest that the neurobiological repercussions of abuse are universally detrimental, contributing to lifelong deficits in emotional regulation and mental health.

However, more current research has started to challenge this perspective, with findings in neuroplasticity indicating that it is indeed feasible for individuals who have endured severe childhood trauma to recover. For example, fMRI studies reveal that the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) is crucial in modulating emotional responses by diminishing hyperactivity in the amygdala (Ireton et al., 2023).

Moreover, there are enduring neurobiological consequences that not only interfere with emotional regulation but also affect the encoding, consolidation, and retrieval of memories. The hippocampus, amygdala, and prefrontal cortex (PFC), essential regions involved in memory and emotional processing, experience both structural and functional alterations in individuals exposed to trauma (Cross et al., 2017; Tomoda et al., 2024). These changes are driven by chronic dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which increases glucocorticoid exposure during critical phases of brain development (Teicher et al., 2016; Lupien et al., 2016). This ongoing neuroendocrine activation can result in a reduction of hippocampal volume, disrupted connectivity between the PFC and amygdala, and hindered contextual integration of emotional memories, leading to intrusive and disjointed recollections of trauma (McLaughlin et al., 2020; Cross et al., 2017).

Such neurobiological alterations directly impact the structure and retrieval of trauma memories. For example, amygdala hyperactivation amplifies fear salience and facilitates strong encoding of emotionally intense experiences, often at the expense of contextual coherence typically provided by the hippocampus (Acheson et al., 2012). Resilient functioning may be supported by preserved or compensatory neurobiological patterns. For instance, larger medial and dorsolateral PFC volumes cognitive control in trauma-exposed

## Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience

but symptom-free individuals (Ionnaidis et al., 2020). These areas might facilitate more flexible reappraisal and narrative integration of traumatic events, suggesting neural substrate for memory-based resilience. In EEG studies, trauma-exposed individuals who demonstrated stronger late positive potential (LPP) responses to positive stimuli also showed better emotional discrimination and adaptive functioning, indicating retained or recoverable affective memory processing (Clarke et al., 2024).

### ***Trauma Resilience: A Protective Response***

Resilience serves as a protective factor against the psychological impact of traumatic experiences, facilitating processes of recovery and growth of survivors. In this respect, Bonanno et al. (2006) showed that resilience is nothing different and far from an extraordinary occurrence but rather a typical course of events even among those exposed to extreme trauma.

Resilience in survivors of child abuse modulates early life adversity's effects on mental health. According to (Roy et al., 2004) one strong resilient factor can diminish the risk of suicidal behavior despite a history of severe maltreatment. With the essential role played by social support, adaptive coping strategies, and enhanced emotional regulation, resilience can be amplified in individuals when all these factors align or even one comes forth strongly in the victim's personality, attitude, or persisting perspective in daily life. It shows how crucial it is to improve resilience through targeted interventions.

Indeed, resilience not only modulates traumatizing experiences but also has been seen enable post-traumatic growth. Those who survived abuse in childhood and indulged in moments of self-reflection reported an increase in emotional strength, relationship bonding and strengthening, and a fresh view of life and appreciation. This shows the transformative power of resilience in the face of profound adversity. (Tedeschi and Calhoun, 2004)

### ***Similar Findings in Resilience Mechanisms***

According to Van Harmelen et al. (2014), emotional abuse in childhood was associated with higher dorsal medial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) activation following social exclusion, indicating heightened attempts at emotional regulation. This supports the findings of McLaughlin et al. (2015), who similarly found that maltreated adolescents had more PFC recruitment during cognitive reappraisal to control amygdala activity (Cross et al., 2015). According to both, abuse alters brain function, in areas involved in emotional regulation, which controls emotional reactions to unpleasant stimuli.

Several converging studies support the idea that resilient survivors of childhood trauma exhibit more adaptive memory processing styles. Individuals with higher resilience tend to recall trauma narratives with greater coherence and emotional distancing, suggesting engagement of medial prefrontal cortex and hippocampal regulation over amygdala hyperactivity (Van Harmelen et al., 2014). This finding aligns with Tedeschi and Calhoun's (2004) theory of post-traumatic growth, which proposes that cognitive processing of trauma, including meaning-making and narrative reconstruction, is essential for recovery. Additionally, recent findings indicate that resilient individuals are more capable of reappraising and reinterpreting traumatic memories over time, enabling a shift from victimhood toward mastery and strength (Lalish et al., 2015; Fonzo et al., 2020). This may reflect enhanced reconsolidation of traumatic memories in safe contexts, allowing for emotional regulation and integration (Schiller et al., 2010; Lane et al., 2015). Such individuals often demonstrate fewer intrusive memories and greater autobiographical

## Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience

narrative cohesion, supporting the notion that resilience is closely tied to how trauma is encoded and retrieved within memory networks (Brewin 2014, McLean et al., 2017).

Both older and newer studies also emphasize the importance of social support in fostering resilience. Werner and Smith (1992) were able to illustrate how mentorship and positive relationships allowed some individuals to surmount childhood adversities. Likewise, Van Harmelen et al. (2022) showed how social support, through oxytocin release, buffered stress and thus encouraged psychological recovery among survivors. Such congruence among research supports the role that is attributed to positive, supportive relationships in recovery.

### ***Contradicting Results in Resilience Mechanisms***

Some research indicates that coherence in memory is an important indicator of resilience, while other studies point to the drawbacks of memory suppression. For instance, emotionally resilient survivors might minimize or avoid recalling traumatic details, which can result in fragmented memories and coping styles based on avoidance (Levine et al., 2020). This brings up the issue of whether suppressing or reconstructing traumatic memories is always beneficial. Bonanno et al. (2016) propose that not all resilient responses necessitate extensive cognitive analysis of trauma; certain individuals may flourish through avoidance or compartmentalization, which might lead to unprocessed memories reappearing when under stress. This correlates with dual-process coping models, where the adaptable use of both processing and distancing methods can promote immediate functioning, although unresolved trauma could hinder long-term emotional integration (Park et al., 2016).

While McCrory et al. (2011) asserted that the neurobiological effects of trauma are irreversible, more recent research indicates that resilient individuals can also undergo neurobiological adaptation; therefore, some damage may indeed be reversible. This inconsistency raised significant doubts regarding the permanence of trauma effects while highlighting resilience's role in recovery.

Moreover, new studies suggest that in specific cultural contexts, emotional expression or narrating trauma may not correspond with resilience models that emphasize memory coherence. For example, in collectivist societies, silence or spiritual reframing might be more acceptable and protective coping mechanisms than openly discussing trauma (Gone and Kirmayer, 2010). This highlights the risk of universally applying Western clinical assumptions, particularly the notion that comprehensive and coherent trauma narratives are always essential for healing.

Consequently, the link between memory structure and resilience appears to differ among individuals and across sociocultural settings. It is still uncertain whether fragmented memories of trauma hinder resilience or serve as a temporary adaptive approach.

### ***Gaps Identification and Future Directions***

One significant future direction involves investigating memory-focused interventions that extend beyond standard trauma therapies and specifically address how traumatic memories are encoded and retrieved. For example, increasing evidence supports the effectiveness of reconsolidation-based treatments such as Memory Reconsolidation Therapy (MRT) and Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR), which seek to alter or recontextualize traumatic memories during times of memory instability (Schiller et al., 2010; Lane et al., 2015). These methods utilize the adaptability of emotional memory pathways and show potential in decreasing the emotional impact of trauma-related stimuli. EMDR has

## Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience

been shown to be effective in reducing the vividness and emotional charge of traumatic memories by employing dual-attention stimulation during memory retrieval (Lee and Cuijpers, 2013). Exploring the application of these interventions in individuals who have experienced childhood abuse, especially those with fragmented or sensation-focused trauma memories, could uncover how resilience is developed at the stages of memory encoding and consolidation.

Another encouraging research direction is targeted memory reactivation (TMR) during sleep, which is currently being studied in PTSD cohorts to affect the processing of emotional memories. Research indicates that using cues to trigger extinction learning or positive associations during slow-wave sleep may help diminish fear responses and improve memory integration (Hauner et al., 2013; Oudiette and Paller, 2013). These discoveries align with the increasing awareness of sleep's role in emotional regulation and memory consolidation, emphasizing the significance of consolidation timing in influencing resilience development. Longitudinal studies, which lack in current literature, could examine how trauma memories evolve over time and how resilience mechanisms differ across developmentally stages. For example, do resilient adolescents process trauma differently from resilient adults? (Bonanno et al., 2019) Resilience across different life stages could clarify whether delayed resilience is a widespread phenomenon as well as investigate the dynamic and stable nature of resilience. (Kairyte et al., 2023).

Building on Roy et al. (2004), future studies should also evaluate how integrating cultural practices enhances resilience in abuse survivors. Understanding the role of shared narratives and collective healing in diverse populations could lead to more inclusive interventions for the survivors.

### CONCLUSION

Resilience among childhood trauma survivors is a real testament to the human spirit's incredible ability to adapt and grow amid adversity. It also reflects a dynamic interplay between neurobiological adaptation, memory processing, and psychosocial support. Far from being a fixed trait, resilience emerges through the brain's capacity to reorganize in response to adversity, particularly within memory related circuits such as amygdala, hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. Coherent trauma narratives, effective emotional regulation and reconsolidation-based memory updating processes distinguish resilient individuals from those who remain trapped in maladaptive recall patterns. Resilience is also shaped by contextual factors, social relationships, self-compassion and culturally rooted coping strategies, that support memory reframing and emotional integration. Drawing a holistic framework of complete recovery will pave the way that goes beyond just enhancing flourishing after trauma by the continuous integration of knowledge from neurobiology and psychosocial dynamics, as well as novel interventions.

### REFERENCES

- Bonanno, G. A., Galea, S., Bucciarelli, A., & Vlahov, D. (2006). Psychological resilience after disaster: New York City in the aftermath of the September 11th terrorist attack. *Psychological Science, 17*(3), 181–185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01682.x>
- Bonanno, G. A., Romero, S. A., & Klein, S. I. (2015). The Temporal Elements of Psychological Resilience: An Integrative Framework for the Study of Individuals, Families, and Communities. *Psychological Inquiry, 26*(2), 139–169. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2015.992677>

## Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience

- Bremner J. D. (2006). Traumatic stress: effects on the brain. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience*, 8(4), 445–461. <https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2006.8.4/jbremner>
- Brewin, C. R. (2014). Episodic memory, perceptual memory, and their interaction: Foundations for a theory of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(1), 69–97. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033722>
- Brewin, C. R., Gregory, J. D., Lipton, M., & Burgess, N. (2010). Intrusive images in psychological disorders: characteristics, neural mechanisms, and treatment implications. *Psychological review*, 117(1), 210–232. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018113>
- Clarke, S. D., Riser, D. K., & Schmidt, M. S. (2024). Electrocortical Correlates of Emotion Processing and Resilience in Individuals with Adverse Childhood Experiences. *Journal of child & adolescent trauma*, 17(3), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40653-024-00621-w>
- Cross, D., Fani, N., Powers, A., & Bradley, B. (2017). Neurobiological Development in the Context of Childhood Trauma. *Clinical psychology: a publication of the Division of Clinical Psychology of the American Psychological Association*, 24(2), 111–124. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cpsp.12198>
- Ehlers, A., Hackmann, A., & Michael, T. (2004). Intrusive re-experiencing in post-traumatic stress disorder: phenomenology, theory, and therapy. *Memory (Hove, England)*, 12(4), 403–415. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09658210444000025>
- Farb, N. A., Anderson, A. K., & Segal, Z. V. (2012). The mindful brain and emotion regulation in mood disorders. *Canadian journal of psychiatry. Revue canadienne de psychiatrie*, 57(2), 70–77. <https://doi.org/10.1177/070674371205700203>
- Fonzo, G. A., Goodkind, M. S., Oathes, D. J., Zaiko, Y. V., Harvey, M., Peng, K. K., Weiss, M. E., Thompson, A. L., Zack, S. E., Lindley, S. E., Arnow, B. A., Jo, B., Gross, J. J., Rothbaum, B. O., & Etkin, A. (2017). PTSD Psychotherapy Outcome Predicted by Brain Activation During Emotional Reactivity and Regulation. *The American journal of psychiatry*, 174(12), 1163–1174. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16091072>
- Ioannidis, K., Askelund, A. D., Kievit, R. A., & van Harmelen, A. L. (2020). The complex neurobiology of resilient functioning after childhood maltreatment. *BMC medicine*, 18(1), 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-1490-7>
- Ireton, R., Hughes, A., & Klabunde, M. (2024). A Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Meta-Analysis of Childhood Trauma. *Biological psychiatry. Cognitive neuroscience and neuroimaging*, 9(6), 561–570. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2024.01.009>
- Kairyte, A., Truskauskaitė, I., Daniunaite, I., Gelezelyte, O., & Zelviene, P. (2023). Resilience trajectories and links with childhood maltreatment in adolescence: a latent growth modeling approach. *Child and adolescent psychiatry and mental health*, 17(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13034-023-00558-2>
- Kalisch, R., Müller, M. B., & Tüscher, O. (2015). A conceptual framework for the neurobiological study of resilience. *The Behavioral and brain sciences*, 38, e92. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X1400082X>
- Lane, R. D., Ryan, L., Nadel, L., & Greenberg, L. (2015). Memory reconsolidation, emotional arousal, and the process of change in psychotherapy: New insights from brain science. *The Behavioral and brain sciences*, 38, e1. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X14000041>
- Lee, C. W., & Cuijpers, P. (2013). A meta-analysis of the contribution of eye movements in processing emotional memories. *Journal of behavior therapy and experimental psychiatry*, 44(2), 231–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2012.11.001>

## Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience

- Masten A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic. Resilience processes in development. *The American psychologist*, 56(3), 227–238. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.56.3.227>
- McCrory, E., De Brito, S. A., & Viding, E. (2011). The impact of childhood maltreatment: A review of neurobiological and genetic factors. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 2, Article 48. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2011.00048>
- McEwen B. S. (2003). Mood disorders and allostatic load. *Biological psychiatry*, 54(3), 200–207. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3223\(03\)00177-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3223(03)00177-x)
- McGaugh J. L. (2004). The amygdala modulates the consolidation of memories of emotionally arousing experiences. *Annual review of neuroscience*, 27, 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144157>
- McLaughlin, K. A., Colich, N. L., Rodman, A. M., & Weissman, D. G. (2020). Mechanisms linking childhood trauma exposure and psychopathology: a transdiagnostic model of risk and resilience. *BMC medicine*, 18(1), 96. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01561-6>
- McLaughlin, K. A., Peverill, M., Gold, A. L., Alves, S., & Sheridan, M. A. (2015). Child Maltreatment and Neural Systems Underlying Emotion Regulation. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 54(9), 753–762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2015.06.010>
- McLean, C. P., Yeh, R., Rosenfield, D., & Foa, E. B. (2015). Changes in negative cognitions mediate PTSD symptom reductions during client-centered therapy and prolonged exposure for adolescents. *Behaviour research and therapy*, 68, 64–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2015.03.008>
- Neff, K. D. (2003). Self-Compassion: An Alternative Conceptualization of a Healthy Attitude Toward Oneself. *Self and Identity*, 2(2), 85–101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298860309032>
- Oudiette, D., & Paller, K. A. (2013). Upgrading the sleeping brain with targeted memory reactivation. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 17(3), 142–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.01.006>
- Roosendaal, B., & McGaugh, J. L. (2011). Memory modulation. *Behavioral neuroscience*, 125(6), 797–824. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026187>
- Roosendaal, B., McEwen, B. S., & Chattarji, S. (2009). Stress, memory and the amygdala. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience*, 10(6), 423–433. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2651>
- Roy, C. A., & Perry, J. C. (2004). Instruments for the Assessment of Childhood Trauma in Adults. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 192(5), 343–351. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.nmd.0000126701.23121.fa>
- Sapienza, J. K., & Masten, A. S. (2011). Understanding and promoting resilience in children and youth. *Current opinion in psychiatry*, 24(4), 267–273. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e32834776a8>
- Schiller, D., Monfils, M. H., Raio, C. M., Johnson, D. C., Ledoux, J. E., & Phelps, E. A. (2010). Preventing the return of fear in humans using reconsolidation update mechanisms. *Nature*, 463(7277), 49–53. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08637>
- Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G. (2004). Posttraumatic growth: Conceptual foundations and empirical evidence. *Psychological Inquiry*, 15(1), 1–18. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1501\\_01](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1501_01)
- Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G. (2004). Target Article: "Posttraumatic Growth: Conceptual Foundations and Empirical Evidence". *Psychological Inquiry*, 15(1), 1–18. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1501\\_01](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1501_01)
- Teicher, M. H., & Samson, J. A. (2016). Annual Research Review: Enduring neurobiological effects of childhood abuse and neglect. *Journal of child psychology*

## Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience

- and psychiatry, and allied disciplines, 57(3), 241–266. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12507>
- Thomas TM, George S, Sarma UA, Prabhakar B, Menon JA. (2002) Predictors of Resilience Among Survivors of Child Sexual Abuse in Institutional Care in Kerala, India. *Journal of Indian Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health.*;18(1):54-62. doi:10.1177/09731342221096497
- Tomoda, A., Nishitani, S., Takiguchi, S., Fujisawa, T. X., Sugiyama, T., & Teicher, M. H. (2024). The neurobiological effects of childhood maltreatment on brain structure, function, and attachment. *European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience*, 10.1007/s00406-024-01779-y. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-024-01779-y>
- van der Kolk, B. A., & Fisler, R. (1995). Dissociation and the fragmentary nature of traumatic memories: overview and exploratory study. *Journal of traumatic stress*, 8(4), 505–525. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02102887>
- Van Harmelen, A. L., Hauber, K., Gunther Moor, B., Spinhoven, P., Boon, A. E., Crone, E. A., & Elzinga, B. M. (2014). Childhood emotional maltreatment severity is associated with dorsal medial prefrontal cortex responsivity to social exclusion in young adults. *PloS one*, 9(1), e85107. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085107>
- Werner, E. E., & Smith, R. S. (1992). *Overcoming the odds: High-risk children from birth to adulthood*. Cornell University Press.

### **Acknowledgment**

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

### **Conflict of Interest**

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

**How to cite this article:** Kaur, A. (2025). Childhood Trauma and Memory-Based Mechanisms of Resilience. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 13(3), 304-313. DIP:18.01.028.20251303, DOI:10.25215/1303.028