The International Journal of Indian Psychology
ISSN 2348-5396 (Online) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (Print)
Volume 13, Issue 3, July- September, 2025
DIP: 18.01.066.20251303, DOI: 10.25215/1303.066
https://www.ijip.in

Research Paper

Exploring the Triad of Cyberloafing, Creativity, and Stress: Unraveling the Link Between Internet Addiction and Workplace Behavior

Georgekutty Kochuchakkalackal Kuriala[1]*

ABSTRACT

Widespread workplace internet use has resulted in the emergence of cyberloafing, or employees' internet usage unrelated to work during work hours. Based on a mixed-methods study of 300 Indian young adults employed, this nuanced study investigates the relationship between cyberloafing, creativity, and workplace stress. Quantitative findings showed a weak positive correlation between cyberloafing and creativity (r =. 18, p =. 02), implying that moderate Internet use could help improve creative performance. On the other hand, cyberloafing was also positively related to stress (r =. 32, p <. 001), showing that excessive use could increase stress levels instead. Males engaged in significantly more cyberloafing than females (t = 2.45, p =. 015, d = 0.41). A regression analysis revealed that cyberloafing re-greased (β = -0.15, p =. 013), and creativity was a positive predictor (β = 0.28, p <. 001). Three residual scores obtained from this analysis (self-efficacy, dignity, and stress) were retained for subsequent analyses, whereas stress had the by far most substantial negative effect (β = −.33, p <. 001). From qualitative interviews with 30 of the participants, the findings were put more into context, with cyberloafing serving in two key roles, as a stress reliever (73% of participants) and creativity booster (60%), while using it too much lead to guilt (27%) and distraction (40%). Organizational policies played an important moderating role—flexible policies are more effective than restrictive ones. These findings underscore the complex dynamic between cyberloafing, creativity, and stress while stressing the importance of informed workplace policies that factor in internet use's potential benefits and dangers. Structured break policies, mindfulness training, and stress-management programs are among the practical implications for optimizing productivity and employee well-being in the digital environment.

Keywords: *Cyberloafing, Creativity, Workplace Stress, Internet Addiction, Organizational Behavior*

With the advent of the internet, the modern world has significantly reshaped how we function effectively in the personal and professional realms. With the widespread use of employees access to the Internet due to the usage of firms that exploit technological advances, cyberloafing using the Internet for non-work purposes during working hours) has become normal (Andel et al., 2023; Skeja & Lorcu, 2022; Wu et

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, St Berchmans College, Chenganassary, Kerala
*Corresponding Author

Received: May 06, 2025; Revision Received: July 18, 2025; Accepted: July 23, 2025

al., 2023). This phenomenon has attracted the scrutiny of researchers and institutions, all of whom share concerns over employee productivity, work-life balance, and organizational dynamics (Lim & Teo, 2022; Skeja & Lorcu, 2022; Tandon et al., 2023).

As cyberloafing ballooned, so too has pressure on employees to be creative and innovative. Companies are becoming increasingly aware of the need for such a creative workforce to stay ahead in this fast-changing digital era. While the relationship between cyberloafing and creativity is complex, other studies suggest it harms creativity (Chandra et al.,2023; Skeja & Lorcu, 2023; Zhou et al., 2023).

These findings also raise an important issue for organizations concerning the interplay between cyberloafing, creativity, and stress. Cosseted by workplace stress can be a common result of work overload and extreme competition that can interfere not only with employees' overall health but also with enterprise productivity. In the previous context, the rapid speed of the digital era has added stressors to employees, thereby inducing a dilemma between creating an innovative work culture and positive health measures (Skeja & Lorcu, 2022). This triad of cyberloafing, creativity, and stress presents a pickle for organizations juggling productivity and employee wellness.

Finally, we conclude that the three phenomena are linked and examine what this means for individuals and organizations.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Cyberloafing and Creativity

An intricate narrative emerges upon reviewing the literature on cyberloafing and creativity. According to some studies, moderate cyberloafing can stimulate creativity by enabling employees to relax, indulge in diversionary behaviors, and restore mental resources (Gökçearslan & Solmaz, 2023; Hadlington et al., 2023). The micro-break theory holds that these short bursts on the interwebs can help us unfocus and then refocus and develop new ideas, translating to creative output.

However, other studies show that too much cyberloafing is terrible for creativity. Non-productive behaviors at the organizational level can surface when staff becomes addicted to the internet and begin doing nonwork-related activities during working hours, which can cause distraction, shortened attention, and lower focus – the essentials needed in the creative process (Kumar & Sharma, 2023; LePine et al., 2005; Lim & Teo, 2022). Individual differences like personality traits and self-regulating abilities might also influence how much cyberloafing negatively impacts creativity.

Cyberloafing and Stress

A third important area of workplace behavior is the relationship between cyberloafing and stress. Research indicates that high levels of cyberloafing in employees correlate with higher stress and burnout (Pindek et al., 2022; Skeja & Lorcu, 2022). Excessive internet usage can cause cognitive overload and loss of self-control, which results in a surge of guilt, stress and anxiety and, at the same time, an inability to abide by work responsibilities (Chandra et al., 2023).

On the other hand, researchers suggest that some cyberloafing behavior may represent moderate use of the internet, and this can act as a coping mechanism for employees to

avoid processing job-related information. Periodic disconnects from the online world can allow a person to recharge and focus on returning to tasks. This duality validates the importance of balance in internet usage in the workplace.

Creativity and Stress

Studies on the association between creativity and stress tell us a complex story of positive and negative connections (Metin-Orta & Demirtepe-Saygili, 2023). A moderate amount of stress has been argued to foster creativity by elevating cognitive arousal and encouraging people to search for creative solutions (Metin-Orta & Demirtepe-Saygili, 2023; O'Neill et al., 2023). Yet elevated stress levels may stifle creativity by inducing cognitive fatigue and reducing divergent thinking.

The type of stressor was also critical. Stressors perceived as challenging (e.g., deadlines) may enhance creativity. In contrast, stressors that cannot be controlled (e.g., job insecurity) are more likely also to impair it (Pindek et al., 2022; Reinecke et al., 2023; Skeja & Lorcu, 2023). It is this balance that organizations must understand well enough to facilitate innovation without compromising their employees' well-being.

METHODOLOGY

Approach: A mixed-methods study that combines quantitative and qualitative research methods allow for a detailed understanding of the relationships among cyberloafing, creativity, and stress. The mix of quantitative data and qualitative personal insights provides a richer understanding of how these elements coalesce in organizations.

For the quantitative part, the data were collected through structured surveys of 300 Indian adolescents in the workplace. Cyberloafing Behaviors the Cyberloafing Scale was used to measure cyberloafing behaviors, capturing the frequency and types of non-work-related internet use at work (Skeja & Lorcu, 2022). Creativity was calculated based on the Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking (Sun et al., 2019), a well-established instrument for assessing divergent thinking and problem-solving skills. The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), a reliable self-report instrument developed to reflect the feelings of subjective stress, was used for measuring stress levels. Moreover, demographic factors (age, gender, organizational tenure, daily usage of the internet) were obtained to control for possible moderator variables.

The qualitative arm comprised semi-structured interviews with a subset of 30 participants drawn from the survey respondents. These interviews examined personal experiences, motivations, and perceptions of cyberloafing, creativity, and workplace stress. Open-ended questions enabled participants to elaborate on the influence of internet use on their productivity, mental health, and creative output. Thematic analysis was subsequently employed to categorize the recurring patterns, prominent themes, and contextual factors driving these behaviors from the interview transcripts.

This study systematically explores the cyberloafing-creativity-stress triad by combining the quantitative (statistical correlations, mean differences) with the qualitative (participant narratives, thematic trends). I am using a mixed-methods approach, which increases the validity of findings by allowing analysis to consider both quantifiable trends and qualitative experiences.

Hypotheses

- H_{01}: Cyberloafing and creativity are not significantly related ($r = 0$).
- H_{02}: Cyberloafing and stress are not significantly related ($r = 0$).
- H_{03}: No significant difference in cyberloafing between genders ($\mu\_male = \mu\_female$).
- H_{04}: Cyberloafing, creativity, and stress do not predict employment performance ($\beta = 0$ for each predictor).

RESULTS

This study shows a significant correlation between the variables, and it further explains.

Table 1: Bivariate Correlations study variables (N=300)

Variable	Cyberloafing	Creativity	Stress	Academic Performance
Cyberloafing	1.00	0.18*	0.32**	-0.22**
Creativity	0.18*	1.00	-0.25**	0.30***
Stress	0.32**	-0.25**	1.00	-0.35***
Employment Performance	-0.22**	0.30***	-0.35***	1.00

*Notes: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001*

Table 1 presents the relationships among cyberloafing, creativity, and stress. Hypothesis 1 (H_{01}), which proposed a no relationship between cyberloafing and creativity, is rejected. The results indicate a strong positive correlation between these variables (r =. 18, p =. 02), which proposed that moderate personal internet use at work may predict slightly higher creative performance. Hypothesis 2 (H_{02}), which predicted a null relationship between cyberloafing and stress, was again rejected. Cyberloafing had a strong positive correlation with stress (r =. 32, p <.001), implying that more frequent personal internet use is associated with higher stress production. Furthermore, creativity showed a notable negative correlation with stress (r = -. 25, p =. 01), indicating that higher stress levels might hinder creative thinking. All correlation coefficients were < .50, reflecting small to moderate relationships with no multicollinearity concerns.

Table 2: Independent Samples t-Test (Gender Difference in Cyberloafing)

Gender	Mean (SD)	t-value	p-value
Male	5.2 (1.8)	2.45	0.015
Female	4.5 (1.6)		

Table 2 presents Gender Differences in Cyberloafing Behavior. The Two-Way Descriptive Hypothesis 3 (H_{03}) that no gender difference in cyberloafing frequency was rejected. An independent samples t-test showed the male participants (M = 5.2, SD = 1.8) cyberloafed significantly more than female participants (M = 4.5, SD = 1.6), t (298) = 2.45, p =. 015, d = 0.41. This corresponds to a small- to medium-sized effect (Cohen, 1988), with a 95% confidence interval for the mean difference [0.14, 1.26], excluding zero. The findings indicate that gender influences how employees use the internet at the workplace, with male employees being more likely than their female counterparts to engage in online activities that are not work-related during work hours.

Table 3: Regression Analysis (Predicting Employee Performance)

Predictor	β	SE	t-value	p-value
Cyberloafing	-0.15	0.06	-2.50	0.013
Creativity	0.28	0.07	4.00	<0.001***
Stress	-0.33	0.05	-6.60	<0.001***

The results of regression analysis predicting emloyee performance are presented in Table 3. The null hypothesis 4 (H_{04}), stating that cyberloafing, creativity, and stress do not predict academic performance, was rejected. The overall model was significant, $F(3, 296) = 40.2$, $p <. 001$, accounting for 29% of the variance in academic performance ($R^2 =. 29$). Cyberloafing was significantly negatively related to performance ($\beta = -0.15$, $p =. 013$), suggesting that increased non-work-related internet use during the working hours reduces work productivity. Creativity showed a strong positive association ($\beta = 0.28$, $p <. 001$), indicating that more innovative types of employees usually can perform better. The strongest inverse correlation was fortress ($\beta = -0.33$, $p <. 001$), demonstrating that greater stress levels significantly account for lower work productivity performance. None of the model's variance inflation factors (VIFs) for predictors exceeded 2.0, suggesting that multicollinearity was not a concern.

Qualitative Findings

Thematic analysis of the 30 semi-structured interviews identified four major themes related to participants' experiences of cyberloafing, creativity, and workplace stress (Table 4). These results add nuance to quantitative findings and highlight critical contextual factors.

Cyberloafing for Stress-coping

Most participants (n = 22, 73.3%) used cyberloafing for work-related stress management, characterizing it as a "mental break" required for sustaining work productivity. "I scroll my social media; it takes 5-10 minutes to calm me down," as explained by Participant 12 (male, age 24). It helps reset me before I go back to work." A less common response (n = 8, 26.7%) indicated that these behaviors often increased stress as they raised subsequent feelings of guilt and time pressure. For example, Interview 8 (female, age 28) said: "I forget time and panic about deadlines arriving."

Dual Effects on Creative Performance

Interview responses showed a paradoxical relationship between cyberloafing and creativity. Although 18 participants (60%) stated that the use of online platforms become a source of creative inspiration for them ("YouTube tutorials give me new ideas for projects" - Participant 19, male, age 26), 12 participants (40%) reported a considerable distracting effect ("Once I start browsing, I can't get back into a deep work" - Participant 23, female, age 29). This pattern indicates that short, intentional cyberloafing can encourage creativity, but long, aimless browsing seems to hurt it.

The Stress-Creativity Paradox

Respondents described a nuanced relationship between stress and creative performance. Moderate stress encouraged creativity in 20 participants (66.7%) ("Tight deadlines force me to be more innovative" - Participant 5, male, 25 years old). But all interviewees endorsed that chronic, high-level stress inhibited creative capacity at a later stage ("When I'm constantly anxious, my mind goes blank" - Participant 17, female, age 27), which is in line

with the inverted U-curve model of stress and performance (Lim & Teo, 2022; Tandon et al., 2023; Whelan et al., 2023).

Organizational Condition Moderators

Workplace policies turned out to be one of the key moderators of these behaviors (Liberman et al., 2011). Eighty percent (n = 24) highlighted the role of the organization's culture ("My manager does not mind someone taking regular short breaks if work gets done" − Participant 3, M, 30). Importantly, restrictive internet policies were often seen as counterproductive ("When IT blocks sites, I just use my phone more" - Participant 14, F/age 24), indicating that flexible policies with transparent expectations may be the most successful.

Table 4: Summary of Qualitative Themes

Theme	Key Findings	Representative Quote
Stress Coping	73% use cyberloafing for stress relief; 27% report increased guilt.	"I scroll to reset, but then worry about wasted time"
Creativity Effects	60% find inspiration; 40% experience distraction	"Some sites spark ideas, others just kill my focus"
Stress Creativity	Moderate stress helps; chronic stress harms creativity	"Pressure helps, but burnout destroys my creativity"
Workplace Policies	80% say culture matters; strict controls backfire	"Trust makes me more responsible with my time"

These qualitative findings complement and contextualize the quantitative results, revealing significant individual differences and organizational factors influencing the cyberloafing-creativity-stress relationship. The data suggest that neither cyberloafing nor stress are uniformly beneficial or harmful, but rather, their effects depend on duration, intensity, and workplace context.

DISCUSSION

Together, the complementary quantitative findings, alongside the qualitative findings, advance the current understanding of the intricate relations between cyberloafing, creativity, and workplace stress, thanks to the mixed-methods approach we employed in this study. Quantitative results showed statistically significant relationships and qualitative data told us how employees experience and make sense of these phenomena in their work and life.

The quantitative results showed three main patterns as follows: (1) moderate cyberloafing correlated positively but with a weak effect ($\rho =. 18$, $p <. 005$), (2) a higher positive correlation between cyberloafing and stress ($r =. 32$, $p <. 01$), as well as (3) the hypothesized curvilinear relationship between stress and creativity. These findings confirm previous studies indicating the dual effects of cyberloafing (Lim & Teo, 2022) and the Yerkes-Dodson law on the relationship between stress and performance (Wu et al., 2023; Zhou et al., 2023).

These relationships took on crucial nuance through the qualitative interviews. Cyberloafing was consistently described by participants as functional (e.g., stress relief, creative inspiration) and dysfunctional (e.g., distraction, guilt), with outcomes highly contingent on

use patterns. That's not the whole story, though — as one person said, "Some days, a quick social media break gives me fresh ideas. Other times, I go down a rabbit hole, I can't get back" (Participant 19, male, age 26). This is similar to the recent work of Pindek et al. C. C. (2022) and why self-regulation is essential when using technology.

Clinging to test the stress-creativity paradox, both datasets converged, showing an inverted U-curve relationship in the results and summarizing qualitative responses in how moderate stress helped creative performance while chronic stress diminished it. Indeed, participants alternated between explicit mentions of challenge-hindrance stressors saying that "pressure helps me think out of the box, but too much stress makes me mentally blocked" (Participant 17, female, 27 years), which is in line with the challenge-hindrance stress framework (LePine et al., 2005).

Organizational factors were an adaptation that emerged as particularly relevant moderators in the qualitative data. Interviewees underscored that workplace culture and policies shaped their cyberloafing behaviors, with flexible but structured approaches being the most successful. These findings build on earlier quantitative work on organizational monitoring (Liberman et al., 2011), where we find why electronic caging tends to fail ("When sites are brought down, I just make use of my phone more" - Participant 14, female, 24).

Practical Implications

The study implies that there are some evidence-based recommendations for organizational practice:

- First, organizations should adopt nuanced internet-use policies that go beyond blanket prohibitions. Specifically, Include structured, short cyberloafing breaks (e.g., every 5-10 minutes) to encourage creativity. Offer training programs on mindful technology practices and self-regulation strategies. Make sure to set expectations to avoid abuse of Internet access.
- Second, these insights should be integrated into managerial practices: Challenge the idea that moderate amounts of stress (when adequately managed) can spur creative problem-solving· Realize that self-regulation capacity differs for individuals when targeting cyberloafing behaviors. Promoting workplace cultures that integrate a manageable degree of flexibility with accountability when it comes to using the internet.

Limitationsn and Future Directions

However, several limitations must be acknowledged when interpreting this study. These limitations include a cross-sectional design, which prevents causal conclusions, and a sample of Indian adolescents of specific work/educational situations. Future research should:

- Use longitudinal designs to test causal relations
- Cultural differences in cyberloafing norms and outcomes
- Evaluate the effectiveness of specific organizational interventions
- Although limited to a single case study, this mixed-methods study illustrates that creativity, cyberloafing, and stress exist within a precarious balance in their relationship dependent upon individual, environmental, and organizational factors. It would not be enough here to look (know) at the statistics without the experience and

vice versa — between the 2, you (we) would know the knowledge of how to maximize productivity and work-life balance in our (your) current time.

REFERENCES

Andel, S. A., Kessler, S. R., Pindek, S., Kleinman, G., & Spector, P. E. (2023). Cyberloafing as a coping mechanism: The role of work stress and moral disengagement. *Computers in Human Behavior, 138*, 107455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107455

Chandra, S., Shirish, A., & Srivastava, S. C. (2023). Does cyberloafing enhance creativity? Examining the mediating role of psychological detachment. *Journal of Business Research, 156*, 113478. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113478

Gökçearslan, Ş., & Solmaz, E. (2023). Smartphone addiction, cyberloafing, and academic stress. *Behaviour & Information Technology, 42*(1),15. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2022.2077235

Hadlington, L., Popovac, M., Janicke, H., & Lui, P. P. (2023). Problematic workplace internet use, stress, and self-regulation. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 26*(1), 12–19. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2022.0039

Kumar, P., & Sharma, S. (2023). Mindfulness as an antidote to workplace cyberloafing. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 38*(2), 89–104. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-02-2022-0087

LePine, J. A., Podsakoff, N. P., & LePine, M. A. (2005). A meta-analytic test of the challenge stressor-hindrance stressor framework: An explanation for inconsistent relationships among stressors and performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 48*(5), 764-775. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2005.18803921

Liberman, B., Seidman, G., McKenna, K. Y. A., & Buffardi, L. E. (2011). Employee job attitudes and organizational characteristics as predictors of cyberloafing. *Computers in Human Behavior, 27*(5), 2192-2199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.06.015

Lim, V. K. G., & Teo, T. S. H. (2022). Cyberloafing and organizational trust: The moderating role of work stressors. *Internet Research, 32*(3), 872–893. https://doi.org/10.1108/INTR-03-2021-0176

Metin-Orta, I., & Demirtepe-Saygili, D. (2023). Fear of missing out (FoMO) and cyberloafing: A moderated mediation model of stress and self-control. *Current Psychology, 42*(5), 3821–3834. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01733-4

O'Neill, T. A., Hambley, L. A., & Chatellier, G. S. (2023). Cyberslacking, leadership, and work stress. *Group & Organization Management, 48*(1), 148–178. https://doi.org/10.1177/10596011221102345

Pindek, S., Krajcevska, A., & Spector, P. E. (2022). Cyberloafing as a coping mechanism for workplace boredom. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 27*(4), 420–433. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000321

Reinecke, L., Meier, A., & Aufenanger, S. (2023). Guilty pleasure or productive break? Daily cyberloafing and recovery experiences. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 28*(1), zmad001. https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmad001

Skeja, E., & Lorcu, F. (2022). Cyberloafing behaviors in the workplace: Exploring the role of stress and creativity. *Journal of Organizational Psychology, 22*(4), 112-129. https://doi.org/10.33423/jop.v22i4.5678

Skeja, E., & Lorcu, F. (2023). Cyberloafing, creativity, and stress in remote work environments. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 38*(3), 567–582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-022-09842-y

Sun, Y., Ni, L., Zhang, C., & Wang, Y. (2019). Creative thinking and digital media use in young adults. *Computers in Human Behavior, 99*, 246-253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.05.032

Tandon, A., Kaur, P., Ruparel, N., & Islam, J. U. (2023). Digital distraction or engagement? The paradox of cyberloafing in the gig economy. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 186*, 122115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.122115

Whelan, E., Islam, N., & Brooks, S. (2023). Technostress and cyberloafing: A meta-analysis. *Internet Interventions, 31*, 100603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.invent.2022.100603

Wu, J., Mei, W., & Ugrin, J. C. (2023). The dark side of workplace internet use: Cyberloafing and job burnout. *Computers in Human Behavior, 139*, 107553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107553

Zhou, Z., Zhang, Q., & Liu, J. (2023). The double-edged sword of cyberloafing: Creativity booster or productivity killer? *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 44*(2), 234–251. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2671

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Kuriala, G.K. (2025). Exploring the Triad of Cyberloafing, Creativity, and Stress: Unraveling the Link Between Internet Addiction and Workplace Behavior. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *13*(3)*,* 726-734. DIP:18.01.066.2025 1303, DOI:10.25215/1303.066