

Social Impact of E-Wallets and Cashless Payments on Urban Consumers

Swaleha Mansuri¹, Dr. Rugvendra Vyas^{2*}

ABSTRACT

This paper looks at the social change in urban areas brought about by consumers accepting electronic wallets or other forms of payment that do not involve cash. Replacing physical legal tender with digital transactions has changed people's lifestyles, business activities, and how they conduct their finances. This paper also investigates how these technologies will change consumer behaviour, financial inclusion rates, social customs, and economic participation closely examines key concerns, including the digital divide of the future, security problems related to privacy and storage facilities for one's electronic equipment, and offers some advice to businesses and governments.

Keywords: *E-wallets, Cashless payments, Urban consumers, Social impact, Financial inclusion, Digital economy*

In recent years, the landscape of financial transactions has undergone a rapid and profound transformation, driven by significant technological advancements, proactive government initiatives, and the unprecedented challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. One of the most notable developments in this domain is the widespread adoption of digital payment systems, particularly electronic wallets (e-wallets), which have emerged as powerful tools facilitating cashless transactions. E-wallets, functioning primarily through mobile applications, store users' payment information securely and enable seamless transactions without the need for physical cash or cards. Their integration into everyday urban life has revolutionized the way consumers interact with financial services, retailers, and even each other.

The COVID-19 pandemic acted as a major catalyst in accelerating the adoption of digital payment systems. Social distancing protocols, fear of virus transmission through physical currency, and the need for contactless interactions propelled both consumers and businesses to embrace e-wallets and other digital payment platforms. In India, for instance, government-driven programs like Digital India, coupled with the Unified Payments Interface (UPI), have further amplified the accessibility and attractiveness of cashless payments. Urban consumers, who typically have better access to smartphones, internet connectivity, and digital literacy, have been at the forefront of this transition.

¹ Research scholar, Gokul Global University, Siddhpur.

² Research guide, Gokul Global University, Siddhpur.

*Corresponding Author | Email Id: mansuri.swaleha28@gmail.com

Received: March 01, 2024; Revision Received: August 11, 2024; Accepted: September 30, 2024

Social Impact of E-Wallets and Cashless Payments on Urban Consumers

As a result, the penetration of e-wallets and digital financial platforms in urban centers has extended beyond mere convenience. These tools are reshaping the social and economic behavior of consumers, altering their lifestyle choices, spending habits, and modes of social interaction. Urban consumers now frequent digital marketplaces, pay bills online, conduct peer-to-peer transfers, and even make micro-payments using e-wallets. The reliance on cash has diminished significantly in many cities, fostering a culture that favors immediacy, efficiency, and digital integration.

Importantly, the rise of e-wallets has implications that go beyond transactional convenience. One of the most significant social outcomes of this transformation is the enhancement of financial inclusion. E-wallets have enabled a broader segment of the urban population—including small vendors, gig economy workers, and low-income groups—to access formal financial systems without necessarily holding traditional bank accounts. This shift has empowered consumers by providing them with greater control over their finances, facilitating savings, budgeting, and access to credit. Moreover, digital payment records help build credit histories for individuals previously excluded from the formal banking sector, thereby unlocking new opportunities for economic mobility and social advancement.

Beyond financial access, e-wallets are contributing to a broader lifestyle transformation in urban areas. The ease and speed of cashless payments support a more dynamic and fast-paced urban lifestyle. Consumers are increasingly drawn to on-demand services, app-based shopping, and automated financial tools—all of which are integrated with digital payment systems. This digital convenience is not only changing how people shop and pay, but also how they plan, prioritize, and experience daily life. From ordering food and groceries to commuting and entertainment, the shift towards a cashless society is tightly interwoven with the evolving urban lifestyle. Furthermore, the social interaction patterns among urban consumers are also being reshaped. E-wallets have normalized peer-to-peer transfers, group payment splits, and gifting through digital means, influencing the way individuals relate and respond to social norms around money. Online payment platforms often incorporate social features such as transaction feeds, payment memos, and digital communities that subtly alter how individuals perceive financial transparency, trust, and reciprocity.

In this context, the present paper seeks to explore the multifaceted social impact of e-wallets and cashless payments on urban consumers. Specifically, it will examine how these digital tools are influencing financial inclusion, driving lifestyle transformations, and reshaping social interactions in urban environments. As cities continue to evolve into digitally connected spaces, understanding the broader societal implications of this transition is vital for policymakers, businesses, and citizens alike. This study aims to contribute to that understanding by offering empirical insights and theoretical perspectives on the changing urban consumer behavior in the age of digital finance.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The economic effect of digital cash has been thoroughly studied, but not yet the social effects in several ways. E-wallets provide convenience and help bring a culture of transparency and accountability to financial transactions (Mishra, Vishwakarma, and Shailendra, 2024). The penetration of digital wallets in India's cities has brought about a radical shift in retail behaviour and personal finance management to one that is more convenient than ever before (Sharma, 2021). According to the World Bank, digital technologies mean that people have far greater access to financial services than in the past (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). People can

Social Impact of E-Wallets and Cashless Payments on Urban Consumers

also save time engaging in transactions and do more work with their money online. However, digital exclusion and data privacy are still considerable issues (Parvez, 2019). The cashless payments affect not only individual behaviour but also societal norms, expectations, and relationships.

Research by Singh and Agarwal (2020) highlighted that urban millennials are among the most enthusiastic adopters of digital wallets, citing speed, rewards, and ease of use as major motivations. According to Bhattacharya and Patel (2022), digital payment systems promote a sense of digital empowerment and economic autonomy among urban consumers, especially women and youth. Meanwhile, Kapoor and Mehta (2019) found that e-wallet adoption correlates with an increase in digital literacy and contributes to the gradual normalization of online financial behavior.

A study by Jain and Sinha (2023) explored how cashless transactions foster financial discipline by helping users track and manage their spending digitally, resulting in a decline in impulsive cash spending. Similarly, Rani and Bose (2020) examined the psychological comfort urban consumers feel due to secure and traceable payment methods offered by e-wallets. On the other hand, Gupta and Srivastava (2021) warned that while e-wallets offer ease, the growing dependency on digital infrastructure may widen the gap for marginalized urban populations lacking tech access.

Digital payment adoption is closely associated with shifts in interpersonal relationships and social practices. Sharma and Thomas (2021) observed that peer-to-peer payment platforms are reshaping social obligations, including bill-splitting, gifting, and charity. These changes in micro-behaviors represent a broader cultural shift toward digital social finance. Chatterjee and Lahiri (2022) noted that cashless payments are subtly altering class perceptions, where digital-savvy users are often perceived as more modern or progressive in urban settings.

In terms of infrastructure and policy, the work of Narayan and Das (2018) emphasized that state-sponsored digital payment initiatives have accelerated adoption in Tier I and Tier II cities. However, they also stress the need for consistent regulatory measures to ensure trust and consumer protection. Kumar and Raghavan (2020) identified infrastructural constraints and lack of consumer awareness as key limitations in fully realizing the benefits of digital transactions in certain urban peripheries.

According to Rahman (2021), social media platforms integrated with payment systems (like WhatsApp Pay or Google Pay) are changing how consumers interact in digital spaces, creating hybrid zones of socialization and financial transaction. Additionally, Patil and Khan (2022) studied digital payment systems' impact on informal workers in urban India and found that while usage increased post-COVID-19, financial literacy remained uneven.

A global perspective by Ligon and de Weerd (2019) indicated that digital payments not only streamline economic activities but also reduce information asymmetry within households, leading to more informed decision-making. In contrast, Mukherjee and Roy (2021) emphasized the psychological and behavioral stress associated with transaction failures, privacy breaches, and over-reliance on smartphones among urban digital consumers.

Finally, Joshi and Varma (2022) advocated for a balanced view, suggesting that while digital wallets contribute to modernization and urban convenience, their social impact must be evaluated through lenses of equity, access, and behavioral transformation.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative research methodology grounded in the analysis of secondary data. The research draws on data from a diverse range of sources, including peer-reviewed academic journals, government policy documents, industry reports, and relevant case studies published between 2018 and 2024. The selected time frame captures the evolution of e-wallet and cashless payment systems in urban India, particularly focusing on the post-COVID-19 digital surge.

The scope of the inquiry centers on four main dimensions:

1. **User characteristics** – including age, gender, income levels, education, and digital literacy of urban consumers;
2. **Patterns of adoption** – encompassing the frequency, platforms used (e.g., Paytm, Google Pay, PhonePe), and purpose of digital transactions;
3. **Changes in spending behaviour** – with a focus on how cashless payments have influenced budgeting, saving, and purchasing habits;
4. **Perceived social impacts** – such as shifts in interpersonal financial interactions, convenience, trust, and digital dependence.

Data collection involved a systematic review of existing literature using digital databases such as JSTOR, ResearchGate, and Google Scholar, along with reports from the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), NITI Aayog, Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology (MeitY), and industry players like NPCI. Relevant case studies focusing on urban centers like Mumbai, Delhi, Bengaluru, and Hyderabad were included to illustrate ground-level trends.

Thematic analysis was applied to synthesize the findings and identify recurring patterns, contrasts, and emerging issues. This qualitative approach allows for an in-depth understanding of the social dimensions of digital payment adoption, rather than purely numerical assessment. Triangulation of data from various sources was employed to ensure validity, reliability, and a multi-dimensional perspective on the subject matter.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

1 Greater Financial Inclusion

A very large social impact that e-wallets have had is the enhanced financial inclusion of consumers. Urban populations, specifically millennials and Gen-Zers, have adopted digital payment solutions at a rapid pace. This migration has opened avenues to financial services, via mobile, for the unbanked or underbanked populations who could never previously access banking options.

Digital wallets, like Paytm, Google Pay, and PhonePe in India or Venmo and Apple Pay in the U.S, have increased banking accessibility for marginalized communities. Digital payments instead of cash, provided a safe and traceable income stream for urban workers in the informal sector.

2 Change in Social Transactions

E-wallets have changed the way of engage personally with transactions. Peer-to-peer transfers are now easier and more common. Paying a friend back for lunch, sending a gift to a loved one, or receiving rent no longer depends on physical interaction. While it has removed

Social Impact of E-Wallets and Cashless Payments on Urban Consumers

the social engagement of these face-to-face transactions, digital payments have created increased forms of engagement. If we think about the paradox of being digitally connected while being socially isolated, it may be possible to recreate this with digital payments.

3 Change in Consumer Behaviour

Digital payments have led to a significant change in spending behaviour. Instant payments and cashback incentives have encouraged consumers to think less about what they are spending, and they particularly encourage younger consumers to spend impulsively. Many consumers report that they do not think about their spending as much when they use a digital payment option. This is a concern for future financial health.

E-wallets also provide consumers with a more intentional and documented way of spending. Digital wallets have companion budgeting applications where the consumer can now better manage their personal finances. Just like e-wallets, the benefit of personal finance technology is skewed towards digitally-literate consumers.

4 High Trust in Digital Transactions

Consumer trust in technology has been strengthened by the wide acceptance of digital wallets. Urban consumers are more dependent on their mobile phone for not just communication, but for managing their finances in total. QR codes and NFC (Near Field Communication) usage has gained acceptance all the way from street vendors to upscale malls.

Still, any trust in the use of digital payments is fragile, and the trust consumers currently have is dependent on good data privacy and data security practices. News of data breaches and digital fraud in the public domain often frightens consumers, particularly older generations who are less familiar with digital systems.

5 Social Status and Technology Adoption

The ownership and use of digital wallets has become, in the eyes of many, a badge that modern urban youths see as a sign of financial capital. This is because using Apple Pay or other high-end solutions is subtly linked with distinctions of class. Digital inclusion is not only an economic phenomenon; it has cultural implications as well.

6 Challenges and Inequities

Although the technology has been widely adopted, a digital divide still exists. Older adults, people with lower educational attainment and those who are physically challenged often have trouble using computer interfaces. Such groups, lacking digital literacy themselves, may be relegated even farther. Perhaps creating new inequalities to fill old ones. Additionally, the reliance on technology could exclude those without stable internet access and a phone in hand. Even in urban centres such as cities in China, urban villages outside metropolitan areas for migrant workers or day labourers.

POLICY AND BUSINESS IMPLICATIONS

1 Government Play

Governments need to play an active role in crossing the digital divide, including such things as public awareness campaigns, digital literacy training, and secure payment infrastructures. Cybersecurity and its regulatory framework should protect the individual while allowing for new technologies.

2 Business Turn

Businesses have to adjust to a cashless society by upgrading payment infrastructure and thinking first of mobile. Businesses can push back at pressure if they create that brand-spanking loyalty reward program in conjunction with integrating e-wallets into customer relationship management (CRM) systems.

CONCLUSION

E-wallets and mobile payments are reshaping urban society, which is so slow. On the other hand, paying us a salary means that plenty more money is fresh and ready for our use. The people with a lot of cash in hand are always concerned to some degree about possible robbery. Most problems today result from the fact that people carry coins with them. While they have democratized access to finance and improved convenience, they also introduce challenges related to inclusivity, security, and social behaviour. The transformation to a cashless society is not merely technological but profoundly social and cultural. Policymakers and concerned members of society ought to strike a steady stream between innovation and regulation, also between growth that benefits all and sustainability for the public.

REFERENCES

- Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., Ansar, S., & Hess, J. (2022). *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19*. World Bank. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362424422_The_Global_Findex_Database_2021_Financial_Inclusion_Digital_Payments_and_Resilience_in_the_Age_of_COVID-19
- Sharma, A. P. (2021). Consumers' purchase behaviour and green marketing: A synthesis, review and agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12722>
- Mishra, R., Vishwakarma, A., & Shailendra, V. (2024). *Digital Payments and Consumer Behavior in Indian Cities*. *Journal of FinTech Studies*.
- Sharma, M. (2021). *The Rise of E-Wallets in Urban India*. *Indian Journal of Consumer Research*, 14(3), 110-123.
- Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., & Ansar, S. (2022). *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19*. World Bank.
- Parvez, I. (2019). *Digital Inequality and Exclusion in the Era of Cashless Economies*. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 54(5), 38-45.
- Singh, A., & Agarwal, R. (2020). *Millennial Engagement with E-Wallets in India: A Sociological Perspective*. *Urban Studies Review*, 8(2), 45-58.
- Bhattacharya, R., & Patel, S. (2022). *Empowering the Urban Youth: E-Wallets and Financial Autonomy*. *Youth and Society Journal*, 11(1), 77-94.

Social Impact of E-Wallets and Cashless Payments on Urban Consumers

- Kapoor, H., & Mehta, D. (2019). *Digital Wallets and Urban Consumer Habits*. *Asian Journal of Management*, 13(2), 201-209.
- Jain, K., & Sinha, R. (2023). *Spending Patterns in Cashless Economies: A Psychological Approach*. *Journal of Behavioral Finance*, 9(1), 34-46.
- Rani, P., & Bose, T. (2020). *The Role of E-Wallets in Promoting Financial Confidence*. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 12(3), 134-142.
- Gupta, M., & Srivastava, A. (2021). *Digital Divide and Cashless India: Who Gets Left Behind?* *Social Policy and Administration*, 55(4), 512-528.
- Sharma, L., & Thomas, E. (2021). *Digital Payments and the Socialization of Finance*. *Sociology of Digital Life Journal*, 6(2), 102-118.
- Chatterjee, P., & Lahiri, M. (2022). *Cashless Class Culture: Digital Payments and Social Identity in Urban India*. *Urban Sociology Review*, 14(4), 203-217.
- Narayan, S., & Das, V. (2018). *FinTech Policy in India: Urban Transformation through Digital Inclusion*. *Policy and Governance Review*, 7(3), 88-101.
- Kumar, A., & Raghavan, N. (2020). *Cashless Transactions and Urban Infrastructure Gaps*. *Indian Journal of Urban Development*, 9(2), 59-71.
- Rahman, Z. (2021). *Blending Social Media and Payments: New Urban Consumer Habits*. *Digital Culture and Society*, 5(1), 115-126.
- Patil, M., & Khan, R. (2022). *Impact of E-Wallets on Urban Informal Workers Post-Pandemic*. *Labour Economics and Society*, 13(2), 150-163.
- Ligon, E., & de Weerd, J. (2019). *Information and Efficiency in Household Decision-Making*. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 86(3), 1331-1364.
- Mukherjee, A., & Roy, T. (2021). *Anxiety in the Digital Economy: Consumer Vulnerability in FinTech*. *Psychology and Society*, 18(1), 74-86.
- Joshi, N., & Varma, U. (2022). *Balancing Convenience and Caution: The Social Impact of E-Wallets*. *Indian Journal of Social Economics*, 19(3), 211-228.
- Parvez, V. (2022, July). *Digital financial inclusion in India: An overview*. *Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Hyderabad*.
- Mishra, A., Vishwakarma, K., & Shailendra, D. (2024, December). *Adoption and challenges of digital payment systems: A study of consumer perception in urban and rural India*. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 4(3), 26-27. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387275500_Adoption_and_Challenges_of_Digital_Payment_Systems_A_Study_of_Consumer_Perception_in_Urban_and_Rural_India

Acknowledgement

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Mansuri, S & Vyas, R (2024). Social Impact of E-Wallets and Cashless Payments on Urban Consumers. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 12(3), 3485-3491. DIP: 18.01.342.20241203, DOI: 10.25215/1203.342