

Terrorism: A Psychological Approach- Radicalization and Its Prevention

Rachel Michael^{1*}

ABSTRACT

Terrorism is a combination of a political threat and a psychological method to instill fear and disrupt communities, as well as influence the minds of people. Thus, a psychological dimension must be embedded in the effective development of counterterrorism strategies. In this paper, the authors will examine the psychological foundations of terrorism, mainly the radicalization process, cognitive vulnerability, and the psychological attractiveness of extreme ideologies. This is done by exploring identity crisis, perceived injustice, social exclusion, and how these factors work together with the group dynamics to promote extremism. Early intervention can be achieved by understanding the psychological triggers and behavioral patterns in people at risk so that they can be intervened in early. Other techniques examined in the paper in regard to intelligence and interrogation include community-based deradicalization programs, cognitive screening, and ethical behavior analysis. A focus on psychological methods would enable more specific, ethical, and preventative measures on a national and global scale. In the end, by comprehending the process of formation and propagation of extremist thinking, it will be possible to break the terror networks and avoid acts of violence in the future. Psychology presents a human and efficient approach in solving the causes of terrorism and not only its symptoms.

Keywords: *Psychology, Counterterrorism, Radicalization, PsyOps, Deradicalization, Extremism, Behavioural Analysis*

Terrorism is no longer a merely political or military procedure; it has turned out to be an efficient weapon of psychology. Although traditionally used as a means to force a political change by violence, nowadays terrorism is being used to control the masses by instilling fear and inhibiting cohesion in societies, and influencing the perception of the masses of people. This psychological effect has been intensified by the technological era, whereby pictures and stories of terror can be transmitted to different parts of the world in real time. The events of the 9/11 attacks, the London bombings, and many others teach us that terrorist acts go beyond their direct physical consequences and develop into long-lasting psychological traumas of communities and countries. Terrorism no longer acts merely in the physical realm, but also in the cognitive, the emotional, and its effects could last much longer than the event itself. Given this turn of events, the psychological mechanics of terrorism become not only pertinent but also essential to policy, prevention, and recovery.

¹Student, Women's Christian College

*Corresponding Author

Scope and Purposes of the Study

The goal of the present paper is to approach the phenomenon of terrorism through the psychological lens by examining the cognitive, affective, and behavioral processes behind the phenomenon of radicalization and extremist violence. It highlights the psychological mechanisms that drive people to join extremist ideologies, defines the personal and social vulnerabilities that play roles in radicalization, and examines the environmental forces that support the tendencies. Besides, the paper examines psychological tools and interventions in counterterrorism, such as behavioral profiling, deradicalization programmes, and ethically reasonable interrogation techniques. It aims to add to a developing collection of interdisciplinary studies that intend to humanize counterterrorism measures, in addition to providing them with better efficacy because of the incorporation of mental health and behavioral science.

THE PSYCHOLOGY OF TERRORISM: A CONCEPTUAL OVERVIEW

Fear, Manipulation, and Psychological Warfare

Fundamentally, terrorism is a psychological action. Terrorism is not directed at physical properties or military capability, as in the case of conventional warfare, but is targeted directly at the psyche of a group of people. It is amplified through unpredictability, symbolic violence, and media amplification. This is due to the unpredictability of terrorist attacks, which causes people to feel insecure even in the most ordinary of settings, like the mass transit system or even in a house of worship. This constant fear may result in behavioral changes, including hypervigilance, avoidance of public places, and generalized anxiety. With time, these mental reactions may undermine social trust and lead to the polarization of societies, particularly when whole groups are scapegoated or stigmatized following an attack.

Needs Theory and Ideological Satisfaction

The hierarchy of needs created by Maslow can serve as an effective way of determining why people could be attracted to extremist ideologies. Terrorist groups can provide an alternative and attractive option to individuals who are unable to fulfill their belongingness, esteem, or self-actualization needs, particularly in the settings of impoverishment, traumatization, or marginalization. Extremist groups can seem to be moral crusaders, and members get not only a distinctive ideological view of the world but also a sense of camaraderie and significance. This psychological satisfaction is especially attractive to people who are struggling with existential perplexity or disappointment with mainstream society. Ideology in these groups tends to be psychologically effective and emotionally appealing, due to the use of the narratives of historical injustice, victimization, and impending redemption.

PATHWAYS TO RADICALIZATION

The Radicalization Theoretical Models

Radicalization is not an isolated process that happens automatically; rather, it is a complex interaction between the psychology of an individual and his or her social environment. Several theoretical frameworks have been advanced to describe the process through which people run from discontent to extremism. Among the most frequently referred to is the model of Fathali Moghaddam called the Staircase to Terrorism. The ground floor of this structure is for those who are unhappy with the state of their life. With every step they take upwards, perceived injustice, anger at external blame, and acceptance of moral engagement in terrorism, they get increasingly more ideologically and behaviorally married to violence. The condemned floor is the top floor where one makes the final decision to indulge in terrorist activity.

The four-phase model used by the NYPD is another influential model in which the process of radicalization is divided into pre-radicalization, self-identification, indoctrination, and jihadization/action. These phases focus on identity and ideology absorption, especially within secluded or closed settings. Radicalization is depicted in both models as a process, not an event, and this emphasizes the possibility of intervening and preventing it in different stages.

Traits of psychology and environmental triggers

Psychological studies have defined several psychological characteristics that make a person vulnerable to radical ideologies. People having low self-esteem, high authoritarianism, and a strong need for cognitive closure tend to seek black-and-white world views, which minimize ambiguity and complexity. All these cognitive features prepare extremist ideologies to be appealing since they provide clarity, structure, and a simplified explanation of social issues. These traits combine with environmental triggers, which include discrimination, political repression, and economic disparity, to worsen the threat.

In addition to this, people who are alienated or feel left out in social circles or communities can join radical groups as substitute families. Such a feeling of belongingness can be a very strong remedy to isolation, and such a person will be more devoted to group norms and more ready to perform violent acts to defend group norms.

Case Examples and Comparative Profiles

The analysis of the real-life cases helps to put the theories in perspective. A British citizen, Mohammed Emwazi, also called Jihadi John, had become one of the main propagandists of ISIS. He showed the symptoms of alienation, identity conflict, and perceived injustice due to purported harassment by intelligence agencies. His radicalization is an example of how apparent victimisation can be reconstructed with the help of extremist ideas to minimise the use of violence.

A different radicalization route based on far-right extremism is demonstrated by Anders Breivik, who killed 77 people in Norway in 2011. His manifesto displays an understanding of the world pocked by xenophobia and cultural paranoia as well as grandiose delusions. Having ideological differences, these two people share common psychological traits: alienation, confusion of identity, and the necessity of ideological clarification. It is crucial to study these commonalities to achieve an effective counter-radicalization intervention based on targeting the underlying psychological mechanisms, as opposed to targeting the superficial ideologies.

THINKING AND FEELING MOTIVATIONS OF EXTREMISM

Thinking Errors of Extremist Cognition

Biases in cognition are deemed to be of great importance in forming the perception that people have of the world, particularly regarding the processing of information that is emotionally charged or morally ambiguous. In extremist thought, these prejudices are exploited to strengthen loyalty to the in-group and legitimize aggression against out-groups. Black-and-white or dichotomous thinking flawlessly divides the world into good and evil, with few in-between or different perspectives. This polarization makes it less empathetic and makes brutal actions against the labeled other acceptable.

This worldview is also reinforced by confirmation bias that prompts people to seek and give priority to information that affirms their pre-existing beliefs and ignore competing

information. This intellectual foundation provides support to the ideology of extremism and forms the echo chambers, in which radical thought is not criticized.

A second distortion to note is the fundamental attribution error, in which people explain the actions of members of an out-group as due to their fundamental perversity or evil tendency, whereas they attribute the same actions of their own or their group to reacting to the situation. All of these thought patterns contribute to a strict and protective sense of the world that is extremely vulnerable to radicalization.

Emotions in Ideological Recruitment

Cognitive distortions give a belief system a structure, but it is the emotions that give it Power. It is all about feelings of anger, grief, and fear that are involved in the recruitment practices of terrorist groups. Radical groups employ emotion-evoking stories to increase the perception of injustice and urgency. Such stories usually involve a lot of graphic imagery, emotionally evocative language, and personal narration of pain, which provoke high levels of affective responses.

Recruits can be motivated by the sorrow of losses of their own, the shame of not being accepted in society, or anger towards those who they feel are oppressing them. Terrorist groups ingenuously exploit all of these emotional dispositions by offering ideological solutions that will bring justice, vengeance, or redemption. Arousal, in terms of emotions, also decreases the ability to think critically and, therefore, a person is prone to accepting extreme ideas without any doubts.

Another strong feeling utilized in radicalization is shame. To those who believe that they have disappointed themselves or their societies, extremist ideologies provide them with a way to redeem themselves through martyrdom and heroism. This is especially applicable in the recruitment of individuals who have criminal records, low social standings, or those who feel guilty for some reason.

GROUP DYNAMICS AND SOCIAL INFLUENCE

Mechanisms of Group Cohesion and Polarization

Extremist behavior is preserved with the help of group dynamics. The process of radicalization usually starts in a social setting, such as a peer group, an online forum, or a religious study group, where extremist ideas become normalized and supported. Social identity theory is based on the concept that people obtain a certain aspect of self-concept through group membership. When that group undergoes self-categorization against an out-group, it promotes faithfulness and in-group unity and promotes hatred and dehumanization of the outsiders.

There are also groupthink and pressures of conformity, which do not appreciate dissent and critical analysis. When cohesiveness is high, people might suppress any doubts or different opinions to preserve the unity. Charismatic leadership and the idea of moral absolutism are frequently used by the leaders of such organizations as a way to monopolize power. Rituals, initiation language, and group activities further strengthen the ties of a group, making it an us versus them mentality.

Digital Ecosystem and Online Radicalization

The digital era has revolutionized the topography of radicalization. Online forums, social media, and encrypted messaging services allow radical groups to disseminate their

ideologies to people all over the world, and in many cases, radicalize vulnerable people by sending personalized content to them. Engagement-maximizing algorithms unintentionally result in the prioritization of extremist content because this type of content pushes emotional responses in a user.

The issue of online radicalization is especially malignant since it tends to take place in a vacuum, without the presence of real-life balances. People can also consume radical content in secrecy where friends, relatives, or the government cannot monitor their activities. In addition to this, online communities offer immediate confirmation, a feeling of belonging, and purpose. These online environments may act as echo-chambers whereby not only are radicalizing ideas shared but also praised.

Radicalization through gamification, memes, and propaganda videos appears to be not only acceptable but also a goal worthy of pursuing. Such websites are also being used as a recruitment instrument, with prospective recruits being able to enjoy anonymity, emotional reinforcement, and access to ideological mentors or operatives. The internet provides a decentralized form of radicalization, in which lone actors can be motivated and directed without having any physical contact with group leaders.

THE ROLE OF TRAUMA, IDENTITY, AND MARGINALIZATION

Mental Weaknesses and Trauma Reactions

Many of the radicalized individuals maintain a trauma history. This can be abuse in childhood, exposure to violence, forceful displacement, or institutionalized marginalization. The psychological trauma may lead to emotional numbing, distrust towards the authority, and a fractured self, which are the weaknesses that extremist narratives utilize.

Terrorist recruiters indeed tend to rebrand the personal trauma into a shared grievance by giving an account that locates the individual pain in the wider context of the historical or cultural injustice. This re-telling enables the subject to shift the status of being a victim to one of the subject and actor, even when the action is a violent one. It also creates the feeling of moral superiority and righteousness, which also supports extremist actions.

Recruitment and the Framing of Identity

Identity development is very important during adolescence and early adulthood. In these phases, the individuals are in search of meaning, belongingness, and validation. Extremist groups offer a hierarchical sense of identity, which has heroes, villains, sacred texts, and practices. To those whose identity has been traumatized or alienated by society, the groups provide psychological closure.

Storytelling framing plays a core part in this identity formation. Extremist ideologies create simplistic narratives of Good and evil, victim and oppressor, and martyrdom and betrayal. On an affective level, these stories are powerful, and cognitively, they are simple to embrace, especially by people with little access to other scenarios or critical thinking.

Presenting their ideology as the only correct one and their cause as a moral duty, terrorist groups turn personal identity into the instrument of collective violence. People stop being individual agents to become the representatives of a cause, which lightens the psychological load of violence and increases group solidarity.

PSYCHOLOGICAL TOOLS IN COUNTERTERRORISM

Cognitive Screening and Behavioral Profiling

Behavioral profiling has been a very significant element in the prediction and prevention of terrorist threats. However, contrary to the criminal profiling done traditionally, where profilers aim at identifying the criminals once the crime has been committed, behavioral profiling in counterterrorism aims at identifying the radicalization signs at an early stage. Such profiles regularly analyze language change, social affiliations, changes in behavioral patterns, and psychological alterations. In other words, a once sociable individual may start exhibiting radical attitudes, alienating themselves, or denying family values.

There is also an emergence of cognitive screening tools to identify the susceptibility to extremist thinking. Such tools as the VERA-2R (Violent Extremist Risk Assessment) and ERG22+ are created to measure a person on such variables as the belief in ideological justifications of violence, personal grievances, and adherence to extremist narratives. These are not diagnostic tools, but rather implemented by trained psychologists and law enforcement agencies to guide the decision-making regarding surveillance, detention, or intervention. They have to be culturally sensitive and human rights respectful.

PsyOps and Strategic Communication

Psychological operations (PsyOps) are the employment of communication initiatives to manage emotions, motives, and objective reasoning. When used in counterterrorism, PsyOps seek to discredit extremist views and replace them with peaceful options. These may involve the use of stories of ex-extremists who denounce violence, expose corruption or ineffectiveness of terrorist groups inside, as well as the deployment of stories on the victims or the painful experiences of innocent civilians.

Successful PsyOps must be accompanied by excellent intelligence of target audiences, their cultural, religious, and socio-economic background. Messaging needs to be real, well-timed, in addition to congruent with larger cultural ideals. To take a few examples, counter-messages approved by the government can be treated with suspicion, whereas campaigns organized by respected local leaders at the grassroots can have more impact. There is a rise in the use of multimedia resources like podcasts and social media influencers, or documentary videos in such efforts.

Techniques of Interrogation and Risk Assessment

Ethical interrogation in counter terrorism is based more on rapport, empathy, and story gathering rather than force or intimidation. Methods based on investigative interviewing have proven to be more effective in retrieving accurate information. Such techniques establish a rapport, decrease resistance, and enable the subjects to give their narratives that more often than not contain useful intelligence.

Interrogation is followed by a risk assessment that is directed to the probability of recidivism or a persistent threat. Psychological assessment plays a central role in establishing the motives of a person, whether he is driven by ideology, forced association, or personal vendetta. Based on this assessment, decisions on detention, release, or deradicalization programs are taken. Notably, these judgments should be done under the guidance of ethics and law, since, when done carelessly may lead to false positives or perpetuate perceptions of unfairness.

COMMUNITY INTERVENTIONS AND DERADICALIZATION

Guidelines of Successful Deradicalization Programs

Deradicalization consists of the psychological and social abandonment of extremist beliefs and behaviors. The best programs understand that radicalization can be very satisfying by meeting psychological needs (identity, belonging, and significance). Hence, deradicalization should provide alternative meaningfulness. The fundamental elements encompass psychological counseling, thought restructuring, identity work, occupation training, as well as social support.

Empathy-enhancing activities aid in making participants reflect on the outcomes of their behavior and feel sorry. Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) is common in the treatment of distorted beliefs and emotion regulation. The methods of motivational interviewing stimulate self-drive as opposed to coercion to change. Even religious re-education can be involved in case ideology is religious, but only if it is respectful and credible.

Case Examples: Aarhus Model and EXIT Programs

Denmark Aarhus Model The Aarhus Model is a police-psychologist collaboration project with educators and religious leaders. It is prevention and reintegration-oriented, instead of being punitive. The people found to be at risk are provided with mentorship, therapy, and community integration. It is worth considering that this model does not perceive returning foreign fighters as a source of security threat only, but also as people who require support.

EXIT programs have been operating in Germany and Sweden; they mainly engage with people who have been caught in far-right extremist circles or jihadist networks. Their model involves intense counseling, homeless services, and long-term follow-up. An important aspect is the use of former extremists as mentors, who provide the genuine voices of change and support the idea of redemption.

The Importance of Education and Family Support

Schools play a pivotal role as the place of developing resilience towards extremist ideas. Incorporating emotional literacy, conflict resolution, and media literacy in curricula will provide students with the means to analyze propaganda critically as well as handle differences between people. Inclusive places and anti-bullying programs also lessen alienation.

Families are also important. External factors can be offset by parental awareness and emotional support, as well as open communication. The safety net of community workshops, parenting support groups, and school-family cooperation monitors the early indications of the radicalization process and fosters intervention on a communal level.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Strike the Balance between Security and Human Rights

Counterterrorism has to proceed through the thin thread between active defense and civil rights. Psychological profiling or extensive surveillance might be overused, deterring the communities and labeling innocent people. Ethical uses of psychological tools need Openness, permission, and management. Accountability is assisted by such measures as community policing, independent review boards, and public reporting channels.

Racial, religious, or socio-political discrimination in the name of security builds mistrust and demolishes peace prospects in the long run. The strategies have to focus on inclusion,

Terrorism: A Psychological Approach- Radicalization and Its Prevention

equity, and the assumption of innocence. Even in high-risk counterterrorism environments, safeguarding mental health and dignity should be of primary concern.

Code of Ethical Psychological Practice

The psychologists in counterterrorism should follow international ethical codes. These are principles of informed consent, confidentiality, beneficence, and respect for persons. When interacting with the radicalized individuals, it is necessary to use nonjudgmental listening, cultural competence, and trauma-informed care.

Such a case is at the level of an ethical dilemma, which frequently occurs in dual-role cases as psychologists act on behalf of the clients and the security agencies. These tensions are managed by boundary clarity, records, and oversight. It is possible to mention continuous training, peer consultation, and ethical review boards as factors that help to preserve professional integrity.

FUTURE TRENDS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL COUNTERTERRORISM

Technological advances and Forecasting instruments

Technology is both a blessing and a curse in the ever-changing world of terrorism. Even though it promotes the proliferation of radical ideologies, it also provides novel counterterrorism instruments. Machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) have increasingly been applied in the detection of behavioral anomalies, scanning of online communication efforts of extremist content, and the ability to forecast risk arcades. Predictive analytics has the potential to allow finding vulnerable people before they commit an act of violence, but it is necessary to avoid profiling mistakes and privacy breaches.

In this regard, social media monitoring tools can reveal an increase in hate speech or propaganda, whereas natural language processing algorithms can be applied to analyze the sentiment and ideological changes. Biometrics and neurotechnology are also possibilities of the future in terms of behavioral detection, but there exists the ethical question of consent and accuracy. In order to be productive and fair, such technologies should be integrated with well-developed legal regulations and human monitoring in all cases.

Community-Based Mental Health Model Expansion

Communitatively, psychological resilience begins. Enhancement of the mental health facility on the ground is a key to successful counterterrorism in the long term. That would involve community health worker training on how to identify those who are showing signs of radicalization, trauma support services being incorporated into primary care, and the establishment of safe places where the youth can gather and talk about identity, purpose, and grievances without being judged.

Much more specific to the community, with an emphasis on mental health and social inclusivity, as well as conflict resolution, are the models that work particularly well in multicultural and post-conflict societies. Empowerment programs involving local leaders, youth advocates, and religious figures tend to be more credible than state interventions that occur in a top-down manner. De-stigmatisation of mental health and instilling coexistence and empathy values can also be carried out through public education campaigns.

Policy Recommendations

- To optimize the use of psychological approaches in counterterrorism, the following policy measures can be suggested:

Terrorism: A Psychological Approach- Radicalization and Its Prevention

- Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Promote the collaboration of psychologists, educators, law enforcement, and religious leaders to plan coordinated intervention programs.
- Standardized Training: Provide security officers with the skills of psychological first aid and communications to be able to react to a person at risk and approach them effectively and ethically.
- Research Funding: Support longitudinal research to learn more about the psychological paths of radicalization and deradicalization.
- Legal Protections: typical counterterrorism measures should be based on respect for human rights, and their oversight should be clear.
- Community Empowerment: Back-up grass-roots groups which engage in prevention, rehabilitation, and social integration of ex-extremists.

CONCLUSION

Terrorism is not only an external problem; it is an internal conflict, which is psychological. It feeds off of fear, alienation, thought distortion, and unresolved trauma. It is knowledge of these psychological dimensions that would be critical in designing effective, yet humane and sustainable counterterrorism strategies. As was described in this paper, the factors of cognitive biases, emotional vulnerabilities, the dynamics of groups, and socio-political grievances play a part in the radicalization process. It has also delved into the use of psychological instruments to derail this process through the use of behavioral profiling, deradicalization programs, and ethical interrogation.

After all, force cannot win the battle against terrorism. It has to be dealt with by empathy, education, and inclusion. The psychologically-informed counterterrorism is characterized by the understanding that all people, even those who have gone astray into terrorism, are human and aim to cure rather than merely punish. When we apply the science of psychology to the national and global security systems, we will be one major step closer to a secure world, full of caring.

REFERENCES

- Atran, S. (2016). The devoted actor: Unconditional commitment and intractable conflict across cultures. *Current Anthropology*, 57(S13), S192–S203. <https://doi.org/10.1086/685495>
- Bar-Tal, D. (2001). Why does fear override hope in societies engulfed by intractable conflict, as it does in Israeli society? *Political Psychology*, 22(3), 601–627. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0162-895X.00255>
- Bartlett, J., & Miller, C. (2012). *Radicalisation in the digital era: The use of the internet in 15 cases of terrorism*. RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR626.html
- Bartlett, J., & Miller, C. (2012). *Radicalisation in the digital era: The use of the internet in 15 cases of terrorism*. RAND Europe.
- Borum, R. (2011). Radicalization into violent extremism I: A review of social science theories. *Journal of Strategic Security*, 4(4), 7–36. <https://doi.org/10.5038/1944-0472.4.4.1>
- Bouhana, N., & Wikström, P. H. (2011). *Al Qai'da-influenced radicalisation: A rapid evidence assessment guided by situational action theory*. UK Home Office.
- Braddock, K., & Horgan, J. (2016). Towards a guide for constructing and disseminating counternarratives to reduce support for terrorism. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, 39(5), 381–404. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1057610X.2015.1116277>

- Brundage, M., Avin, S., Clark, J., Toner, H., Eckersley, P., Garfinkel, B., ... & Amodei, D. (2018). *The malicious use of artificial intelligence: Forecasting, prevention, and mitigation*. Future of Humanity Institute, University of Oxford. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.07228>
- Campelo, N., Oppetit, A., Neau, F., Cohen, D., & Bronsard, G. (2018). Who are the European youths willing to engage in radicalisation? A multidisciplinary review of their psychological and social profiles. *European Psychiatry, 52*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.03.001>
- Christmann, K. (2012). *Preventing religious radicalisation and violent extremism: A systematic review of the research evidence*. Youth Justice Board.
- Doosje, B., Loseman, A., & van den Bos, K. (2013). Determinants of radicalization of Islamic youth in the Netherlands: Personal uncertainty, perceived injustice, and perceived group threat. *Journal of Social Issues, 69*(3), 586–604. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12030>
- Feddes, A. R., Mann, L., & Doosje, B. (2015). Increasing self-esteem and empathy to prevent violent radicalization: A longitudinal quantitative evaluation of a resilience training focused on adolescents with a dual identity. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 45*(7), 400–411. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12307>
- Gendron, A. (2017). Deradicalization: A review of the literature with comparison to gang disengagement and implications for future research. *Journal for Deradicalization, 17*(1), 1–56. <https://journals.sfu.ca/jd/index.php/jd/article/view/103>
- Gill, P., Horgan, J., & Deckert, P. (2014). Bombing alone: Tracing the motivations and antecedent behaviors of lone-actor terrorists. *Journal of Forensic Sciences, 59*(2), 425–435. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.12312>
- Götzsche-Astrup, O. (2018). The time for causal designs: Review and evaluation of empirical support for mechanisms of political radicalization. *Aggression and Violent Behavior, 39*, 90–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2018.02.003>
- Horgan, J. (2008). From profiles to pathways and roots to routes: Perspectives from psychology on radicalization into terrorism. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 618*(1), 80–94. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716208317539>
- Horgan, J. (2009). *Walking away from terrorism: Accounts of disengagement from radical and extremist movements*. Routledge.
- Koehler, D. (2017). *Understanding deradicalization: Methods, tools and programs for countering violent extremism*. Routledge.
- McCauley, C., & Moskaleiko, S. (2008). Mechanisms of political radicalization: Pathways toward terrorism. *Terrorism and Political Violence, 20*(3), 415–433. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09546550802073367>
- McCauley, C., & Moskaleiko, S. (2017). Understanding political radicalization: The two-pyramids model. *American Psychologist, 72*(3), 205–216. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000062>
- Meleagrou-Hitchens, A., Kaderbhai, N., & Shanaah, S. (2017). *Research perspectives on online radicalisation: A literature review 2006–2016*. VOX-Pol Network of Excellence. https://www.voxpol.eu/download/vox-pol_publication/Online-Radicalisation-Literature-Review.pdf
- Moghaddam, F. M. (2005). The staircase to terrorism: A psychological exploration. *American Psychologist, 60*(2), 161–169. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.60.2.161>
- O’Callaghan, D., Greene, D., Conway, M., Carthy, J., & Cunningham, P. (2015). Down the (white) rabbit hole: The extreme right and online recommender systems. *Social*

- Science Computer Review*, 33(4), 459–478. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439314555329>
- Openbaar Ministerie. (2018). *Evaluation of the Dutch approach to returning foreign terrorist fighters*. Government of the Netherlands.
- Paul, C., Clarke, C. P., & Grill, B. (2010). *Victory has a thousand fathers: Sources of success in counterinsurgency*. RAND Corporation.
- Post, J. M. (2007). *The mind of the terrorist: The psychology of terrorism from the IRA to al-Qaeda*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- RAN Centre of Excellence. (2019). *EXIT-Germany: Deradicalisation and Disengagement*. European Commission Radicalisation Awareness Network. https://ec.europa.eu/home-affairs/pages/page/exit-germany-deradicalisation-and-disengagement_en
- Rousseau, C., Hassan, G., Moreau, N., & Thombs, B. D. (2015). From extremism to resilience: An approach for preventing violent radicalization through engagement and empowerment. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 27(2), 113–120. <https://doi.org/10.2989/17280583.2015.1117970>
- Sageman, M. (2004). *Understanding terror networks*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Sarma, K. M. (2017). Risk assessment and the prevention of radicalization from nonviolence into terrorism. *American Psychologist*, 72(3), 278–288. <https://doi.org/10.1037/am0000092>
- Schoemaker, E. (2020). Psychological operations and strategic communications in counterterrorism. In M. Crenshaw (Ed.), *Countering terrorism* (pp. 218–235). Brookings Institution Press.
- Silber, M. D., & Bhatt, A. (2007). *Radicalization in the West: The homegrown threat*. New York Police Department Intelligence Division.
- Silke, A. (2003). Becoming a terrorist. In A. Silke (Ed.), *Terrorists, victims and society: Psychological perspectives on terrorism and its consequences* (pp. 29–53). John Wiley & Sons.
- Silke, A. (Ed.). (2011). *The psychology of counter-terrorism*. Routledge.
- Spalek, B., & Davies, L. (2012). Mentoring and Muslim youth: A response to the threat of violent extremism in the UK? *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs*, 32(1), 143–157. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13602004.2012.665919>
- Taylor, D. M., & Louis, W. (2004). Terrorism and the quest for identity. In F. M. Moghaddam & A. J. Marsella (Eds.), *Understanding terrorism: Psychological roots, consequences and interventions* (pp. 169–185). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10626-009>
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2016). *Preventing violent extremism through inclusive development and the promotion of tolerance and respect for diversity*. <https://www.undp.org/publications/preventing-violent-extremism-through-inclusive-development-and-promotion-tolerance-and-respect-diversity>
- Vermeulen, F., & Bovenkerk, F. (2012). *Engaging with violent Islamic extremism: Local policies in Western European cities*. The Hague: Eleven International Publishing.
- Victoroff, J. (2005). The mind of the terrorist: A review and critique of psychological approaches. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 49(1), 3–42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002704272040>
- Weine, S., & Ahmed, O. (2012). *Building resilience to violent extremism among Somali-Americans in Minneapolis–St. Paul*. Final Report to Human Factors/Behavioral Sciences Division, Science and Technology Directorate, U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

Terrorism: A Psychological Approach- Radicalization and Its Prevention

- Weine, S., & Eisenman, D. (2016). Building community resilience to violent extremism through genuine partnerships. *American Psychologist*, *71*(4), 312–322. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0040094>
- Zeng, J., Stevens, T., & Chen, Y. (2021). China's artificial intelligence revolution: Domestic and global implications. *International Affairs*, *97*(6), 1671–1689. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiab186>

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Rachel, M. (2025). Terrorism: A Psychological Approach-Radicalization and Its Prevention. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *13*(4), 788-799. DIP:18.01.072.20251304, DOI:10.25215/1304.072