

Research Paper

Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes

Jothi Kolathur^{1*}, Dr. Suresh Kumar Murugesan²

ABSTRACT

Emotional awareness—the capacity to identify, interpret, and articulate one’s own and others’ emotional states—constitutes a central pillar of socio-emotional competence and is foundational to mental health, academic success, and interpersonal functioning across development. Although emotional competencies are essential for all children, female children are embedded within gendered socialization structures that differentially shape emotional expression, regulation, and social expectations. This article presents an integrative, theory-driven, and cross-cultural analysis of emotional development in girls, drawing upon emotion socialization theory, neurobiological perspectives, and gender developmental frameworks. We synthesize empirical evidence documenting early-emerging gender differences in emotional awareness, parental emotion coaching, neurocognitive maturation, and sociocultural norms. The paper examines how these processes influence girls’ mental health trajectories, academic achievement, and social relationships, with special emphasis on internalizing vulnerability, relational strengths, and cultural variations within Indian and global contexts. Evidence-based educational and therapeutic interventions—including gender-sensitive Social and Emotional Learning (SEL), parental emotion socialization training, play therapy, mindfulness-based programs, and trauma-informed approaches—are reviewed. Policy recommendations and future research directions are proposed to support equitable emotional development for female children in diverse cultural settings.

Keywords: *Emotional Development, Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, Psychosocial Outcomes*

Emotional awareness, defined as the ability to recognize, interpret, and verbalize emotional experiences in oneself and others (Mayer & Salovey, 1997), has been consistently identified as a core dimension of emotional intelligence and a predictor of adaptive functioning across developmental stages. Research demonstrates that children who develop sophisticated emotional awareness exhibit higher levels of emotional regulation, stronger social connectedness, enhanced academic engagement, and greater psychological resilience (Denham et al., 2003; Saarni, 1999). Given the rapid neurobiological, linguistic, and cognitive changes occurring in early childhood, the

¹PhD Research Scholar, CARE, Brahmakumaris, Mount Abu, Rajasthan, India

²Professor & Head, Department of Psychology, The American College, Madurai

*Corresponding Author

Received: November 22, 2025; Revision Received: January 17, 2026; Accepted: January 22, 2026

Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes

development of emotional awareness is strongly shaped by socialization processes, relational contexts, and cultural scripts (Thompson, 2011).

Although emotional development occurs in both boys and girls, a robust body of evidence indicates that female children show distinct emotional pathways influenced by gendered expectations, parental communication patterns, cultural norms, and peer dynamics (Chaplin & Aldao, 2013; Else-Quest et al., 2006). Girls are often encouraged to be emotionally expressive, interpersonally sensitive, and relationally attuned—socialization practices that contribute to enhanced emotional literacy but also heighten susceptibility to internalizing difficulties such as anxiety, rumination, and depression (Zahn-Waxler et al., 2008; Nolen-Hoeksema & Girgus, 1994). These patterns underscore the dual role of gender socialization as both a facilitator and a risk factor in female emotional development.

This review advances the understanding of emotional development in girls through a multi-level analysis encompassing (a) theoretical models of emotional socialization, (b) neurodevelopmental and cognitive mechanisms, (c) gendered trajectories of emotional competence, (d) cross-cultural and Indian-specific variations, and (e) implications for education, mental health, and social functioning. The goal is to inform evidence-based interventions and policy frameworks that foster emotionally safe and equitable environments for female children.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

1. Emotion Socialization Theory

Emotion socialization theory (Eisenberg, Cumberland, & Spinrad, 1998; Morris et al., 2007) posits that children's emotional development is shaped through: 1. Modeling of emotional behaviors, 2. Parental reactions to children's emotions and 3. Emotion-related conversations and coaching. Parents engage differently with daughters and sons, with daughters receiving more emotional talk, elaborative storytelling, and validation of emotional experiences (Aznar & Tenenbaum, 2014; Fivush et al., 2000). Girls are also more frequently encouraged to express prosocial emotions like empathy and nurturance, while boys are more often socialized to suppress vulnerable emotions such as fear or sadness (Chaplin, 2015).

Such differential socialization promotes girls' strengths in emotional labeling, perspective-taking, and relational skills but also reinforces self-silencing patterns, avoidance of conflict, and overresponsibility for emotional harmony—factors implicated in later internalizing tendencies (Zahn-Waxler et al., 2008).

2. Neurobiological and Cognitive Foundations of Emotional Development

Emotional awareness emerges in tandem with developing neural circuits involving the amygdala, prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, and language regions (Leppänen & Nelson, 2009). Girls show earlier maturation of brain regions associated with emotion recognition and language processing (McRae et al., 2008), contributing to observed gender differences in: emotional labeling, and facial emotion processing and interpersonal sensitivity. Cognitive components—including theory of mind, language development, and executive functioning—interact with neurobiological processes to shape emotional differentiation and regulation strategies (Cole et al., 2004; Pons et al., 2004).

3. Gender Socialization Models

Social cognitive theory of gender development (Bussey & Bandura, 1999), gender schema theory (Bem, 1981), and expectancy-value models highlight how societal norms, observational learning, and internalized beliefs shape gendered behaviors and emotional competencies.

Across cultures, femininity is often associated with emotional expressiveness, caregiving, relational interdependence, and compliance (Brody & Hall, 2008). These norms influence parental expectations, school practices, media portrayals, and peer cultures. In India, gendered norms surrounding modesty, relational duty, emotional sacrifice, and family roles shape the emotional development of girls (Verma & Saraswathi, 2002).

Understanding these frameworks is essential for interpreting emotional patterns among female children and designing interventions that promote psychosocial equity.

Development of Emotional Awareness in Childhood

Infants begin life with basic emotion expressions (Izard et al., 1995) and rapidly progress to social referencing by the first year (Campos et al., 1983). Between ages 3 and 5, children acquire the ability to identify basic emotions and begin to understand situational, desire-based, and belief-based emotions (Widen & Russell, 2008). During middle childhood, emotional awareness becomes increasingly differentiated, incorporating secondary emotions such as guilt, pride, jealousy, or shame (Saarni, 1999).

Girls typically outperform boys in tasks involving emotion identification, empathy, and verbalization (McClure, 2000; Thompson & Voyer, 2014). These differences are shaped by: earlier language development in girls, more emotion coaching from caregivers, greater social reinforcement for emotional expressiveness and heightened neural responsivity to social cues, while these advantages support relational competence and academic engagement, gendered patterns may also increase emotional burden, especially as girls internalize expectations to manage interpersonal harmony and suppress negative emotions.

Gendered Pathways of Emotional Competence

Gender differences in emotional development emerge early and intensify as children navigate family expectations, schooling environments, and peer cultures. Meta-analytic research consistently shows that girls demonstrate higher proficiency in emotion recognition, verbal expression of feelings, empathy, and relational reasoning compared to boys (Chaplin & Aldao, 2013; McClure, 2000; Else-Quest et al., 2006). Several converging mechanisms explain these pathways.

1. Parental Emotion Socialization

Parents play a central role in shaping children's emotional development, and research consistently demonstrates that emotion socialization practices differ markedly by gender. Mothers and fathers typically use more emotion-rich language with daughters, engage in elaborative discussions about feelings, and offer more frequent validation of daughters' emotional experiences (Aznar & Tenenbaum, 2014; Fivush et al., 2000). These interactions model prosocial emotional behaviors and reinforce expectations that girls should be nurturing, cooperative, and relationally attuned. In contrast, boys are more often socialized toward autonomy, emotional restraint, and stoicism, receiving less encouragement to express vulnerability or articulate complex emotions. Such divergent socialization pathways

Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes

contribute to girls' strengths in emotional literacy, interpersonal sensitivity, and empathic understanding. However, they also increase the likelihood that girls internalize self-blame, engage in rumination, and suppress conflictual emotions, patterns that may heighten vulnerability to internalizing difficulties over time.

2. Schooling Environments and Gender Norms

School contexts play a pivotal role in reinforcing gendered emotional expectations, and classroom dynamics often mirror broader societal norms regarding femininity and emotional conduct. Teachers tend to praise girls more frequently for compliance, empathy, cooperation, and other behaviors perceived as indicators of "good behavior," thereby reinforcing an association between femininity and emotional self-regulation (Jennings & Greenberg, 2009; Raver, 2002). Girls are also implicitly positioned as emotional caretakers within peer groups, being expected to mediate conflicts, offer emotional support, and maintain relational harmony. In contrast, boys receive greater tolerance for assertive, aggressive, or disruptive behavior, which is often interpreted as developmentally normative. Over time, girls internalize these gendered expectations, leading to increased emotional inhibition—particularly in suppressing anger or dissent—and heightened relational vigilance, characterized by sensitivity to interpersonal cues and potential threats to social cohesion (Rose & Rudolph, 2006). Such processes contribute to girls' interpersonal competence but may also place psychological burdens on them by encouraging emotional suppression, self-silencing, and disproportionate responsibility for maintaining social harmony.

3. Peer Relationships and Relational Dynamics

Girls' peer relationships are typically characterized by intimate, dyadic friendships marked by high levels of emotional disclosure, mutual support, and relational interdependence—patterns that reflect and reinforce broader gendered expectations surrounding emotional expressiveness and connectedness (Brody & Hall, 2008). These relational dynamics can serve as important developmental assets, strengthening girls' emotional understanding, empathy, and interpersonal competence. However, the same features that facilitate emotional closeness may also introduce unique vulnerabilities. Intense relational investment can expose girls to higher rates of relational aggression, including exclusion, gossip, and manipulation, which are often subtle yet psychologically impactful (Crick & Grotpeter, 1995). Additionally, close friendship networks may encourage co-rumination, in which peers repeatedly revisit emotional problems, thereby amplifying stress and increasing risk for internalizing symptoms. Peer contagion effects further heighten the likelihood that anxiety or depressive symptoms may spread within tightly bonded friendship groups, producing emotional burdens alongside relational benefits (Hankin et al., 2007). Thus, while girls' relational orientation fosters socio-emotional strengths, it also presents distinct psychosocial risks that warrant careful attention in developmental and educational contexts.

Mental Health, Academic, and Social Implications

Emotional awareness exerts a profound and enduring influence on children's functioning, and for girls, these effects reflect a dynamic interplay between emotional strengths and heightened susceptibility to internalizing difficulties. On one hand, higher levels of emotional awareness support psychological resilience by enhancing emotion regulation capacities, promoting adaptive coping strategies, and increasing the likelihood of effective help-seeking in response to distress (Denham et al., 2003; Southam-Gerow & Kendall, 2002). On the other hand, gendered socialization practices may paradoxically undermine

Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes

these benefits by encouraging emotional over-identification, rumination, self-silencing, and internalized responses to interpersonal stress—patterns consistently linked to elevated risk for anxiety and depression in girls (Nolen-Hoeksema & Girgus, 1994; Zahn-Waxler et al., 2008). By early adolescence, girls display approximately twice the rate of anxiety symptoms compared to boys, as well as higher depressive symptomatology, increased body-related distress, and heightened emotional sensitivity to peer rejection (Hyde & Mezulis, 2020; World Health Organization, 2023). These vulnerabilities intensify during puberty, when hormonal fluctuations and rapid neurodevelopment intersect with social pressures related to appearance, relationships, and autonomy, thereby amplifying the onset of internalizing disorders among female youth.

Beyond mental health, emotional competencies—including awareness, regulation, and empathy—play an essential role in shaping academic engagement and performance. Girls' strengths in emotional expression, attention regulation, teacher–student communication, and cooperative peer interactions contribute to more effective behavioral regulation and greater classroom participation (Brackett et al., 2012; Graziano et al., 2007). Meta-analytic evidence demonstrates that emotional intelligence robustly predicts academic achievement across grade levels, even after accounting for cognitive ability and personality dimensions (MacCann et al., 2020). Girls' relational attunement may also facilitate positive rapport with teachers and peers, strengthening academic persistence, particularly in collaborative or language-rich learning environments where emotional communication and perspective-taking are integral to success.

Socially, emotionally aware girls tend to form stable, supportive friendships, demonstrate high levels of prosocial behavior, and exhibit advanced social perspective-taking, enabling them to mediate conflicts effectively and maintain strong peer relationships (Denham et al., 2003; Eisenberg et al., 2006). However, these same relational strengths introduce unique interpersonal risks. Girls are disproportionately exposed to relational aggression—such as exclusion, gossip, and subtle emotional manipulation—which, though less overt than physical aggression, can be equally detrimental to psychological well-being (Crick & Grotpeter, 1995). Furthermore, girls' close friendships often involve co-rumination, the repetitive discussion of problems and negative emotions, which increases vulnerability to shared anxiety and depressive symptoms (Rose & Rudolph, 2006). Heightened sensitivity to peer pressure and relational dynamics can also exacerbate stress, particularly in adolescence, when social belonging becomes critically salient. Thus, emotional competence serves as both a developmental asset and a relational burden for girls, offering profound benefits while simultaneously imposing psychological costs within gendered social contexts.

Cultural and Cross-National Perspectives

Emotional development is profoundly shaped by cultural norms, social structures, and gendered expectations, making it essential to interpret girls' emotional competencies within their broader sociocultural contexts. In Western societies such as North America and Western Europe, girls are generally encouraged to articulate emotions openly, and educational systems frequently embed socio-emotional discourse within classroom practices. Parenting styles in these regions also tend to prioritize emotional expression and validation. Despite these supportive structures, Western gender norms continue to reinforce expectations that girls should be compliant, caring, emotionally attuned, and relationally competent, thereby maintaining traditional scripts surrounding femininity and emotional responsibility (Halberstadt et al., 2020).

Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes

In contrast, Asian contexts—particularly India—present a markedly different landscape of gendered emotional socialization. Indian girls are raised within collectivistic and patriarchal family structures that emphasize modesty, obedience, emotional restraint, and relational duty (Verma & Saraswathi, 2002). From early childhood, girls are often socialized into caregiving roles and emotional labor, expected to be sensitive to the needs of family members while suppressing assertive emotional expressions such as anger, disagreement, frustration, or autonomy (Bhola & Kapur, 2003). These norms simultaneously cultivate emotional awareness—especially in relation to others—yet discourage direct emotional authenticity. As a result, girls may develop strong interpersonal sensitivity and relational insight but are also at heightened risk for emotional suppression, self-silencing, and internalized distress.

Cross-national research further highlights how cultural values shape gendered emotional patterns. Large-scale comparative studies consistently find that girls outperform boys in emotion recognition and empathic processing across diverse cultural settings (Thompson & Voyer, 2014). However, gender differences are amplified in societies with rigid caregiving norms and prescriptive gender roles, where girls are expected to shoulder relational and emotional responsibilities. Emotional suppression among girls is also more prevalent in collectivistic societies, where maintaining group harmony often takes precedence over individual emotional expression. These dynamics contribute to steep rises in internalizing symptoms among adolescent girls, particularly in cultures where emotional restraint, conformity, and gendered self-sacrifice are emphasized (Else-Quest et al., 2006; Markus & Kitayama, 1991; World Health Organization, 2023). The convergence of these findings underscores the importance of designing emotional development programs that are culturally grounded, gender-sensitive, and responsive to societal expectations shaping girls' developmental trajectories.

Educational and Therapeutic Interventions

Supporting the emotional development of female children requires interventions that are empirically grounded, developmentally appropriate, and sensitive to gendered socialization patterns. Across educational and therapeutic contexts, a growing body of research highlights several evidence-based strategies that promote emotional awareness, strengthen self-regulation, and build resilience in girls. One of the most widely supported approaches is Social and Emotional Learning (SEL), which provides structured opportunities for children to cultivate emotional literacy, empathy, interpersonal competence, and self-regulatory skills. Large-scale meta-analyses encompassing hundreds of programs across diverse cultural settings consistently demonstrate that SEL interventions improve emotional competence, enhance academic performance, reduce behavioral problems, strengthen mental health, and increase prosocial behavior (Durlak et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2017). When adapted through a gender-sensitive lens, SEL frameworks can further address the specific emotional needs of girls by incorporating components such as assertiveness training, emotional boundary-setting, conflict negotiation, and balanced emotional expression—moving beyond stereotypical expectations that emphasize nurturance without fostering emotional agency. The CASEL model, with its multi-tiered structure, offers a particularly adaptable framework for implementation within Indian school systems, where socio-emotional skill-building is increasingly recognized as integral to educational quality.

For younger girls who may struggle to verbalize complex emotional experiences, play therapy represents a developmentally congruent and highly effective intervention. Empirical

Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes

studies demonstrate that play therapy enhances emotional expression, reduces anxiety, supports emotion regulation, and fosters resilience, particularly among children who have experienced trauma or adverse family environments (Ray, 2011; Landreth, 2012). Child-centered play therapy, synergetic play therapy, and sand tray methods allow girls to symbolically externalize feelings and conflicts while developing communication skills and emotional insight.

Mindfulness-based and mind–body interventions constitute another promising avenue for strengthening emotional development. Mindfulness practices improve attention regulation, emotional monitoring, stress reduction, and cognitive flexibility, with notable benefits for pre-adolescent and adolescent girls who are at heightened risk for internalizing symptoms (Zelazo & Lyons, 2012; Hennelly, 2011). Systematic reviews indicate that mindfulness-based cognitive strategies can significantly reduce anxiety, rumination, and emotional reactivity in female youth, thereby fostering psychological resilience (Hoffmann et al., 2010).

Given girls' increased exposure to relational stress, emotional burden, and family-level adversity, trauma-informed interventions are essential components of comprehensive emotional support. Trauma-informed models emphasize safe relationship-building, validation of emotional experiences, grounding and regulation techniques, and the cultivation of self-compassion—practices that have demonstrated significant improvements in emotional regulation and perceived psychological safety (Perry & Szalavitz, 2017; Spinazzola et al., 2018). School-based trauma-informed programs are particularly effective in mitigating the emotional consequences of chronic stress and fostering supportive learning environments.

Finally, parental emotion coaching represents a foundational mechanism for enhancing emotional development in girls. Emotion coaching interventions teach parents to label emotions, validate children's feelings, scaffold problem-solving, and model healthy regulatory strategies (Gottman et al., 1996). Such practices reduce emotional dysregulation, decrease internalizing symptoms, and strengthen secure attachment patterns—laying the groundwork for long-term emotional competence. Collectively, these interventions form a multidimensional framework that supports girls' emotional development across home, school, and therapeutic contexts, offering protective pathways against internalizing risk while promoting emotional authenticity, resilience, and well-being.

Policy and Practice Implications

Supporting the emotional development of female children requires systemic, multi-layered reforms that span educational institutions, family systems, mental health infrastructures, and media environments. At the level of schooling, gender-sensitive educational policies are essential to counteract entrenched norms that shape girls' emotional experiences. National education frameworks should mandate the integration of Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) across curricula, incorporate gender-responsive pedagogical designs, train teachers to recognize and address emotional needs, and implement policies that protect girls from relational aggression and school-based gender bias (UNESCO, 2021; OECD, 2015). Embedding such practices into formal policy not only enhances girls' emotional competencies but also promotes equitable and psychologically safe learning environments.

Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes

In addition to curriculum reforms, strengthening mental health resources in schools is critical. Educational institutions should be equipped with trained counselors, validated screening tools for identifying internalizing symptoms, and multi-tiered systems of support that enable early detection and intervention. Establishing clear referral pathways to mental health services is particularly important in contexts where girls' emotional distress may be overlooked or culturally normalized. Public health guidelines from the World Health Organization emphasize that early identification and timely intervention are vital components of preventive mental health care for children and adolescents, especially girls who face elevated risks for anxiety and depression (WHO, 2021).

Beyond schooling, family-level interventions are indispensable. Parental awareness programs should focus on emotion socialization practices, encouraging adults to model gender-equitable communication, validate emotional expression, and avoid reinforcing patterns of emotional suppression that disproportionately affect girls. Such initiatives are especially pressing in collectivistic societies, where rigid gender norms often dictate expectations around emotional restraint, obedience, and relational accommodation. Public health efforts that educate parents on the long-term consequences of emotional suppression and gendered emotional roles can help shift cultural patterns toward healthier emotional climates.

Finally, addressing media and technology environments is essential to mitigate emerging emotional risks. Policies must regulate gender stereotypes in media content, challenge the misrepresentation of female emotionality, and protect young girls from the pressures of digital culture, including appearance-related anxieties, social comparison, and emotional manipulation commonly amplified by social media (Coyne et al., 2016). Media literacy programs for children and parents, alongside regulatory efforts targeting gendered messaging in digital and broadcast content, can help cultivate healthier and more realistic emotional norms. Together, these policy and practice reforms form a comprehensive, systemic framework that promotes girls' emotional well-being and supports equitable developmental outcomes across diverse cultural contexts.

Future Research Directions

Although existing research has significantly advanced understanding of emotional development in girls, several critical gaps require further empirical attention. First, longitudinal trajectories remain insufficiently explored; long-term cohort studies are needed to trace how emotional awareness, regulation, and socio-emotional competencies evolve from early childhood through adolescence and into adulthood, particularly given the developmental shifts associated with puberty and social transitions. Second, current knowledge remains heavily Western-centric, highlighting the urgent need for culturally comparative research in Indian, South Asian, African, Middle Eastern, and other non-Western contexts where gender norms, emotional expectations, and caregiving practices differ substantially from those in Euro-American cultures. Third, future studies should more systematically investigate the neurobiological and hormonal underpinnings of girls' emotional development, including how pubertal timing, neurocognitive maturation, and endocrine changes interact with socialization processes to influence emotional awareness and vulnerability to internalizing problems. Fourth, given the rapid transformation of childhood social environments, updated research models must account for the influence of digital technologies—such as social media, online peer interaction, and gaming—on emotional socialization, self-perception, and emerging emotional norms among girls.

Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes

Finally, research on girls' emotional development must expand beyond cisgender populations to include transgender girls, non-binary youth, and gender-nonconforming children, whose emotional experiences and socialization contexts may differ in meaningful and underexamined ways. Such inclusive and culturally grounded research will be essential for developing comprehensive, equitable frameworks that support the emotional development of all girls across diverse global contexts.

CONCLUSION

Emotional awareness is a vital component of socio-emotional competence and a critical determinant of girls' mental health, academic performance, and interpersonal success. Girls demonstrate early strengths in emotional understanding due to neurobiological maturation, enriched emotional socialization, and relational engagement. However, gendered expectations across families, schools, and societies may constrain emotional expression, reinforce internalizing tendencies, and increase vulnerability to stress.

Cross-cultural evidence shows that emotional development in girls is profoundly shaped by sociocultural scripts, particularly in collectivistic societies such as India, where girls are often expected to be emotionally compliant and relationally accommodating. These norms produce both emotional strengths and emotional burdens.

Interventions—including SEL, play therapy, mindfulness, trauma-informed care, and parental emotion coaching—are empirically effective in supporting girls' emotional development. Policy-level changes and educational reforms are essential to create environments that promote resilience, autonomy, and emotional authenticity for female children.

Supporting girls' emotional development is not merely a developmental or educational objective—it is a societal imperative. Comprehensive, gender-sensitive, and culturally grounded frameworks are needed to ensure that girls' emotional competencies translate into long-term psychological well-being and equitable developmental outcomes.

REFERENCES

- Aznar, A., & Tenenbaum, H. R. (2014). Gender and age differences in parent-child emotion talk. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology, 33*(1), 148–155.
- Bear, G. G., Yang, C., Chen, D., He, X., Xie, J., & Huang, X. (2015). Differences in classroom behavior in China and the United States. *School Psychology Quarterly, 30*(3), 421–436.
- Bem, S. L. (1981). Gender schema theory: A cognitive account of sex typing. *Psychological Review, 88*(4), 354–364.
- Bhola, P., & Kapur, M. (2003). Child and adolescent mental health in India. *Journal of the Indian Medical Association, 101*(10), 598–602.
- Blair, C., & Raver, C. C. (2015). School readiness and self-regulation. *Annual Review of Psychology, 66*, 711–731.
- Brackett, M. A., Rivers, S. E., Reyes, M. R., & Salovey, P. (2012). Enhancing academic performance with the RULER program. *Learning and Individual Differences, 22*(2), 218–224.
- Brody, L., & Hall, J. (2008). Gender and emotion. In M. Lewis et al. (Eds.), *Handbook of emotions* (3rd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Bussey, K., & Bandura, A. (1999). Social cognitive theory of gender. *Psychological Review, 106*(4), 676–713.

Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes

- Campos, J. J., et al. (1983). Social referencing. *Child Development*, 54(1), 123–132.
- Chaplin, T. M. (2015). Gender and emotion expression. *Emotion Review*, 7(1), 14–21.
- Chaplin, T. M., & Aldao, A. (2013). Gender differences in emotion expression in children. *Psychological Bulletin*, 139(4), 735–765.
- Cole, P., Martin, S., & Dennis, T. (2004). Emotion regulation pathways. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55, 355–383.
- Coyne, S. M., et al. (2016). Media use and emotional outcomes in adolescents. *Developmental Psychology*, 52(12), 2050–2063.
- Crick, N. R., & Grotpeter, J. K. (1995). Relational aggression. *Child Development*, 66(3), 710–722.
- Denham, S. A., et al. (2003). Preschool emotional competence. *Child Development*, 74(1), 238–256.
- Durlak, J. A., et al. (2011). Meta-analysis of SEL programs. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432.
- Eisenberg, N., Cumberland, A., & Spinrad, T. (1998). Parental emotion socialization. *Psychological Inquiry*, 9(4), 241–273.
- Else-Quest, N. M., et al. (2006). Gender differences in temperament. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132, 33–72.
- Eisenberg, N., Fabes, R., & Spinrad, T. (2006). Prosocial development. *Handbook of Child Psychology*.
- Fivush, R., Brotman, M. A., Buckner, J. P., & Goodman, S. (2000). Gender differences in parent–child emotion narratives. *Sex Roles*, 42(3–4), 233–253.
- Gottman, J. M., Katz, L. F., & Hooven, C. (1996). Meta-emotion philosophy. *Child Development*, 67(1), 197–213.
- Graziano, P. A., et al. (2007). Emotion regulation and classroom readiness. *Journal of Early Childhood Research*, 5(1), 81–103.
- Halberstadt, A. G., et al. (2020). Emotion socialization across cultures. *Child Development Perspectives*, 14(2), 71–77.
- Hankin, B. L., et al. (2007). Depression gender differences in adolescence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133(5), 692–724.
- Hennelly, S. (2011). Mindfulness training for students. *Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology*, 10(3), 244–255.
- Hoffmann, S., Sawyer, A. T., Witt, A. A., & Oh, D. (2010). Meta-analysis of mindfulness-based therapy. *Depression and Anxiety*, 27(8), 739–749.
- Hyde, J. S., & Mezulis, A. H. (2020). Gender differences in depression. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 16, 75–100.
- Izard, C., et al. (1995). Basic emotions in infancy. *Psychological Review*, 102(3), 473–490.
- Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). Teacher social-emotional competence. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(1), 491–525.
- Landreth, G. (2012). *Play therapy: The art of the relationship*. Routledge.
- Leppänen, J. M., & Nelson, C. A. (2009). Neural processing of emotion. *Child Development*, 80(1), 128–143.
- MacCann, C., et al. (2020). Emotional intelligence and academic performance meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 146(2), 150–186.
- Markus, H. R., & Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self. *Psychological Review*, 98(2), 224–253.
- McClure, E. B. (2000). Sex differences in facial emotion recognition. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126, 424–453.
- McRae, K., et al. (2008). Gender differences in emotional regulation neural correlates. *NeuroImage*, 43(4), 903–909.
- Morris, A. S., et al. (2007). A model of emotion socialization. *Family Science Review*, 15, 1–24.

Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes

- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Girgus, J. S. (1994). Rumination and depression. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 103*, 20–28.
- OECD. (2015). *Skills for social progress: The power of social and emotional skills*. OECD Publishing.
- Perry, B. D., & Szalavitz, M. (2017). *The boy who was raised as a dog*. Basic Books.
- Pons, F., et al. (2004). Emotional competence development. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology, 1*(1), 127–152.
- Ray, D. (2011). Meta-analysis of play therapy outcomes. *Person-Centered & Experiential Psychotherapies, 10*(3), 210–228.
- Raver, C. C. (2002). Emotions and school readiness. *Early Education and Development, 13*(2), 159–185.
- Rose, A. J., & Rudolph, K. D. (2006). Gender differences in emotional responses to stress. *Psychological Bulletin, 132*(2), 95–131.
- Saarni, C. (1999). *The development of emotional competence*. Guilford Press.
- Sahoo, S., & Khess, C. R. J. (2010). Depression in Indian youth. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 52*(4), 357–365.
- Spinazzola, J., et al. (2018). Trauma-informed interventions. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 74*(7), 1234–1248.
- Southam-Gerow, M. A., & Kendall, P. C. (2002). Emotion regulation in children. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 9*(4), 443–454.
- Taylor, R. D., et al. (2017). Benefits of SEL. *Child Development, 88*(4), 1156–1171.
- Thompson, A. E., & Voyer, D. (2014). Sex differences in facial emotion recognition. *Psychological Bulletin, 140*(6), 143–164.
- Thompson, R. A. (2011). Emotion and emotion regulation. *Emotion Review, 3*(1), 53–61.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Gender-responsive education sector planning*.
- Verma, S., & Saraswathi, T. S. (2002). Adolescence in India. *Social Change, 32*(1), 1–20.
- Widen, S. C., & Russell, J. A. (2008). Children's understanding of emotion categories. *Developmental Psychology, 44*(3), 912–928.
- WHO. (2023). *Adolescent mental health*. World Health Organization.
- Zahn-Waxler, C., Shirtcliff, E., & Marceau, K. (2008). Gender and psychopathology. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 4*, 275–303.
- Zelazo, P. D., & Lyons, K. E. (2012). Mindfulness training in children. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 21*(4), 295–300.

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Kolathur, J. & Murugesan, S.K. (2026). Emotional Development in Girls: Gender Socialization, Developmental pathways, and Psychosocial Outcomes. *International Journal of Indian Psychology, 14*(1), 195-205. DIP:18.01.016.20261401, DOI:10.25215/1401.016