The International Journal of Indian Psychology
ISSN 2348-5396 (Online) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (Print)
Volume 14, Issue 1, January- March, 2026
DIP: 18.01.096.20261401, DOI: 10.25215/1401.096
https://www.ijip.in

Research Paper

Hope and Mental Health in Young Adult College Students: A Critical Examination

Shivangi Tiwari[1], Purnima Tiwari[2]*

ABSTRACT

Young adulthood and the college environment present significant developmental challenges – academic stress, identity transitions, and social pressures – that converge with mental health vulnerabilities (e.g., higher rates of anxiety and depression) in this age group. Snyder's Hope Theory, a cornerstone of positive psychology, offers a goal-oriented framework positing that hope (comprising agency and pathways thinking) is a protective psychological resource. This paper provides an in-depth review of Snyder's Hope Theory (its origins and components) and synthesizes empirical evidence linking hope to key mental health and academic outcomes (e.g., lower anxiety/depression, greater resilience, adaptive coping, and academic success) in college students. We then explore emerging research on neural and cognitive mechanisms of hope, highlighting prefrontal circuits and reward systems. Finally, we discuss evidence-based strategies for fostering hope in higher education (such as hope-focused interventions, CBT techniques, mentorship, and institutional programs) and conclude with directions for future research, including cross-cultural studies and longitudinal designs.

Keywords: *Hope, Mental Health, Young Adult College Students*

Late adolescence and early adulthood are inherently transitional periods marked by considerable physical, emotional, and social change. College students, often considered an "emerging adult" population, routinely report elevated stress and mental health challenges. For example, Barbayannis et al. (2022) note that developmental changes in late adolescence coincide with heightened stress levels. Surveys reflect this burden: a 2015 national assessment found that three out of four college students feel "very stressed," with one in five reporting stress-related suicidal ideation. Crucially, many psychiatric disorders (e.g. depression, anxiety, substance use) first manifest in this age range. Academic pressures (competitive coursework, performance expectations) compound these risks, as do financial and social adjustments (new independence, peer relations). In sum, the college years combine formidable developmental challenges and stressors, creating a context where protective psychological resources are especially critical.

Within this context, the positive psychology construct of hope has gained attention as a potential buffer. Whereas traditional approaches emphasize deficits, positive psychology

[1]Research Scholar, P.P.N. PG College, C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur.
[2]Research Scholar, P.P.N PG College, C.S.J.M University, Kanpur.
*Corresponding Author

Received: January 5, 2026; Revision Received: February 24, 2026; Accepted: February 28, 2026

looks at strengths and well-being. Hope, in particular, is seen as a motivational-cognitive resource: hopeful individuals set valued goals and believe they can find routes and muster the energy to reach them. In times of stress (e.g. academic setbacks), hope may help students reframe obstacles and maintain effort. Understanding hope's role in young adults is timely: it is hypothesized to foster resilience and guide effective coping under pressure. This paper examines Snyder's Hope Theory in depth, reviews empirical findings on hope's links to mental health (anxiety, depression, resilience, coping, subjective well-being) and academic functioning among college students, explores neural and psychological mechanisms of hope, and surveys interventions to strengthen hope in university settings. Throughout, we highlight both strengths of existing evidence and gaps needing further research.

Snyder's Hope Theory in Positive Psychology

The modern theory of hope was pioneered by Snyder and colleagues in the early 1990s. Snyder (2002) defines hope as "the perceived capability to derive pathways to desired goals, and motivate oneself via agency thinking to use those pathways." In this cognitive model, hope has three intertwined components: goals, pathways, and agency. Goals anchor the theory – they are personally valued end-states (short- or long-term) that give direction. Pathways thinking refers to the perceived ability to generate one or multiple workable plans or routes to achieve those goals. Agency thinking is the motivational component – the belief and energy to initiate and sustain movement along those paths toward the goals. High-hope individuals not only set clear goals but also brainstorm alternatives if obstacles emerge, and maintain confidence and commitment (agency) to pursue their plans. Snyder likened hope to a car with both a map (pathways) and fuel (agency); either aspect alone is insufficient, but together they drive goal pursuit.

Snyder traced the origins of his theory to observations in the 1980s: people naturally think in goal-related terms and describe motivations and routes to goals. His initial work contrasted "excusing" (self-justification for failure) with "hoping" (pursuing positive outcomes) and progressively crystallized into the formal hope theory by 1991. Snyder's model was explicitly aligned with the nascent positive psychology movement, emphasizing strengths and optimal functioning. As Snyder notes, hope consistently relates to better outcomes across domains including academics and psychological adjustment. Indeed, in the Handbook of Positive Psychology (2002), Snyder's two-component (agency-pathways) hope construct is treated as a fundamental positive trait along with optimism and self-efficacy.

Despite surface similarities, hope is conceptually distinct from related constructs. Unlike general optimism (a passive expectancy that good things will happen), hope focuses on active planning and motivation toward goals. For example, a hopeful student not only believes success is possible but also actively strategizes multiple ways to achieve success (say, by studying different ways or seeking help), whereas an optimistic student might simply feel "things will turn out fine" without elaborated plans. Similarly, hope differs from self-efficacy: Bandura's self-efficacy entails confidence in performing tasks for a given outcome, but does not explicitly include the multiplicity of routes or the motivational drive component. Snyder's framing – an iterative loop of agency and pathways – stresses dynamic, goal-directed cognition. Some critics note that early hope research was mechanistic, treating hope as linearly measurable; contemporary scholars argue for more nuanced or systems-based views (e.g. adding social factors). Nonetheless, Snyder's original two-factor model remains influential: it underpins the widely-used Adult Trait Hope Scale, which measures agency and pathways (each with items like "I can think of many ways to get out of a jam" for pathways, and "I energetically pursue my goals" for agency).

In sum, Snyder's Hope Theory situates hope as a cognitive-motivational construct centered on personal goals, strategy generation, and self-directed energy. It has been a staple of positive psychology's exploration of strengths that enable thriving. The next sections will examine how robust empirical research links this hope construct to important mental health and academic outcomes in college students.

Hope and Mental Health Outcomes in College Students

Empirical research consistently links higher hope to better mental health and well-being in young adults. In general, dispositional hope (the trait-like tendency to adopt hopeful thinking) correlates inversely with anxiety, depression, hopelessness, and stress, and positively with positive affect and life satisfaction. A recent systematic review found that hope (alongside resilience) plays a protective role in the mental health of college students: hopeful students reported lower stress, anxiety, and depression, and were less prone to academic burnout. Psychological strengths like hope strengthen coping; cultivating hope together with resilience and social support "is vital for flourishing" among students.

Hope appears to aid emotional regulation during stress. Higher-hope students tend to appraise stressful events as more manageable and use adaptive coping (e.g. problem-solving, planning, seeking support) more often. For instance, Shan and Xu (2025) showed that hope predicted greater use of adaptive coping strategies among undergraduates, which in turn led to improved "peace of mind" – a state of emotional calm and clarity. Their qualitative data underscored that hope and academic thriving contribute to students' ability to remain balanced under pressure. In practical terms, hopeful students are more likely to break large problems into small steps and feel confident tackling them, which reduces helplessness and anxiety.

Multiple correlational studies echo this pattern. Hope negatively correlates with depression and anxiety scales (Snyder et al., 1991; Snyder, 2002) and even with suicidal ideation (lower hope predicts higher suicide risk). Gallagher and Lopez (2018) and others report that hope-oriented cognitive restructuring interventions decrease hopelessness and depression symptoms (in clinical and subclinical samples), while boosting measures of well-being and meaning in life. Moreover, comparisons indicate that hope often predicts positive mental health above and beyond optimism and self-efficacy. In other words, two people may be equally optimistic, but the one who also has concrete pathways and agency thinking to reach goals usually exhibits greater life satisfaction and lower distress.

In college samples specifically, studies have found consistent hope–mental health links. Griggs and Crawford (2019) reported that freshman women scored lower in hope and emotional well-being than men, hinting at group differences that could inform tailored interventions. Investigations of positive psychological capital (Hope, Self-efficacy, Optimism, Resilience) show that hope is a key element in buffering stress. For example, hope significantly predicted declines in social anxiety and loneliness in student groups undergoing stress. While many studies focus on general well-being, the emerging pattern is clear: Hope operates as a psychological resource that mitigates negative affect and amplifies positive coping in young adults.

It is important to note, however, that most evidence is correlational. Few studies are longitudinal or experimental in college contexts (one exception is the Feldman & Dreher hope intervention discussed below). Nonetheless, by theory and by cross-sectional associations, hope appears allied with resilience – the capacity to bounce back from

difficulties. Researchers often distinguish hope from resilience: hope is goal-directed (anticipating a preferred future), whereas resilience emphasizes enduring and adapting even without a clear roadmap. In practice, both foster a future orientation, but resilience might come into play more when situations are unpredictable. Cultivating both hope and resilience (which overlap) emerges as a recommendation in student counseling literature.

Neuropsychological Mechanisms of Hope

Although hope is primarily conceptualized cognitively, neuroscientists have begun probing its biological correlates. Preliminary neuroimaging evidence links hope to networks in the frontal cortex, the brain's centre for planning and motivation. In a pioneering study using resting-state fMRI, higher trait hope (measured by Snyder's scale) was associated with lower spontaneous activity in the bilateral medial orbitofrontal cortex (mOFC). The mOFC, part of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex, is critical for reward valuation and decision-making. The finding suggests that hopeful individuals may require less reactive "noise" in this region to maintain motivation, or alternately that efficient OFC functioning underlies confident goal pursuit. Similarly, agency and pathways subscales each independently correlated with reduced OFC resting activation, pointing to a frontal signature of goal-oriented cognition.

Structural MRI studies corroborate the frontal involvement. Wang et al. (2020) found that greater hope scores predicted larger gray-matter volume in the left supplementary motor area (SMA) – a dorsomedial frontal region bridging prefrontal planning areas and motor execution. The SMA is known to help convert cognitive plans into actions, again consistent with Snyder's view of hope as translating intentions into pursuit. (Interestingly, the SMA finding held for overall hope but not individual subscales, suggesting holistic hope capacity relates to brain structure.) Taken together, these studies implicate the prefrontal network – especially OFC and SMA – in the biology of hope.

The dopamine system is another promising link. Although direct studies of neurotransmitters in hope are sparse, research on optimism and positive expectancy implicates dopamine (the brain's reward/motivation chemical). In one study, raising dopaminergic activity pharmacologically made people's beliefs about future positive events even more optimistic. By analogy, dopaminergic tone could plausibly enhance agency (drive) and positive expectancy (a component of hope). Furthermore, PFC regions project to dopaminergic midbrain pathways, so a strong goal-driven cortical network could engage reward anticipation circuits, reinforcing hope and effort.

Beyond brain areas, emotional regulation processes are likely involved. Prefrontal cortex (including ventromedial and dorsolateral regions) is critical for top-down modulation of limbic emotions (fear, sadness, etc.). A hopeful mindset may recruit these control circuits to reappraise challenges as surmountable, thereby reducing anxiety. Indeed, Snyder posited that hope inherently involves belief in solutions, which would buffer the stress response. In short, while research is nascent, existing data suggest a model where frontal executive and reward networks support hope: they allow college students to generate strategies (pathways), maintain motivation (agency), regulate emotions, and anticipate rewarding outcomes. More neuroscience is needed, but current findings give a preliminary picture linking hope to prefrontal efficiency and dopaminergic motivation.

Hope and Academic Functioning

Hope's relevance to academic success in college has been extensively studied. Conceptually, college achievement is often a valued goal for students. Snyder's theory predicts that hopeful thinking – believing one can find ways to achieve academic goals and feeling motivated to do so – should translate into adaptive study behaviors and better performance. Empirically, numerous studies support this. In a longitudinal design, Snyder et al. (2002) found that freshmen with higher hope scores ended up with significantly higher GPAs six years later, controlling for initial performance. Hope (measured upon college entry) correlated positively with mean GPA ($r \approx .21$) and predicted upward GPA changes over time.

A key demonstration of hope's unique contribution comes from Day et al. (2010). In a sample of British undergraduates, hope (trait scale) was assessed along with general intelligence, personality traits, prior achievement, and divergent thinking. Remarkably, hope emerged as a unique predictor of final degree scores: even after statistically accounting for intelligence, personality, and previous grades, students with higher hope earned better final GPAs. As Day et al. conclude, this suggests that beyond raw ability or conscientiousness, a hopeful outlook on goals adds incremental value to academic outcomes. In practical terms, two students of equal aptitude may diverge in success based on differences in hope: the one who perseveres and devises plans for reaching academic goals tends to attain more.

Other studies echo these results. Curry et al. (1997) found hope predicted end-of-semester grades among student athletes. Ciarrochi et al. (2007) and others replicated hope–achievement links both as an isolated trait and as part of broader "positive thinking" factors (with optimism/self-esteem). Notably, research has sought to parse which hope facet matters more. Some work suggests agency (confidence in goal attainment) may boost motivation and thus effort (e.g., turning in assignments), whereas pathways (problem-solving capacity) may help students adapt study strategies or seek help. Studies by Day & Maltby (2005) found differences: agency and pathways sometimes showed different strengths of association with academic goals. This indicates that interventions might target building either element; for example, strengthening students' problem-solving skills (pathways) or bolstering their self-motivation (agency) depending on their needs.

Evidence also links hope to broader academic-related attitudes: hopeful students report more academic engagement, persistence, and adaptive responses to failure. For instance, when facing a poor grade, higher hope students are more likely to seek tutoring or revise their study plan rather than give up. By contrast, low-hope students may withdraw or catastrophize setbacks. Therefore, hope influences both performance metrics (GPA) and the psychosocial components of learning (motivation, coping with stress). Taken together, the literature indicates that fostering hope among college students may have a meaningful payoff in academic functioning.

Strategies to Foster Hope in College Environments

Given these links, many scholars and practitioners advocate intentional hope-enhancement efforts on campus. A variety of strategies, grounded in positive psychology and cognitive-behavioral principles, have shown promise for increasing students' hopefulness. Below we outline several evidence-based approaches:

Hope-Focused Interventions: Short goal-setting workshops can boost hope. Feldman and Dreher (2012) tested a single 90-minute "hope intervention" with college students, which

involved identifying personal goals, generating multiple pathways, and imagining enactment. Compared to relaxation or no-contact controls, the hope workshop significantly increased students' self-reported hope, life purpose, and sense of calling. Participants also made more progress toward a chosen goal in the following weeks. This demonstrates hope's malleability: even brief, structured interventions (often termed "hope therapy") can instill more hopeful thinking. Other research (e.g., Cheavens et al., 2006) similarly finds that multi-session hope-based counseling can enhance positive strengths and reduce distress. In practice, colleges might integrate hope modules into orientation, first-year seminars, or counseling center offerings, teaching students concrete techniques (e.g. self-talk boosters, mental rehearsal of goal achievement).

Cognitive-Behavioral Strategies: CBT techniques align naturally with building pathways and agency. By helping students challenge limiting beliefs and rehearse optimistic self-talk, clinicians can increase hopeful cognition. In a large trial, transdiagnostic CBT for anxiety was shown to significantly raise patients' hope levels compared to a waitlist. Notably, increases in hope mediated reductions in anxiety during therapy. This suggests that cognitive restructuring (e.g. reframing "I'm hopeless to succeed" into "I can find a way to improve") directly fosters the agency and positive expectancy facets of hope. Workshops or therapy groups that guide students through identifying goals, listing multiple approaches, and boosting confidence in their abilities can be seen as applying Snyder's theory in practice. For example, a counselor might use role-play or imagery, having a student visualize achieving a goal step-by-step, thereby strengthening neural pathways for positive expectancies. These cognitive-behavioral practices have empirical backing: CBT-based hope interventions reduce hopelessness and depression, and increase motivation.

Peer Mentorship and Social Support: Hope does not develop in isolation. Supportive relationships can enhance students' motivation and goal outlook. Peer mentoring programs, where older students coach first-years on time management and coping, implicitly communicate a message of possible success, thereby cultivating hope. Research in youth mentoring shows that high-quality mentor relationships improve social skills, school engagement, and attitudes toward the future. On college campuses, faculty advisors and living-learning communities that emphasize personal development can similarly nurture hope. Although direct experimental studies are limited, practitioners note that "bonding with others" and sharing success stories are effective hope-promoters (so-called hope contagion). For instance, group activities where peers set goals together and brainstorm obstacles can collectively reinforce the belief that there are many routes to achievement.

Growth Mindset and Resilience Training: Institutional educational programs can reinforce hope-related beliefs. Teaching a growth mindset (the understanding that abilities can be developed) complements hope by framing challenges as surmountable and promoting effortful pathways. For example, a seminar on learning strategies that emphasizes effort and strategy use (rather than innate talent) implicitly boosts agency: students learn that persistence pays off. Likewise, resilience-building workshops (e.g. stress inoculation training, mindfulness practice) equip students to handle failures without losing motivation. Schemes like "academic coaching" or "success counseling" often include components of goal planning and coping skills, all of which align with pathways and agency enhancement. While specific outcome data on such programs are mixed, a consensus in education research suggests that combining skill development (e.g. study skills, time management) with motivational support yields better academic and well-being outcomes, a synergy that presumably raises hope.

Educational and Policy Supports: Colleges themselves can structure environments to sustain hope. This might involve setting realistic academic expectations and providing clear information about opportunities (e.g. degree requirements, career pathways). Administrative actions – like ensuring accessible advising, transparent grading rubrics, and visible success stories – signal to students that goals are attainable and guidance is available. Mental health literacy campaigns that include hopeful messaging (e.g. highlighting recovery stories, emphasizing each student's potential) can shift the campus culture. For example, the "HOPE" online community (Helping One student Pursue Education) in one study increased students' use of mental health resources by framing help-seeking as a path to improved outcomes. Although such programs are still emerging, integrating hope-oriented language into institutional communications (orientation talks, newsletters, mentoring syllabi) is a low-cost way to model hope.

In summary, fostering hope in higher education involves multi-level interventions: individual (CBT, coaching), interpersonal (mentoring, peer programs), and organizational (policy, curriculum). Early evidence shows these approaches can elevate hope scores and promote coping and performance. Importantly, interventions should be tailored: some students may benefit from cognitive skill-building, while others need social encouragement. The common element is guiding students to articulate meaningful goals and believe in concrete strategies to reach them. As hope is both a trait and a dynamic process, these interventions often aim at building a habit of hopeful thinking (e.g. through goal journals, recurring check-ins, or cognitive reframing exercises).

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This review has underscored the pivotal role of hope for college students' mental health and academic success. Snyder's Hope Theory provides a coherent framework: when students feel motivated (agency) and can envision multiple strategies (pathways), they exhibit better resilience, coping, and goal attainment. Empirical studies consistently find that higher hope in students correlates with lower anxiety and depression, greater emotional well-being, and enhanced academic performance. Neuroscientific research, though nascent, aligns with this picture by implicating prefrontal brain networks and dopaminergic reward systems in hopeful cognition. Practically, a range of interventions – from brief hope-enhancement workshops to CBT-based counseling and institutional mentoring – show promise in cultivating students' hopefulness.

Despite these advances, important gaps remain. Much of the evidence to date is correlational or cross-sectional; more rigorous longitudinal and experimental studies are needed to confirm causal effects of hope on outcomes. For instance, large-scale trials testing whether deliberate enhancement of hope (vs. control interventions) leads to sustained mental health improvements in diverse student populations would be valuable. Cultural factors also warrant attention: most research has been in Western contexts (e.g. U.S., U.K., China); how hope is expressed and fostered in non-Western or underrepresented student groups is an open question. Neurobiologically, the hope literature is just beginning – more work should examine how interventions change brain function, and whether genetic or environmental factors moderate these effects. Methodologically, integrating qualitative approaches (students' own narratives of hope) with quantitative scales could deepen understanding of how hope evolves during college.

Future research should also explore mechanisms and boundary conditions. For example, how does hope interact with other positive constructs like gratitude or meaning? Can hope

be too high or unrealistic in ways that backfire? Additionally, investigation into how hope operates in students with different challenges (e.g. learning disabilities, chronic illness, or economic hardship) would inform equity-oriented strategies. From a practical standpoint, developing standardized protocols for "hope education" (akin to resilience training programs) and testing their scalability across campuses would bridge research and practice.

In conclusion, hope emerges as a multifaceted resource for young adults navigating the stresses of higher education. By systematically nurturing goal-directed agency and planning, colleges can potentially buffer psychological distress and promote flourishing. Embracing hope in student life – both conceptually and institutionally – may help students not only survive college challenges, but to thrive beyond them.

REFERENCES

Cheavens, J. S., Feldman, D. B., Gum, A., Michael, S. T., & Snyder, C. R. (2005). Hope therapy in a community sample: A pilot investigation. Social Indicators Research, 77(1), 61–78.

Day, L., Hanson, K., Maltby, J., Proctor, C., & Wood, A. (2010). Hope uniquely predicts objective academic achievement above intelligence, personality, and previous academic achievement. Journal of Research in Personality, 44(4), 550–553.

Gallagher, M. W., & Lopez, S. J. (2009). Positive expectancies and mental health: Identifying the unique contributions of hope and optimism. Journal of Positive Psychology, 4(6), 548–556.

Gilman, R., Dooley, J., & Florell, D. (2015). The role of hope in academic and psychosocial functioning. Psychology in the Schools, 43(7), 673–682.

Snyder, C. R. (2002). Hope theory: Rainbows in the mind. Psychological Inquiry, 13(4), 249–275.

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Tiwari, S. & Tiwari, P. (2026). Hope and Mental Health in Young Adult College Students: A Critical Examination. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *14*(1), 983-990. DIP:18.01.096.20261401, DOI:10.25215/1401.096