

From Petty Crimes to Heinous Acts: A Psycho-Social Perspective

Dr. Basant Lal Sharma^{1*}

ABSTRACT

This study explores the psycho-social factors influencing the commission of both petty and heinous crimes, emphasizing the interaction between individual psychological traits and social environments. Drawing upon criminological literature, psychological theories, case studies, and field data, the research examines how cognitive patterns, personality disorders, socio-economic stressors, peer influence, and family background contribute to criminal behaviour. Results indicate that petty crimes are frequently associated with impulsivity and situational pressures, whereas heinous crimes often involve deeper psychological disturbances and long-term social marginalization. Importantly, the findings suggest a continuum in criminal behaviour rather than a strict dichotomy. The study highlights the necessity of early psychological intervention, community-based prevention strategies, and individualized rehabilitation. By integrating psychological and sociological perspectives, this research provides a comprehensive understanding of criminal motivation and supports the development of effective, multidimensional policies. A multidisciplinary approach is essential to addressing both personal and structural determinants of crime.

Keywords: *Crime, Psycho-social factors, Petty crime, Heinous crime, Psychological Traits, Offender Motivation, Rehabilitation and Prevention*

Crime remains a persistent challenge across societies, manifesting in varied forms ranging from minor infractions to severe acts of violence. To comprehend the roots of criminal behaviour, it is essential to examine the **psycho-social factors** that shape individuals' propensity for deviance. These factors encompassing both **internal psychological traits** and external social influences play a pivotal role in shaping motivations and behavioural outcomes (Siegel, 2020). This study explores how such factors contribute to the spectrum of criminal activities, focusing specifically on the distinction and overlap between petty crime and heinous crime.

Petty crimes, such as shoplifting, vandalism, or disorderly conduct, are often situational, influenced by peer pressure, economic stress, or impulsivity (Andrews & Bonta, 2017). Conversely, **heinous crimes**, including homicide, rape, and armed assault, are more likely to stem from complex psychological dysfunctions, prolonged exposure to violence, or entrenched social alienation. However, the dichotomy between petty and heinous offenses is not always clear-cut. Research suggests that **offender motivation** frequently exists along a

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Dr. R. M. L. S. College, Muzaffarpur

*Corresponding Author

behavioural continuum, where overlapping psycho-social conditions give rise to varying degrees of criminal severity (Farrington et al., 2012).

This investigation seeks to deepen the understanding of these motivational patterns through an integrative lens, drawing from criminology, psychology, and social science literature. By identifying recurring themes in both crime categories, the study underscores the need for **comprehensive rehabilitation and prevention strategies** that address root causes rather than symptoms. These include early psychological interventions, social support mechanisms, and educational programs aimed at reducing recidivism and promoting social reintegration.

This research thus contributes to the broader discourse on crime causation, highlighting the need for nuanced, multidisciplinary frameworks that address both psychological and sociological dimensions. It lays the groundwork for developing informed policies and practices aimed at curbing criminal behaviour and fostering safer, more inclusive societies.

Definitions:

- *“Crimes as a social injury are thus subjective (expression of opinion) as well as relative (varying) in time and space.” – D. R. Taft.*
- *“Some acts or omissions in respect of which legal punishment may be inflicted in the person who is in default whether by acting or omitting to act.” – Pari Purna Nand Verma.*
- *“In principle crimes are acts that the considered by those in authority to be sufficiently endemical to the general welfare as to warrant official interdiction and punishment.” – Albert Morris.*

The definition of crime remains ambiguous, posing challenges in formulating a psychologically sound and constructive criminal policy. **Clarence Darrow** defined crime as *“an act forbidden by the law of the land, serious enough to warrant penalties.”* Despite its complexity, this reflects the difficulty of defining crime as a behavioural expression of hostility toward society. **Parkins** viewed crime as *“any social harm defined and punishable by law,”* while **Quinney** considered it *“a legal category assigned to conduct by authorized agents of a politically organized society.”*

Objectives:

- To identify key psychological traits linked to criminal behaviour.
- To explore social conditions that foster minor and major crimes.
- To compare psycho-social profiles of petty criminals and notorious offenders.
- To propose interventions based on psycho-social insights.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Existing literature highlights the multifaceted nature of crime, emphasizing the intersection of psychological, social, and environmental factors. Scholars such as **Andrews and Bonta (2017)** emphasize that criminal behaviour often stems from cognitive distortions, impulsivity, and personality disorders, which interact with external influences like peer pressure, poverty, and family dysfunction. **Farrington et al. (2012)** support this view, arguing that early life experiences and social learning play critical roles in shaping criminal tendencies.

From Petty Crimes to Heinous Acts: A Psycho-Social Perspective

The distinction between petty and heinous crimes has also been examined, with studies suggesting that while petty crimes may result from immediate situational triggers, heinous crimes often involve deeper psychological and emotional disturbances (Siegel, 2020). Past research has identified multiple contributing factors to criminality:

- **Psychological Factors:** Low impulse control, antisocial personality disorder, trauma, and aggression (Eysenck, 1996).
- **Social Factors:** Poverty, lack of education, peer pressure, and dysfunctional family background (Shaw & McKay, 1942; Merton, 1957).

Recent research on youth aggression highlights the influence of social context and personality in shaping violent behaviour. A 2024 study on male juvenile delinquents found that displaced aggression significantly increased in the presence of highly reactive bystanders, suggesting that peer dynamics serve as critical external triggers for violent responses.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A well-defined and representative sample is essential for producing meaningful and generalizable research findings. The present study focused on identifying psycho-social factors influencing criminal behaviour among incarcerated individuals. The sample comprised **200 adult male inmates**, selected through **purposive sampling** from Patna Central Jail, Bihar. This facility houses a diverse population, including under-trial prisoners, convicted offenders, female inmates, and juvenile delinquents. However, to maintain methodological consistency, only adult male offenders were included. *Female and juvenile inmates were excluded* due to significant differences in psychological profiles, legal treatment, and rehabilitative processes.

Research Design: Qualitative and quantitative (mixed-methods) approach.

Sample: 200 convicted individuals from correctional institutions, split evenly between those convicted of petty crimes and those of heinous crimes.

Tools Used: Psychometric tools, including the Aggression Questionnaire (Buss & Perry, 1992) and Eysenck Personality Inventory (EPI), to assess personality traits and behavioural tendencies.

- A **mixed-methods** approach was employed, combining quantitative and qualitative tools to gather in-depth information. Data collection involved:
- **Structured interviews**, focusing on family background, educational history, peer relationships, and socio-economic conditions.
- **Observation techniques** within the prison environment to assess social interactions and responses to stress or authority.

Hypothesis:

This study aims to explore the relationship between psycho-social variables and the tendency to commit criminal acts. The following hypotheses were formulated:

- There is a significant relationship between psychological traits (e.g., aggression, impulsivity, emotional instability) and the severity of criminal behaviour (petty vs. heinous crimes).
- Social factors such as family background, educational level, peer influence, and socio-economic status significantly contribute to the motivation for committing crimes.

From Petty Crimes to Heinous Acts: A Psycho-Social Perspective

- Criminal offenders with higher levels of cognitive distortion and emotional maladjustment are more likely to be involved in violent or heinous crimes.
- Inmates exposed to adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and dysfunctional family environments show a higher incidence of recurring criminal behaviour.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data collected were analysed to examine the relationship between psychological traits, social background, and the severity of criminal behaviour. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and comparative means testing were used to explore the psycho-social dimensions of petty and heinous crimes.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 200)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Age	18–25	60	30%
	26–35	75	37.5%
	36 and above	65	32.5%
Education	Illiterate	40	20%
	Primary	80	40%
	Secondary & above	80	40%
Socio-eco status	Low	130	65%
	Middle	60	30%
	High	10	05%

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the 200 male inmates selected for the study. The majority of participants were between the ages of 26 and 35 years (37.5%), followed by those aged 18 to 25 years (30%), and 36 years and above (32.5%). This distribution indicates that the majority of offenders were young adults, an age group commonly associated with higher risk-taking and impulsive behaviour, consistent with existing literature (**Farrington et al., 2012**).

In terms of educational background, 40% of the respondents had only primary education, while another 40% had attained secondary education or higher. A notable 20% were illiterate, reflecting a potential correlation between low educational attainment and criminal involvement.

Regarding socio-economic status, a significant proportion of the inmates (65%) came from low-income backgrounds, while 30% were from middle-income groups, and only 5% belonged to high-income households. This finding supports the hypothesis that individuals from economically disadvantaged backgrounds are more likely to engage in criminal behaviour due to limited access to resources, opportunities, and social mobility (**Andrews & Bonta, 2017**).

These demographic patterns provide a foundational context for understanding the psycho-social dynamics that influence criminal behaviour and support the study's focus on the intersection of psychological traits and social environment.

Table 2: Psychological Traits by Crime Type

Trait (Scale)	Petty Crime (n=110)	Heinous Crime (n=90)	t- value	p- value
Aggression Score	61.3	75.8	4.52	< 0.001
Impulsivity (EPI)	58.7	73.1	3.94	< 0.01
Neuroticism (EPI)	55.2	69.6	3.76	< 0.01

Table 2 compares the mean scores of key psychological traits—aggression, impulsivity, and neuroticism between offenders involved in petty crimes (n = 110) and those involved in heinous crimes (n = 90). The results indicate statistically significant differences across all three traits.

Participants convicted of heinous crimes scored significantly higher on the Aggression Scale (M = 75.8, SD not reported) than those involved in petty crimes (M = 61.3), $t(198) = 4.52$, $p < .001$. This suggests that elevated aggression is strongly associated with the commission of more violent and serious offenses.

Similarly, impulsivity scores, as measured by the Eysenck Personality Inventory (EPI), were significantly higher in the heinous crime group (M = 73.1) compared to the petty crime group (M = 58.7), $t(198) = 3.94$, $p < .01$. High impulsivity is a well-established risk factor for poor decision-making and disregard for consequences, which can escalate the severity of criminal behaviour (Moeller et al., 2001).

The neuroticism scores also showed a significant difference between the two groups, with heinous offenders scoring higher (M = 69.6) than petty offenders (M = 55.2), $t(198) = 3.76$, $p < .01$. This finding suggests that emotional instability and heightened sensitivity to stress may contribute to violent tendencies.

Collectively, these results support the hypothesis that offenders involved in heinous crimes exhibit higher levels of maladaptive psychological traits, which may predispose them to more aggressive and antisocial behaviour. These findings are consistent with previous research emphasizing the role of personality traits in differentiating criminal typologies (Andrews & Bonta, 2017; Eysenck, 1996).

Table 3: Social Background and Criminal Severity

Variable	Petty Crime (%)	Heinous Crime (%)	Chi- square	p-value
Broken/Single-parent Home	45%	67%	8.21	< 0.01
Substance Use History	40%	70%	10.63	< 0.01
Exposure to Childhood Abuse	30%	61%	12.45	< 0.001

Table 3 presents a comparative analysis of selected social background variables among individuals involved in petty and heinous crimes, using Chi-square tests to assess statistical significance.

A significantly higher proportion of heinous crime offenders (67%) came from broken or single-parent homes, compared to 45% among petty offenders, $\chi^2(1, N = 200) = 8.21$, $p < .01$. This finding supports the notion that disrupted family environments are strongly associated with the development of more severe antisocial behaviour, potentially due to a

lack of emotional support, supervision, and social bonding during formative years (**Moffitt, 1993**).

Similarly, a substantial difference was observed in substance use history, with 70% of heinous offenders reporting previous substance abuse compared to 40% among petty offenders, $\chi^2(1, N = 200) = 10.63, p < .01$. Substance use has been frequently linked to impulsive behaviour, impaired judgment, and increased aggression, which may contribute to more violent criminal acts (**Boles & Miotto, 2003**).

Furthermore, exposure to childhood abuse was significantly more prevalent among heinous offenders (61%) than petty offenders (30%), $\chi^2(1, N = 200) = 12.45, p < .001$. Early traumatic experiences, such as physical or emotional abuse, are widely recognized as risk factors for later violent conduct and criminal recidivism (**Widom, 1989**).

These results underscore the critical role of early-life adversity and dysfunctional environments in shaping the trajectory of criminal behaviour. They provide empirical support for intervention strategies that address trauma, substance misuse, and family structure in crime prevention and rehabilitation programs.

CONCLUSION

This study explored the psycho-social factors influencing the commission of both petty and heinous crimes among incarcerated individuals in Patna Central Jail. The findings demonstrate that criminal behaviour particularly violent or heinous acts is not solely the result of legal violations but is deeply rooted in individual psychological traits and social-environmental conditions.

Offenders involved in heinous crimes exhibited significantly higher levels of aggression, impulsivity, and neuroticism, suggesting that maladaptive personality traits play a pivotal role in the escalation of criminal severity. Additionally, socio-environmental variables such as broken family structures, substance abuse, and exposure to childhood abuse were found to be more prevalent among individuals convicted of serious offenses, reinforcing the theory that early-life adversity and unstable social backgrounds contribute to criminal development.

The results highlight the importance of integrating psychological assessment and social history in both the prevention and rehabilitation of criminal behaviour. Effective intervention strategies must go beyond punitive measures and focus on therapeutic, educational, and social support systems, particularly for at-risk youth and marginalized populations. Furthermore, prison reform initiatives should incorporate trauma-informed care and mental health services to reduce recidivism and promote rehabilitation.

In conclusion, criminality should be understood as a multi-dimensional phenomenon, requiring a holistic approach that combines individual psychological treatment with systemic social reform. Future research could expand this analysis across gender, juvenile populations, and longitudinal outcomes to better inform criminal justice policy and psychosocial intervention.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, D. A., & Bonta, J. (2017). *The psychology of criminal conduct* (6th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315120385>
- Boles, S. M., & Miotto, K. (2003). Substance abuse and violence: A review of the literature. *Aggression and Violent Behaviour*, 8(2), 155–174. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-1789\(01\)00057-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-1789(01)00057-X)
- Eysenck, H. J. (1996). *Crime and personality* (3rd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203278144>
- Farrington, D. P., Tofi, M. M., & Coid, J. W. (2012). Development of offending from age 8 to 50 years: The Cambridge Study in Delinquent Development (CSDD). *European Journal of Criminology*, 6(1), 19–37. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1477370808098101>
- Moeller, F. G., Barratt, E. S., Dougherty, D. M., Schmitz, J. M., & Swann, A. C. (2001). Psychiatric aspects of impulsivity. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158(11), 1783–1793. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.158.11.1783>
- Moffitt, T. E. (1993). Adolescence-limited and life-course-persistent antisocial behavior: A developmental taxonomy. *Psychological Review*, 100(4), 674–701. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.100.4.674>
- Seema, S., Dr. Manju, & Manjeet. (2022). Role of peer pressure and aggression in juvenile delinquency. *International Journal of Education & Management Studies*, 12(3), 202–207.
- Sharma, B. L. (2020). Psycho-Social Factors Influencing Notorious and Trivial Criminal Behaviour in Personals. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*. p. 2465-2474
- Widom, C. S. (1989). Child abuse, neglect, and adult behavior: Research design and findings on criminality, violence, and child abuse. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 59(3), 355–367. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-0025.1989.tb01671.x>

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Sharma, B.L. (2026). From Petty Crimes to Heinous Acts: A Psycho-Social Perspective. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 14(1), 2920-2926. DIP:18.01.292.20261401, DOI:10.25215/1401.292