The International Journal of Indian Psychology
ISSN 2348-5396 (Online) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (Print)
Volume 14, Issue 4, October- December, 2026
DIP: 18.01.021.20261404, DOI: 10.25215/1404.021
https://www.ijip.in

The International Journal of INDIAN PSYCHOLOGY

Research Paper

Mental Health and the Millennium Development Goals: A Critical Exploration

Dr. Muniram[1], Dr. Amit[2]*

ABSTRACT

Mental health has long been absent from global development agendas, despite the substantial boost to the global disease burden and profound impact on the well-being of society and the economy. The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), which were adopted in 2000 to address crucial concerns such as insecurity, illness, and inequality, played an important role in motivating worldwide development efforts; nevertheless, mental health was noticeably excluded from its framework. Its absence hampered progress not only in treating mental disorders but also in achieving broader development goals, owing to the strong and bidirectional relationship between mental health and key development indicators such as education, productivity, maternal and child health, and poverty reduction. The present article investigates the conceptual and policy foundations for the exclusion of mental health from the MDGs, examines the consequences of this oversight for global mental health systems, and analyzes advocacy efforts that resulted in the explicit inclusion of mental health in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The study emphasizes the significance of incorporating mental health into global development frameworks and stresses the necessity of ongoing political commitment, sufficient funding, and cross-sectoral strategies to guarantee that mental health is acknowledged as a crucial element of sustainable and inclusive development by critically analyzing the MDG experience.

Keywords: *Mental Health, Millennium Developmental Goal, Sustainable Developmental Goal*

The establishment of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), a framework aimed at addressing humanity's most pressing issues, such as poverty, hunger, sickness, and inequality, marked a watershed moment in international cooperation (UN, 2000). While these eight goals successfully mobilized international efforts and resources toward specific development priorities, they were notable for what they omitted: mental health was conspicuously absent from the original MDG framework (Miranda & Patel, 2005). Given the enormous and growing global burden of mental diseases, which account for approximately 19% of years lived with disability worldwide, this absence was particularly surprising (Whiteford et al., 2013). The absence of mental health from the MDGs has now been recognized as a key error that hampered progress not only in addressing mental

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Government College for Women, Madlauda, Panipat
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, School of Health Sciences, Sushant University, Gurugram
*Corresponding Author

Received: March 28, 2026; Revision Received: October 10, 2026; Accepted: October 15, 2026

© 2026, Muniram, & Amit; licensee IJIP. This is an Open Access Research distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

diseases, but also in meeting the goals' larger health and development objectives (Votruba et al., 2014).

Growth and psychological wellness have a complex, reciprocal relationship. In addition to impeding educational attainment, economic productivity, and physical health, mental illnesses are strongly linked to socioeconomic variables such as poverty, gender disadvantage, and inequality (Lund et al., 2011). As Miranda and Patel (2005) argued in their foundational exploration of this relationship, achieving the MDGs required attention to mental health precisely because mental illness undermines the very capabilities—maternal health, child development, HIV treatment adherence, and economic participation—that the goals sought to strengthen. WHO's assertion that "there is no health without mental health" captured this fundamental interdependence and catalyzed efforts to integrate mental health into the global development discourse (World Health Organization [WHO], 2001).

The MDGs' exclusion of mental health had significant and quantifiable repercussions. The majority of African and Southeast Asian nations allocate less than 1% of their health budgets to mental health services, and less than 1% of all health aid goes toward mental health development (WHO, 2011). Despite the availability of affordable therapies, over 80% of individuals with serious mental disorders in low- and middle-income countries lack access to adequate treatment or care due to persistent underinvestment (Patel et al., 2018). The lack of policy windows and accountability mechanisms that MDG inclusion offered for other health priorities including HIV/AIDS, malaria, and maternal health was reflected in the treatment gap in addition to budget limitations (Tomlinson, 2013).

The transition from the Millennium Development Goals,also known as the MDGs to the SDGs (Sustainable Development Goals) in 2015 provided an opportunity to correct this historical omission and redefine mental health throughout the context of sustainable development. Unlike its predecessors, the SDGs expressly address mental health in Target 3.4, which aims to "promote mental health and well-being" as part of the noncommunicable disease agenda (United Nations, 2015). This inclusion was the result of persistent advocacy by researchers, clinicians, and civil society organizations who believed mental health was not just a health-care concern, but a cross-cutting development issue critical to poverty reduction, economic development, and social equity (Eaton et al. 2014).Initiatives such as the FundaMentalSDG campaign and the Movement for Global Mental Health were instrumental in advancing the position that no development framework could claim to be comprehensive while ignoring the mental health needs of hundreds of millions of people worldwide (Patel et al., 2018).

A fundamental shift in understanding is reflected in the SDGs' identification of mental health: mental diseases are intricately linked to the social, economic, and environmental circumstances that influence human development rather than being merely medical conditions to be treated separately (Lund et al., 2018). The lessons learned from the MDG era demonstrate that explicit inclusion in global development frameworks generates political attention, mobilizes resources, and creates accountability mechanisms that drive progress (Tomlinson, 2013). The remarkable gains achieved in HIV/AIDS and malaria under MDG 6-including declining mortality rates, expanded treatment access, and strengthened health systems-illustrate what becomes possible when a health issue is prioritized within the development agenda (UNAIDS, 2015). For mental health, which has languished in the shadows of global health priorities for decades, the pathway forward requires building on these lessons while addressing the unique challenges of stigma, human rights violations, and

health system integration that have historically marginalized mental health care (Lund et al., 2011).

This exploration examines the complex relationship between mental health and the Millennium Development Goals, analyzing why mental health was initially excluded, how advocates made the case for its inclusion, and what lessons the MDG experience offers for incorporating mental health into the contemporary sustainable development framework. By tracing this evolution, we can better understand both the progress achieved and the work that remains to certify that mental health is recognized not as a peripheral concern but as fundamental to the vision of development that leaves no one behind (Eaton et al., 2014).

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Over the last 20 years, the academic discussion about psychological health and the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) has shifted dramatically, indicating a growing recognition that mental health as a critical component of global development. This review examines the key contributions that have influenced our understanding of the relationship between mental illnesses and developmental outcomes, the consequences of leaving mental health out of the MDG framework, and the corpus of research that ultimately led to its incorporation into the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The research from a range of domains, including public health, psychiatry, health economics, and development studies, makes a compelling argument for adding mental health among global development goals.

Establishing the Link Between Mental Health

Miranda and Patel (2005) provided a most influential analyses linking mental health with the MDGs. Published in *PLoS Medicine*, their seminal work argued that mental disorders undermine progress toward multiple MDGs, particularly those related to maternal health, child mortality, and poverty reduction. It questioned the widely held belief that mental health issues were secondary to infectious diseases and economic growth objectives, arguing that mental health is important to development rather than incidental. Their analysis demonstrated how maternal depression compromises prenatal care and child nutrition (affecting MDGs 4 and 5), how cognitive impairment limits educational attainment (affecting MDG 2), and how mental disability perpetuates poverty cycles (affecting MDG 1). By offering an organized framework for comprehending the mechanisms by which mental health affects development outcomes, this seminal study sparked further research and advocacy initiatives.

The *World Health Report (2001)* introduced the foundational assertion that "there is no health without mental health," establishing mental well-being as a core component of overall health. This landmark publication represented the first major international effort to elevate mental health within the global health agenda, documenting the substantial burden of mental disorders worldwide and highlighting their systematic neglect in national health priorities. The report's effect spread beyond the immediate policy recommendations, providing a conceptual framework that subsequent researchers and advocates would use to argue for mental health's inclusion in development frameworks. By framing mental health as inseparable from physical health, the WHO challenged the artificial separation that had historically marginalized mental health services and research.

Quantifying the Burden: Epidemiological Evidence

From combined data of Global Burden of Disease Study (2010) and Whiteford et al. (2013) calculated the worldwide burden of cognition and drug use disorders in their thorough

analysis that was published in The Lancet. According to their findings, these psychological conditions are responsible for 183.9 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), or 7.4% of all DALYs worldwide and nearly one-fifth (18.9%) of all years spent with a disability This epidemiological evidence was crucial in underscoring the scale of neglect during the MDG era, as conditions affecting hundreds of millions of people remained absent from the primary framework guiding development assistance and health policy. The study's methodological rigor and comprehensive geographic coverage provided irrefutable evidence that mental disorders represent a leading cause of disability globally, particularly among working-age populations essential to economic development.

Kessler et al. (2009) examined the economic consequences of untreated mental disorders through the World Mental Health Surveys, linking mental illness to reduced workforce participation, absenteeism, and productivity losses. Their cross-national analysis revealed that mental conditions are related with huge productivity losses that much beyond the direct costs of treatment, with depression alone contributing for about 200 million missed workdays in the United States each year. These findings highlighted how untreated mental diseases directly impair the MDG framework's fundamental goals of poverty reduction and economic growth, making them particularly relevant to the development discussion. By quantifying the economic burden, this research countered arguments that mental health investments were unaffordable for low-income countries, instead framing them as economically rational interventions.

The Poverty-Mental Health Nexus

The Bulletin of the WHO released an organized review by Lund et al. (2011) that looked at the connection between common mental diseases and poverty in low- and middle-income nations. A continuous and strong association was counted between the frequency of common mental diseases and a number of poverty variables, such as low education, poor housing, food insecurity and financial stress, according to their review of 115 studies. Crucially, the review identified a bidirectional relationship wherein poverty increases vulnerability to mental disorders while mental disorders simultaneously perpetuate and deepen poverty through mechanisms including reduced productivity, catastrophic health expenditures, and social exclusion. This reciprocal relationship positioned mental health as central to MDG 1 (poverty eradication) and challenged development practitioners to address mental health as both a cause and consequence of poverty.

Prince et al. (2007), in their influential *Lancet* review "No health without mental health," examined mental health within the context of chronic disease and development. They argued that neglecting mental disorders weakens health systems and reduces the strength of interventions targeting both communicable and non-communicable diseases. Their analysis denoted that mental conditions are risk factors for, and consequences of, physical illnesses including HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes. The authors emphasized that integrated care models addressing the psycho-physical health is essential for effective health system strengthening - a goal implicit in MDG 6 and MDG 8. This work expanded the discourse beyond the direct burden of mental disorders to examine their interaction with other health priorities, strengthening the case for mental health inclusion.

Policy Analysis and Critique of the MDG Framework

Tomlinson (2013) critically assessed the sustainability of global mental health initiatives during the MDG period, concluding that the absence of explicit mental health targets limited political commitment and long-term financing. Published in *Global Mental Health*, this

analysis documented how mental health remained marginalized in development assistance despite growing evidence of its importance, receiving less than one percent of total development assistance for health. Tomlinson argued that the MDG framework's selective focus created perverse incentives, directing resources toward measurable targets while neglecting equally important but less visible health concerns. This critique contributed to growing recognition that global health frameworks must be comprehensive to address population health needs effectively.

Shiffman (2010), while not focused exclusively on mental health, provided important theoretical insights through his analysis of issue attention in global health. Examining newborn survival as a case study, he identified factors that determine whether health issues receive priority in global agendas, including credible indicators, effective advocacy coalitions, and clear policy alternatives. His framework helped explain why mental health remained excluded from the MDGs despite substantial burden: the absence of simple, measurable indicators comparable to those for HIV/AIDS or maternal mortality; fragmented advocacy networks; and perceptions that effective interventions were unavailable or unaffordable. Subsequent initiatives to create quantifiable mental health indicators and fortify international mental health advocacy coalitions were influenced by this work.

Evidence of Feasibility and Cost-Effectiveness

Patel et al. (2010) provided crucial evidence demonstrating the cost-effectiveness of mental health interventions in low-resource settings through their *Lancet* series on global mental health. Their analysis documented that depression and anxiety disorders can be effectively treated in primary care settings using task-sharing approaches, wherein non-specialist health workers deliver psychosocial interventions under specialist supervision. The cost per DALY averted for these interventions compared favorably with interventions for HIV/AIDS, malaria, and vaccine-preventable diseases—conditions that had received substantial MDG investment. The investigation clearly refuted the claim that mental health care is pricey or impracticable in underdeveloped nations, proving that evidence-based, scalable interventions exist and can be implemented into current health systems.

Saraceno et al. (2007) investigated the human rights consequences of ignoring mental health, arguing that removal from development objectives fosters stigma, discrimination, and social marginalization. The Lancet investigation found pervasive human rights violations against persons with mental diseases, including as institutionalization, insufficient care, physical restraint, and social marginalization. They contended that development frameworks must address mental health not only for health and economic reasons, but also as a basic human rights requirement. These human rights frameworks bolstered advocacy efforts by linking mental health to larger development goals such as equity, inclusiveness, and leaving no one behind.

Lessons from Success: The MDG 6 Experience

UNAIDS (2015) documented the remarkable success of MDG 6 in addressing HIV/AIDS, providing an indirect demonstration of how inclusion within the MDG framework mobilizes resources, accountability, and measurable progress. The report documented that between 2000 and 2015, new HIV infections fell by 35%, AIDS-related deaths declined by 42%, and antiretroviral treatment access expanded from under one million to over 15 million people. This success was attributable to sustained political commitment, unprecedented financial mobilization (reaching $20 billion annually), and robust accountability mechanisms including the establishment of UNAIDS, the Global Fund, and PEPFAR. The contrast with

mental health-where no comparable mobilization occurred-highlighted what becomes possible when a health issue is prioritized within global development frameworks and illustrated the opportunity costs of exclusion.

Baingana and Jenkins (2015) explicitly examined the lessons from the MDG era for global mental health, arguing that the HIV/AIDS experience demonstrates the transformative potential of focused global attention and resources. They noted that the institutional architecture developed for HIV/AIDS-including dedicated funding mechanisms, technical assistance structures, and civil society engagement-could serve as models for mental health while acknowledging counted differences between the two fields. Their analysis contributed to discussions about how mental health advocates could adapt successful strategies from other health movements while developing approaches suited to mental health's unique characteristics and challenges.

Transition to Sustainable Development Goals

Votruba et al. (2014) analyzed mental health's relevance to the post - 2015 development agenda in International Review of Psychiatry, emphasizing that the exclusion of psychological health from the MDGs delayed integration into broader health and social policies. They examined the political and advocacy processes leading to the SDG negotiations, documenting how psychological health advocates strategically framed their arguments to align with emerging sustainable development discourse. The authors emphasized that the transition to the SDGs represented a critical window of opportunity for rectifying historical omissions, requiring sustained advocacy, evidence synthesis, and coalition building.

Eaton et al. (2014), writing in *The Lancet Psychiatry*, presented a policy position statement advocating for mental health inclusion in global development frameworks. Their analysis highlighted mental health's cross-cutting relevance to education, gender equality, and economic productivity, arguing that sustainable development is unattainable without addressing the mental health needs of populations. The authors documented growing consensus among development agencies, governments, and civil society that psychological health must be included in post-2015 goals, reflecting both accumulated evidence and the advocacy efforts of organizations including the Movement for Global Mental Health and the Fundamental SDG initiative.

Lund et al. (2018) expanded the discussion by systematically reviewing social determinants of mental health in relation to the Sustainable Development Goals. Published in *World Psychiatry*, their analysis illustrated how lessons from the MDG era informed SDG integration, emphasizing that mental health is influenced by the full range of social, economic, and environmental factors addressed by the SDGs. They proposed a conceptual framework linking mental health to multiple SDG targets beyond health, including poverty reduction, education quality, gender equality, decent work, reduced inequalities, and peace and justice. This framework provided a roadmap for integrating mental health across the SDG agenda and measuring progress through relevant indicators.

Synthesis and Future Directions

Through the Lancet Commission on Global Mental Health and Sustainable Development, Patel et al. (2018) compiled decades' worth of data to make the case that prioritizing mental health is essential to achieving sustainable development. The comprehensive report integrated epidemiological, economic, social, and health systems evidence to present a

compelling case for transformative action. The Commission examined that mental health is inextricably related to all aspects of sustainable development, that effective interventions exist and can be scaled, and that mental diseases are among the main causes of disability worldwide. By framing mental health as fundamental to human capital formation, economic productivity, and social cohesion, the report provided the intellectual foundation for SDG implementation and reinforced critiques of the MDG framework's omissions.

CONCLUSION

The literature reviewed demonstrates a progressive evolution in understanding the connection between mental health and development. Early foundational work by Miranda and Patel (2005) and the WHO (2001) established the conceptual basis for linking mental health to development outcomes. Subsequent epidemiological research by Whiteford et al. (2013) and economic analyses by Kessler et al. (2009) quantified the burden and costs of neglect, while Lund et al. (2011) and Prince et al. (2007) elucidated mechanisms connect mental health to poverty and physical health. Policy critiques by Tomlinson (2013) and Shiffman (2010) explained why mental health remained marginalized, while cost-effectiveness research by Patel et al. (2010) and human rights analyses by Saraceno et al. (2007) demonstrated the feasibility and necessity of inclusion. The success of MDG 6 documented by UNAIDS (2015) provided a model for what becomes possible with focused attention, and analyses by Votruba et al. (2014), Eaton et al. (2014), and Lund et al. (2018) informed the successful integration of mental health into the SDGs. The *Lancet* Commission (Patel et al., 2018) synthesized this evidence to guide future action, ensuring that mental health is recognized not as a peripheral concern but as fundamental to the vision of development that leaves no one behind.

REFERENCES

Baingana, F., & Jenkins, R. (2015). Millennium development goals: Lessons for global mental health. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, *24*(5), 458-460.

Eaton, J., DeSilva, M., Regan, M., Lamichhane, J., & Thornicroft, G. (2014). There is no wealth without mental health. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, *1*(4), 252-253.

Eaton, J., Kakuma, R., Wright, A., Minas, H., Cohen, A., & Patel, V. (2014). A position statement on mental health in the post-2015 development agenda. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems, 8*(1), 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-4458-8-28

Kessler, R. C., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Chatterji, S., Lee, S., Ormel, J., ... & Wang, P. S. (2009). The global burden of mental disorders: an update from the WHO World Mental Health (WMH) surveys. *Epidemiology and psychiatric sciences*, *18*(1), 23-33.

Lund, C., Breen, A., Flisher, A. J., Kakuma, R., Corrigall, J., Joska, J. A., Swartz, L., & Patel, V. (2011). Poverty and common mental disorders in low and middle income countries: A systematic review. *Social Science & Medicine, 71*(3), 517–528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.04.027

Lund, C., Brooke-Sumner, C., Baingana, F., Baron, E. C., Breuer, E., Chandra, P., Haushofer, J., Herrman, H., Jordans, M., Kieling, C., Medina-Mora, M. E., Morgan, E., Omigbodun, O., Tol, W., Patel, V., & Saxena, S. (2018). Social determinants of mental disorders and the Sustainable Development Goals: A systematic review of reviews. *World Psychiatry*, *17*(1), 90-101.

Lund, C., De Silva, M., Plagerson, S., Cooper, S., Chisholm, D., Das, J., Knapp, M., & Patel, V. (2011). Poverty and mental disorders: Breaking the cycle in low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet*, *378*(9801), 1502-1514.

Miranda, J. J., & Patel, V. (2005). Achieving the Millennium Development Goals: Does mental health play a role? *PLoS Medicine*, *2*(10), e291.

Patel, V., Maj, M., Flisher, A. J., De Silva, M. J., Koschorke, M., Prince, M., & WPA Zonal and Member Society Representatives. (2010). Reducing the treatment gap for mental disorders: A WPA survey. *World Psychiatry*, *9*(3), 169-176.

Patel, V., Saxena, S., Lund, C., Thornicroft, G., Baingana, F., Bolton, P., Chisholm, D., Collins, P. Y., Cooper, J. L., Eaton, J., Herrman, H., Herzallah, M. M., Huang, Y., Jordans, M. J. D., Kleinman, A., Medina-Mora, M. E., Morgan, E., Niaz, U., Omigbodun, O., ... & UnÜtzer, J. (2018). The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. *The Lancet*, *392*(10157), 1553-1598. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31612-X

Prince, M., Patel, V., Saxena, S., Maj, M., Maselko, J., Phillips, M. R., & Rahman, A. (2007). No health without mental health. *The Lancet*, *370*(9590), 859-877.

Saraceno, B., van Ommeren, M., Batniji, R., Cohen, A., Gureje, O., Mahoney, J., Sridhar, D., & Underhill, C. (2007). Barriers to improvement of mental health services in low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet*, *370*(9593), 1164-1174.

Shiffman, J. (2010). Issue attention in global health: The case of newborn survival. *The Lancet*, *375*(9730), 2045-2049.

Tomlinson, M. (2013). Global mental health: A sustainable post-MDG future? *Global Mental Health*, *1*(1), 1-3.

Tomlinson, M. (2013). Global mental health: A sustainable post-Millennium Development Goal? *International Health, 5*(1), 1-3. https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihs012

UNAIDS. (2015). *How AIDS changed everything-MDG 6: 15 years, 15 lessons of hope from the AIDS response*. UNAIDS.

UNAIDS. (2015). *How AIDS changed everything: MDG 6: 15 years, 15 lessons of hope from the AIDS response*. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS.

United Nations. (2000). *United Nations Millennium Declaration*. United Nations.

United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. United Nations.

Votruba, N., Eaton, J., Prince, M., & Thornicroft, G. (2014). The importance of global mental health for the Sustainable Development Goals. *Journal of Mental Health, 23*(6), 283–286. https://doi.org/10.3109/09638237.2014.976857

Votruba, N., Eaton, J., Prince, M., & Thornicroft, G. (2014). The importance of global mental health for the Sustainable Development Goals. *International Review of Psychiatry*, *26*(4), 426-432.

Whiteford, H. A., Degenhardt, L., Rehm, J., Baxter, A. J., Ferrari, A. J., Erskine, H. E., Charlson, F. J., Norman, R. E., Flaxman, A. D., Johns, N., Burstein, R., Murray, C. J. L., & Vos, T. (2013). Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The Lancet*, *382*(9904), 1575-1586.

World Health Organization. (2001). *Mental health: New understanding, new hope* (World Health Report). WHO.

World Health Organization. (2011). *Mental health and development: Targeting people with mental health conditions as a vulnerable group*. WHO.

World Health Organization. (2011). *Mental health atlas 2011*. World Health Organization.

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest
The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Muniram, & Amit (2026). Mental Health and the Millennium Development Goals: A Critical Exploration. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *14*(4), 215-223. DIP:18.01.021.20261404, DOI:10.25215/1404.021