

Research Paper

Assertiveness and Psychological Well-Being: An Integrative Review of Theoretical Mechanisms, Empirical Findings and Intervention Research

Anubha Srivastava^{1*}

ABSTRACT

Background: Assertiveness is a modifiable interpersonal competence that is the confident, direct and respectful expression of thoughts, emotions, needs and personal rights. It has been associated with self-esteem, autonomy, emotional regulation, interpersonal effectiveness and mental health, but the evidence is fragmented across educational, clinical, organizational and community contexts. **Objective:** This integrative review summarizes theoretical accounts and empirical evidence of the relationship between assertiveness and psychological well-being, assesses the evidence for interventions, discusses methodological limitations and highlights priorities for future research and practice. **Methods:** The approach to this review is integrative and narrative and the literature represented in this manuscript is categorized into conceptual, theoretical, observational, longitudinal, experimental and intervention-based evidence. Particular attention is paid to Social Cognitive Theory, Self-Determination Theory, cognitive-behavioral approaches, emotional intelligence, Ryff's model of psychological well-being, Broaden-and-Build Theory and Conservation of Resources Theory. This paper is presented as an integrative review, rather than a systematic review, because the source manuscript did not document a reproducible database search. **Results:** Overall, higher assertiveness was associated with greater self-esteem, psychosocial maturity, autonomy, emotional regulation, relationship satisfaction, psychological flexibility and quality of life, and lower anxiety, depression, stress and interpersonal distress across populations. Intervention studies suggest that assertiveness can be improved through structured training, cognitive-behavioural techniques, problem-solving methods and digital delivery. However, cross-sectional designs, self-report measures, small samples, heterogeneous outcomes and culturally narrow evidence bases limit causal inference. **Conclusion:** Assertiveness seems to function as a psychological resource for well-being through intrapersonal, interpersonal and stress-buffering pathways. More rigorous longitudinal, cross-cultural and randomized studies are needed, especially studies directly assessing multidimensional psychological well-being and testing mediators and moderators.

Keywords: *Assertiveness, Psychological Well-Being, Mental Health, Self-Esteem, Emotional Regulation, Interpersonal Competence, Assertiveness Training*

¹Department of Psychology, Shri Agrasen Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author

Received: August 26, 2026; Revision Received: September 22, 2026; Accepted: September 28, 2026

© 2026, Srivastava, A.; licensee IJIP. This is an Open Access Research distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Modern psychology sees mental health as something more than the absence of disorder. Positive functioning is characterized by self-acceptance, meaningful relationships, autonomy, environmental mastery, purpose in life, personal growth, resilience and the ability to cope with the demands of everyday life. In this broader perspective, researchers have been more and more interested in modifiable psychological strengths that can serve as a buffer for mental health and a promoter of flourishing (Park et al., 2014; Ryff, 1989, 2014; World Health Organization, 2025).

One such strength is assertiveness. It means being able to say what you think, feel, want, need, and what your personal rights are clearly, confidently and respectfully while still respecting the rights of others (Alberti and Emmons, 2017). Assertiveness is not passivity, in which personal needs are repressed, nor aggression, in which self-expression is at the expense of, or in violation of, the rights of others. Healthy assertiveness, then, is a healthy form of interpersonal agency.

It remains a theoretical possibility that assertiveness may be important for psychological well-being. Assertiveness can lead to improved self-efficacy, autonomy, emotional regulation, boundary setting, relationship quality and constructive conflict management. In contrast, chronic non-assertiveness can lead to avoidance, fear of rejection, resentment, helplessness, chronic stress and low self-worth. There is a large body of literature but findings are spread across a number of different outcomes such as self-esteem, life satisfaction, psychosocial maturity, mental health, quality of life and Ryffian psychological well-being. Thus, a critical synthesis is needed.

The present integrative review has four aims: (a) to clarify the conceptual relationship between assertiveness and psychological well-being; (b) to synthesize key theoretical mechanisms; (c) to critically evaluate empirical and intervention evidence across settings; and (d) to highlight methodological gaps and future directions for research and application.

Review Approach

The present paper uses an integrative narrative review design. It integrates theoretical and empirical sources from the source manuscript including seminal works and studies published in educational, clinical, organizational, and community settings. The evidence base consists of studies of correlational, longitudinal, quasi-experimental, randomized, and pilot interventions.

The literature was categorized into five analytic domains: conceptual foundations, theoretical mechanisms, observational evidence, intervention evidence, and methodological limitations. The synthesis highlights convergence and divergence across studies, the difference between psychological well-being and related outcomes, and the strength of causal inference afforded by each design.

This review should not be considered as a systematic review or meta-analysis. The original manuscript did not detail the databases searched, the search strings, the dates of coverage, the screening procedures, the eligibility criteria, the duplicate removal, the risk-of-bias assessment, and a PRISMA flow diagram. These elements are required before claims of systematic reviews.

Conceptual Foundations

1. Assertiveness

Assertiveness is a multidimensional interpersonal competence, characterized by the clear and appropriate expression of one's thoughts, feelings, needs, preferences, and rights, and at the same time respecting the rights and perspectives of others. This includes verbal clarity, confident non-verbal behavior, emotional regulation, setting boundaries, refusal skills, making requests, respectfully disagreeing, and constructively managing interpersonal conflict. Contemporary views tend to conceptualize assertiveness as a construct that is more than just verbal self-expression and includes behavioral and emotional aspects of interpersonal functioning.

Assertiveness is learned and expressed through a variety of personal and contextual factors, including family experiences, educational and social environments, personality traits, gender-role expectations, opportunities for social learning, and broader cultural norms. For example, some recent qualitative research with Indian college students has indicated that interpersonal experiences, positive relationships, personal development, and social contexts may facilitate the development of assertive behavior.

Culture is particularly important as concepts of "appropriate assertiveness" in terms of behavior may differ across societies. Cross-cultural studies indicate that preferences for directness in communication are conditional on individual and cultural characteristics such as individualism-collectivism and concern for maintaining social face. Thus, assertiveness in more collectivistic contexts should not be equated per se with highly individualistic or confrontational self-assertion. Instead, it can be reflected in respectful directness, relational sensitivity, maintenance of interpersonal harmony, and communication that balances personal needs with obligations to significant others. Such culturally sensitive understanding is particularly pertinent when talking about assertiveness in cultures which place a strong emphasis on interpersonal interdependence and group harmony.

2. Psychological Well-Being

Ryff's (1989) model defines psychological well-being through six dimensions: self-acceptance, positive relations with others, autonomy, environmental mastery, purpose in life, and personal growth. This eudaimonic model is conceptually broader than subjective well-being, which focuses more directly on life satisfaction and affect. The distinction is important because many studies reviewed here measured related outcomes rather than the complete Ryff construct.

3. Conceptual Linkages

Assertiveness may foster self-acceptance by providing legitimacy to one's needs and perspectives; independence by facilitating independent decision-making; positive relations by promoting honest and respectful communication; environmental mastery by facilitating problem-solving and negotiation; purpose through the pursuit of goals consistent with one's values; and personal growth through repeated mastery of difficult interpersonal situations. These propositions offer a coherent basis for viewing assertiveness as a contributor to multidimensional psychological well-being.

Theoretical Mechanisms

1. Social Cognitive Theory

Social Cognitive Theory states that behavior, cognition and environment interact reciprocally, with self-efficacy at the core (**Bandura, 1986, 1997**). Positive assertive experiences might increase confidence in social situations. Thus, higher self-efficacy might reduce avoidance, increase coping, and improve psychological adjustment. This model predicts direct and indirect associations between assertiveness and well-being.

2. Self-Determination Theory

According to Self-Determination Theory, autonomy, competence and relatedness are basic psychological needs (**Deci and Ryan, 2000**). Assertiveness may support autonomy by allowing value-consistent choices, competence by facilitating interpersonal problem-solving, and relatedness by promoting honest communication without coercion. Hence need satisfaction offers a powerful explanatory link between assertiveness and well-being.

3. Cognitive-Behavioral Perspective

Dysfunctional beliefs such as excessive approval seeking, catastrophizing disagreement, or assuming that one's needs are unimportant often sustain low assertiveness. Assertiveness training challenges these beliefs through cognitive restructuring, rehearsal, graded exposure, modeling and behavioral practice. Reduced social avoidance and more adaptive beliefs may subsequently lead to improved mood and interpersonal functioning.

4. Emotional Intelligence

Assertiveness is an awareness of emotions, regulation of arousal, empathy for others, and proper expression of feelings. Thus, emotional intelligence may be a precursor to the development of assertiveness and/or a mediator or moderator of the relationship between assertiveness and well-being. However, these roles have not been systematically tested across the literature.

5. Positive Psychology and Ryff's Model

Positive psychology states that assertiveness is a strength that can lead to engagement, relationships, meaning, accomplishment, and positive emotion. Ryff's model, in turn, elaborates further on the domains through which such benefits may arise. Together these frameworks move the focus away from symptom reduction toward optimal functioning and human flourishing.

6. Broaden-and-Build and Conservation of Resources

The Broaden-and-Build Theory (**Fredrickson, 2001**) proposes that positive interpersonal experiences can widen cognitive and behavioral repertoires and develop lasting resources. According to **Hobfoll (1989)**, assertiveness can help to protect valued resources by facilitating boundary setting, help seeking, workload negotiation, and preventing interpersonal exploitation. These perspectives also account for the long-term protective effects of assertiveness.

Empirical Evidence

1. Observational and Longitudinal Findings

Initial studies associated assertiveness with psychosocial maturity and life satisfaction. **Goldman (1981)** found a positive relationship between assertiveness and psychosocial

maturity in college students. **Herringer (1998)** reported assertiveness as an important predictor of life satisfaction especially among men. **Sarkova et al. (2013)** found that in a sample of 1,023 adolescents, performance and distress related to assertiveness were related to psychological well-being and self-esteem.

Clinical and relational research also shows the relevance of assertiveness. **Hinnen et al. (2008)** reported its contribution to relationship adjustment in women with breast cancer. In a broader model of autonomy-connectedness and alexithymia, **Rutten et al. (2016)** found that lower assertiveness was related to anxiety and depression. In Parkinson's disease, **Buono et al. (2024)** found associations between assertiveness, mood symptoms and quality of life, and the possibility of bidirectional effects.

Recent research on emerging adults, medical students, and workplace samples has associated assertiveness with emotional regulation, lower levels of anxiety, empowerment, professional communication, and relational functioning. Many of these findings, however, are cross-sectional and the direction of association cannot be determined.

2. Intervention Evidence

Intervention research gives stronger (though still qualified) evidence that assertiveness can be modified. Assertiveness training was found to be effective in improving assertiveness, self-esteem and satisfaction with interpersonal communication in nursing and medical students (**Lin et al., 2004**). **Paezy and colleagues (2010)** reported that Iranian female secondary school students showed improvement in assertiveness, subjective well-being and academic achievement.

Studies that have trained nurses and health care students have shown benefits to confidence in communication, conflict resolution, and speaking-up behavior (**Kang et al., 2006, Nakamura et al., 2017, Hanson et al., 2020**). **Parray and Kumar (2022)** reported improvements in self-esteem, stress, academic achievement and psychological well-being among university students. **Golshiri et al. (2023)** applied a randomized design and found that combined problem-solving and assertiveness training improved self-esteem and general mental health in adolescent girls, but the unique role of assertiveness could not be assessed.

There is promise in digital and transdiagnostic approaches. Positive changes were found by **Hagberg et al. (2023)** after internet-delivered cognitive behavioral assertiveness training. **Ito et al. (2025)** found increased functional assertiveness, mindfulness, and psychological flexibility among employees returning to work after mental-health-related leave, but the absence of a control group limited the ability to draw causal conclusions. The intervention literature as a whole supports feasibility and potential efficacy, but larger trials with active controls and longer follow-up are needed.

3. Cross-Cultural and Contextual Considerations

It's a cultural thing to be assertive. Individualistic environments may encourage direct expression of oneself, whereas collectivistic cultures may emphasize hierarchy, harmony, and indirect communication. Evidence from South Asia indicates that assertiveness and empathy may be complementary professional skills. Research on sexual assertiveness and parental communication further shows that the meaning and consequences of assertiveness vary by relational context, gender norms, and power structures.

Assertiveness and Psychological Well-Being: An Integrative Review of Theoretical Mechanisms, Empirical Findings and Intervention Research

Therefore, high assertiveness scores based on Western measures should not be interpreted automatically as culturally optimal behavior. Contextful measures should distinguish respectful self-expression from confrontation, and should reflect local power, safety, and social sanctions.

Integrative Synthesis

The literature identifies three main pathways that link assertiveness to psychological well-being. First, an intrapersonal pathway works through self-efficacy, self-esteem, autonomy, emotional regulation and psychological flexibility. The second, interpersonal pathway works through clearer communication, healthier boundaries, constructive conflict management, social support, and relationship satisfaction. Third, there is a stress-buffering pathway involving decreased avoidance, helplessness, emotional suppression and susceptibility to social pressure.

These pathways reinforce each other. Better communication may lead to successful interpersonal experiences, which in turn strengthen self-efficacy and autonomy. Greater autonomy may help make it easier to set value-consistent goals. Healthier boundaries may help save emotional resources. But the evidence does not support a single, universal causal sequence. Psychological well-being may also lead individuals to behave more assertively, thereby rendering reciprocal and bidirectional models particularly plausible.

Methodological Limitations of the Evidence Base

Most studies are cross-sectional in nature which limits causal inference and temporal ordering;

- Self-report measures are subject to social desirability bias, namely common-method variance and shared response inclinations.
- Many of the samples were students or health care trainees, limiting generalizability of findings to elderly persons, rural regions, marginalized groups, and nonacademic populations.
- Significant heterogeneity in outcomes. Studies do not always clearly differentiate between the categories of mental health, psychological well-being, self-esteem, distress, life satisfaction and quality of life and often use the terms interchangeably.
- A lot of programs combine assertiveness with problem solving, cognitive restructuring, mindfulness, or other elements, thus it is hard to identify the effects of a specific treatment.
- Samples are often small and many studies do not include active control conditions, blinded assessment, pre-registration, or long-term follow-up.
- Despite considerable cultural implications on acceptable self-expression, cultural adaptability and measurement invariance are infrequently investigated.
- Potential mediators and moderators (e.g., emotional intelligence, resilience, self-compassion, gender, personality, social support, and organizational climate) are under-examined.

Directions for Future Research

- Investigate the reciprocal relationships between assertiveness and well-being using longitudinal cross-lagged and intensive repeated-measures designs.
- Conduct randomized controlled trials with adequate power and active comparison groups, component analyses, and long-term follow-up.

Assertiveness and Psychological Well-Being: An Integrative Review of Theoretical Mechanisms, Empirical Findings and Intervention Research

- Directly measure Ryff's six dimensions rather than just using related outcomes, such as self-esteem or general mental health.
- Testing of mediation through self-efficacy, autonomy-need satisfaction, emotional regulation, psychological flexibility and relationship quality.
- Examine moderation effects of gender, culture, socioeconomic status, personality, power relations, and organizational climate.
- Develop and validate culturally sensitive measures of functional assertiveness, including digital assertiveness and online boundary setting.
- Reduce self-report bias using behavioral observations, peer ratings, ecological momentary assessment, and multi-method designs
- Explore scalable interventions through mobile apps, internet platforms, simulation, virtual reality, and ethically designed AI-supported communication coaching.

Practical Implications

Education institutions can integrate assertiveness into life-skills curricula, counselling services, leadership development and professional communication training. Mental health practitioners may assess assertiveness when working with anxiety, depression, social avoidance, interpersonal conflict, and low self-esteem, and may integrate structured practice within cognitive-behavioral, acceptance-based, dialectical, or interpersonal approaches.

Organizations can foster functional assertiveness through the development of psychologically safe environments where employees are comfortable raising concerns, negotiating workloads, and making requests for help and disagreement respectfully without fear of reprisal. Healthcare training should include assertiveness, empathy, patient advocacy, teamwork and speaking up skills." Community interventions may use culturally sensitive assertiveness training in women's empowerment, violence prevention, healthy relationship, and mental health promotion programs.

Assertiveness training cannot be defined as placing the onus solely on people who are in unsafe or unequal environments. Effective interventions must also address structural barriers, power imbalances, discrimination, and organizational cultures that punish self-expression.

CONCLUSION

Assertiveness is not merely a communication skill but a multidimensional psychological competency with the potential to effect self-efficacy, autonomy, emotional regulation, relationship quality, stress management, and positive functioning. Theoretical approaches converge on the idea that assertiveness can improve psychological well-being through intrapersonal, interpersonal, and resource-protective mechanisms.

The empirical literature generally supports positive relationships between assertiveness and adaptive psychological outcomes. Intervention studies demonstrate that assertiveness can be enhanced. However, the current evidence base remains limited by cross-sectional designs, reliance on self-report, heterogeneous outcomes, small intervention samples and lack of cultural validation. Future research should employ more rigorous causal designs and investigate multidimensional psychological well-being directly. On the basis of the current evidence, assertiveness can be considered as a promising and context-sensitive resource for

Assertiveness and Psychological Well-Being: An Integrative Review of Theoretical Mechanisms, Empirical Findings and Intervention Research

mental health promotion that is modifiable, rather than a causally uniform determinant of well-being.

REFERENCES

- Alberti, R. E., & Emmons, M. L. (2017). *Your perfect right* (10th ed.). New Harbinger Publications.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
- Buono, V. L., et al. (2024). Psychological factors associated with assertiveness in Parkinson's disease. *Neurological Sciences*. Advance online publication.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268.
- Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 218–226.
- Goel, A., & colleagues. (2024). Understanding facilitators for assertiveness among college students: A qualitative study. *Current Psychology*.
- Goldman, J. A. (1981). The relationship between assertiveness and psychosocial maturity. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 37(1), 179–182.
- Golshiri, P., Mostofi, A., & Rouzbahani, S. (2023). The effect of problem-solving and assertiveness training on self-esteem and mental health of female adolescents: A randomized clinical trial. *BMC Psychology*, 11, 106.
- Hagberg, L., et al. (2023). Internet-delivered cognitive behavioral therapy for increasing assertiveness: A pilot trial. *Internet Interventions*, 32, 100613.
- Hanson, J., et al. (2020). Developing speaking-up behaviours through graded assertiveness training in first-year nursing students. *Nurse Education Today*, 84, 104213.
- Herringer, L. G. (1998). Facets of extraversion, assertiveness, and life satisfaction. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 24(5), 607–611.
- Hinnen, C., et al. (2008). The role of assertiveness and relationship satisfaction in women with breast cancer: A longitudinal case-control study. *Psycho-Oncology*, 17(11), 1074–1083.
- Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American Psychologist*, 44(3), 513–524.
- Ito, N., et al. (2025). Functional assertiveness training combined with Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for employees returning to work after mental health leave: A pilot study. *Frontiers in Psychology*. Advance online publication.
- Kang, Y. S., et al. (2006). Effects of assertiveness training for hospital nurses on communication and interpersonal relationships. *Journal of Korean Academy of Nursing*, 36(4), 681–690.
- Lin, Y. R., Shiah, I. S., Chang, Y. C., Lai, T. J., Wang, K. Y., & Chou, K. R. (2004). Evaluation of an assertiveness training program on nursing and medical students' assertiveness, self-esteem, and interpersonal communication satisfaction. *Nurse Education Today*, 24(8), 656–665.
- Nakamura, Y., et al. (2017). Feasibility and preliminary effectiveness of brief assertiveness training for psychiatric nurses. *BMC Nursing*, 16, Article 21.
- Paezy, M., Shahraray, M., & Abdi, A. (2010). Investigating the impact of assertiveness training on assertiveness, subjective well-being, and academic achievement of Iranian female secondary students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 5, 1447–1450.

Assertiveness and Psychological Well-Being: An Integrative Review of Theoretical Mechanisms, Empirical Findings and Intervention Research

- Park, H. S., Levine, T. R., Weber, R., Lee, H. E., Terra, L. I., Botero, I. C., Wilson, M. S., et al. (2012). Individual and cultural variations in direct communication style. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 36(2), 179–187.
- Park, N., Peterson, C., & Szvarca, D. (2014). Positive psychology and physical health: Research and applications. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine*, 10(3), 200–206. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1559827614550277>
- Parray, W. M., & Kumar, S. (2022). Effectiveness of assertiveness training on self-esteem, stress, academic achievement, and psychological well-being among university students. *Rehabilitation and Development*, 3(2), 57–67.
- Rutten, E. A. P., Bekker, M. H. J., Roelofs, J., & Arntz, A. (2016). The relation between autonomy-connectedness and psychopathology: The mediating role of assertiveness and alexithymia. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 90, 74–79.
- Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57(6), 1069–1081. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.6.1069>
- Ryff, C. D. (2014). Psychological well-being revisited: Advances in the science and practice of eudaimonia. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 83(1), 10–28. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000353263>
- Sarkova, M., Bacikova-Sleskova, M., Orosova, O., Geckova, A. M., Katreniakova, Z., van den Heuvel, W., & van Dijk, J. P. (2013). Associations between assertiveness, psychological well-being, and self-esteem in adolescents. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 43(1), 147–154.
- Speed, B. C., Goldstein, B. L., & Goldfried, M. R. (2018). Assertiveness training: A forgotten evidence-based treatment. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 25(1), e12216.
- World Health Organization. (2025, October 8). *Mental health*. World Health Organization.
- Yoshinaga, N., et al. (2025). The four pathways of assertiveness: A multidimensional framework for understanding assertive functioning.

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Srivastava, A. (2026). Assertiveness and Psychological Well-Being: An Integrative Review of Theoretical Mechanisms, Empirical Findings and Intervention Research. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 14(3), 2409–2417. DIP:18.01.218.20261403, DOI:10.25215/1403.218