

Personality Profile of School Students

Veena Chakravarthy¹, Dr. V. Chandramohan²

ABSTRACT:

Personality refers to distinctive patterns of behaviour that characterizes each individual's adaptation to the situations in life. **Personality** is defined as an enduring pattern of psychological and behavioural characteristics by which each person can be compared and contrasted with each other. Academic success depends on the best use of personality dimensions. Students encounter many hurdles in achieving academic success. Many of these hurdles are within their personality traits. Academic success depends on the best use of personality dimensions. What qualities make students more successful in their life as well as their workplace is a fascinating question? The aim of the present study is to assess the personality traits of the school students and draw a typical personality profile of high school students. Three hundred healthy and well motivated school students, 150 boys and 150 girls, studying Higher Secondary Course at Saru Matriculation Higher Secondary School, Satyamangalam, Erode District, constitute as a sample for the present study. School students are administered with 16 Personality Factor Questionnaire (16 PF), for the purpose of finding out basic personality traits. A typical personality profile of school student. is drawn. Gender differences, between Boys and Girls, on personality traits are highlighted. Implications of the findings are discussed.

Keywords: *Typical Personality Profile Of School Students, Gender Difference*

The term "**Personality**" has been derived from a Latin word, "**Persona**", which means mask. **Personality** refers to distinctive patterns of behaviour that characterizes each individual's adaptation to the situations in life. **Personality** is defined as an enduring pattern of psychological and behavioural characteristics by which each person can be compared and contrasted with each other. **Personality** is more or less stable and enduring organization of a person's character (conative), temperament (affective), intellect (cognitive) and physique (neuroendocrine endowment). Personality is made up of characteristic patterns of thought, feelings and behavior that make a person unique. Personality arises from within the individual and remains fairly consistent throughout the life. Academic success depends on the best use of personality dimensions. Students encounter many hurdles in achieving academic success. Many of these hurdles are within their personality traits.

¹Research Scholar, Department: Psychology, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore

²Research Supervisor, Reader in Psychology, Institute of Aerospace Medicine, IAF, Vimanapura (PO), Bangalore

Personality Profile of School Students

The studies based on 16 personality factor test revealed that administrative trainees undergoing training at Air Force Academy, Hyderabad, were more stable in their emotions, happy go lucky type, humble and accommodating, practical oriented, less apprehensive, more conservative, low in anxiety and extroverts than the Air Traffic Control Officer (ATCO) trainees. On the other hand, the ATCO were more intelligent than the admin trainees (Chandramohan et al., 1997, 1998).

Abu Bakkar Sithikh (2014) carried out a study on high school students and found that personality traits have a positive relationship with absenteeism. The students, who were high on neuroticism, were not regular to the school.

Personality differs according to occupations. Every job needs to have a given temperament and one should select their jobs based on these temperaments. What qualities make students more successful in their life as well as their workplace is a fascinating question? Researchers have tried to find out a satisfactory answer. The present study is an attempt in this direction.

AIM

The aim of the present study is to assess the personality traits and draw a typical personality profile of the high school students

OBJECTIVE

Objectives of the present study are

- 1) To delineate the personality profile of a typical high school student
- 2) To compare the personality profile of boys and girls
- 3) To find out, if there exists, any gender differences, on personality traits

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Three hundred healthy and well motivated school students, 150 boys and 150 girls, age ranging from 15 to 18 years, studying Higher Secondary Course at Saru Matriculation Higher Secondary School, Satyamangalam, Erode District, constitute as a sample for the present study. School students are assessed on **16 PF**, an objective personality test, for the purpose of drawing a typical personality profile of school students. Short detail of Psychological tests is given below:

16 PERSONALITY FACTOR QUESTIONNAIRE – FORM “D” (16 PF) – Cattell (1963, Cattell et al., 1970) has developed **16 PF** to assess basic personality structure of an individual. **16 PF** is a well known objectively score able factorial test of personality. **16 PF** is multi dimensional set of sixteen questionnaire scale **arranged** in an omnibus form. It is comprehensive in coverage and give information regarding an individual’s standing on sixteen Primary Factors and eight Second Order Factors. Cattell has developed different forms of **16 PF** and they are Form ‘A’, ‘B’, ‘C’, ‘D’ and ‘E’. The Computerised version of **16 PF** has been developed by **Chandramohan** (1991), at Institute of Aerospace Medicine, IAF, Bangalore, is

Personality Profile of School Students

used for analysing the responses. On obtaining the **STEN** score, an individual's relating standing in each of the factor is expressed on a **Profile Chart**. The **STEN** score positions for each factor are distributed over ten equal **interval STEN** points (Standard Score Points) from 1 through 10, with the population average fixed at 5.5 and standard deviation at 1. **16 PF test - Form "D"** is used in the present study. This test has got 105 statements. There is no right or wrong answers. Answer as honestly as possible to give the first response coming into your mind. Three boxes are given on the answer sheet to record the responses. Students are asked to choose one answer out of 3 choices, by putting, a "√" mark inside the box. Here, **A - True or Yes, B - Sometimes, In between, Occasionally, Uncertain, C - False or No**. Try to avoid choosing 'B' as an answer because it does not reflect any qualities. It usually takes 30 minutes to complete.

Scoring: Each answer is awarded with marks 0, 1 and 2. Whereas, for **Factor B**, the scores are 0 (incorrect) or 1 (Correct). The total score constitute the raw score for that particular trait. The raw score is further converted into Standard (**STEN**) score, using norms supplied by the author. The **STEN** scores are reflected on the **Profile Chart** to see the individual's relative standing on the **16 Primary Traits**. The Second Order Factors are calculated from the scores of Primary Factors. The **8 Second Order factors** are, as follows:

| | | | |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| Factor I | - | ANXIETY | - Low Vs High Anxiety |
| Factor II | - | EXTRAVERSION | - Introversion Vs Extraversion |
| Factor III | - | TOUGH POISE | - Emotional Sensitivity Vs Alert poise |
| Factor IV | - | INDEPENDENCE | - Subduedness Vs Independence |
| Factor V | - | SUPEREGO/CONTROL | - Low Control Vs High Control |
| Factor VI | - | ADJUSTMENT | - Neuroticism Vs Adjustment |
| Factor VII | - | LEADERSHIP | - Low Leadership Vs High Leadership |
| Factor VIII | - | CREATIVITY | - Low Creativity Vs High Creativity |

Md (Motivational distortion score) – STEN score above 7, the test is invalid

Significance level of STEN score on Primary Factors

| | | |
|--------------------|---|------------|
| STEN 1 – 3 | = | Low score |
| STEN 4 - 6 | = | Average |
| STEN 8 - 10 | = | High score |

Significance level of STEN score on Second Order Factors

| | | |
|--------------------|---|------------|
| STEN 1 - 4 | = | Low score |
| STEN 5 - 6 | = | Average |
| STEN 7 - 10 | = | High score |

STEN score 4 and 7 shows tendency towards that particular trait

Scoring: Standard scoring procedure adopted.

Personality Profile of School Students

Personality profile of Boys are compared with the Girls. to find out gender difference, if any, existing on personality traits.

Student “t” test is employed for analyzing the data.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of the present study are discussed on **Tables 1** and **2** **Figures 1** and **2**.

Table 1 and **Figure 1** show that **School students** are ambivert, socially bold, rule-bound, conservative, adequate on emotional stability, having control over feelings and behavior and free from tension.

On Second order factors (**SOF**), students are low on emotional sensitivity and creativity. Results clearly indicate that students are sensitive to their feelings as well as feelings of others. If they have difficulties, they are likely to involve in rapid thought with insufficient action, follow tried-and-true way of doing things rather than trying new ones do not spend much time in generating ideas but want workable and practical solutions.

Table 1: Mean STEN of the School Students (n=300) on 16 PF

| PERSONALITY FACTORS | MEAN | SD |
|---------------------|------|----|
| Md | 4.87 | 2 |
| A | 6.63 | 2 |
| B | 5.78 | 2 |
| C | 5 | 1 |
| E | 5.11 | 2 |
| F | 6.23 | 2 |
| G | 6.01 | 2 |
| H | 6.67 | 3 |
| I | 5.68 | 1 |
| L | 4.52 | 2 |
| M | 4.71 | 2 |
| N | 4.65 | 2 |
| O | 5.06 | 1 |
| Q1 | 5.41 | 2 |
| Q2 | 4.87 | 2 |
| Q3 | 6.56 | 2 |
| Q4 | 4.98 | 1 |
| SOF | | |
| I | 4.59 | 1 |
| II | 5.42 | 1 |
| III | 4.11 | 1 |
| IV | 5.44 | 2 |
| V | 5.8 | 2 |
| VI | 6.24 | 1 |
| VII | 5.9 | 1 |
| VIII | 3.86 | 1 |

Personality Profile of School Students

Table 2 and **Figure 2** show that **Boys** are pronounced extroverts, good natured, easy going, emotionally expressive, soft hearted, cooperative, attentive to people and are kind individuals. Socially bold, rule-bound, happy-go-lucky type, practical oriented, conservative, high on self-confidence and emotional stability, having good control over feelings and behavior and remain calm, cool and relaxed. Due to this high stress tolerance they are able to adjust to the situations where sudden adjustments are needed and free from tension.

On **SOF**, students are high on extroversion, independence, super ego control, adjustment and leadership but low on anxiety. Results clearly indicate that students are a typical extrovert, happy-go-lucky type, independent-minded and self assured, having strong super ego control and conform to the expectations of others, quiet reliable and well adjusted, high on self confidence, adaptability, flexibility stable emotionally and high on stress tolerance and relaxed, born leaders and sociable,

Personality Profile of School Students

16. P.F. TEST PROFILE

| FACTOR | RAW SCORE | | Standard Score | LOW SCORE DESCRIPTION | STANDARD TEN SCORE (STEN) | | | | | | | | | | HIGH SCORE DESCRIPTION | | | |
|--------|-----------|-------|----------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|------------------------|---|---|--|
| | FORM | TOTAL | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | | | |
| A | | | 7 | REVERED, DETACHED, CRITICAL EGO. (Superiority) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | OUTGOING, WARM-HEARTED, EASY-GOING PARTICIPATING (Approachability, warmth, cyclical mood) |
| B | | | 6 | LESS INTELLIGENT, CONCRETE THINKING (Lower Scholastic Mental Capacity) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | WARM, INTELLIGENT, ABSTRACT-THINKING BRIGHT (High scholastic mental capacity) |
| C | | | 5 | AFFECTED BY FEELINGS, EMOTIONALLY LESS STABLE, EASILY UPSET (Lower ego strength) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | EMOTIONALLY STABLE, FACES REALITY, CALM, MATURE (Higher ego strength) |
| E | | | 5 | HUMBLE, MILD, ACCOMMODATING, COMPROMISING (Submissiveness) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ASSERTIVE, INDEPENDENT, AGGRESSIVE, STRATEGIC (Assertiveness) |
| F | | | 6 | SOBER, PRUDENT, SERIOUS, TACTFUL (Discipline) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | HAPPY-GO-LUCKY, IMPULSIVELY LIVELY SEX, ENTHUSIASTIC (Spontaneity) |
| G | | | 6 | EFFICIENT, EVASIVE RULES, FEELS FEW OBLIGATIONS (Wiseaker superior strength) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | CONSCIENTIOUS, PERSISTENT, STRONG, RULE-BOUND (Strategic, superior strength) |
| H | | | 7 | SHY, RESTRAINED, DIFFIDENT, TIMID (Timidity) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | VENTURESOME, SOCIALLY BOLD, UNINHIBITED, SPONTANEOUS (Formal) |
| I | | | 6 | TOUGH-MINDED, SELF-RELIANT, REALISTIC, NO-WAISSENSE (Honesty) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | TENDER-MINDED, DEPENDENT, OVER-PROTECTED, SENSITIVE (Sensitivity) |
| L | | | 5 | TRUSTING, ADAPTABLE, FREE OF JEALOUSY, EASY TO GET ON WITH (Altruist) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | SUSPICIOUS, SELF-OPPINATED, HARD TO FOOL (Protectors) |
| M | | | 5 | PRACTICAL, CAREFUL, CONVENTIONAL, REGULATED BY EXTERNAL REALITIES, PROPER (Pragmatist) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | IMAGINATIVE, WRAPPED UP IN INNER URGENCES, CARELESS OF PRACTICAL MATTERS, BOHEMIAN (Aesth) |
| N | | | 5 | FORTHRIGHT, NATURAL, ARTLESS, SENTIMENTAL (Artlessness) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | SHREW, CALCULATING, WOULDY, PENETRATING (Streetwise) |
| O | | | 5 | PLACID, SELF-ASSURED, CONFIDENT, SERENE (Approachability) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | APPREHENSIVE, WORRYING, DEPRESSIVE, TROUBLED (Guilt proneness) |
| Q | | | 5 | CONSERVATIVE, RESPECTING ESTABLISHED IDEAS, TOLERANT OF TRADITIONAL DIFFICULTIES (Conservationist) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | EXPERIMENTING, CRITICAL, LIBERAL, AMALGAMAL, FREE-THINKING (Pragmatist) |
| Q | | | 5 | GROUP-DEPENDENT, A-JOINER AND SOUND FOLLOWER (Group adherence) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | SELF-SUFFICIENT, PREFERS OWN DECISIONS, RESOURCEFUL (Self-sufficiency) |
| Q | | | 7 | UNDISCIPLINED SELF-COMFLICT, FOLLOWS OWN URGES, CARELESS OF PROTOCOL (Low integration) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | CONTROLLED, SOCIALLY-PRECISE, FOLLOWING SELF-IMAGE (High self-concept control) |
| Q | | | 5 | RELAXED, TRAMGOL, TORPID, UNFRUSTRATED (Low ego tension) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | TENSE, FRUSTRATED, DRIVEN OVERWROUGHT (High ego tension) |

A state of 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 is obtained by about 2.2% 4.4% 8.2% 15.0% 18.1% 15.1% 8.2% 4.4% 2.2% of adults

Name: Personality Profile of School Students Md: 5 (Sten)

FACTOR I: 6
FACTOR II: 5
FACTOR III: 4
FACTOR IV: 5
FACTOR V: 6
FACTOR VI: 6
FACTOR VII: 6
FACTOR VIII: 4

Personality Profile of School Students

On the other hand, **Girls** are pronounced extrovert, good natured, easy going, emotionally expressive, soft hearted, cooperative, attentive to people and are kind individuals, socially bold, happy-go-lucky type, rule-bound, forthright, high on self confidence and emotional stability, having good control over feelings and behavior and remain relaxed. Due to this high stress tolerance they are able to adjust to the situations where sudden adjustment is needed and free from tension.

On **SOE**, they are high on extroversion, independence, super ego control, adjustment and leadership but low on anxiety. Results clearly indicate that they are a typical extrovert and happy-go-lucky type, independent-minded and self assured, having strong super ego control and conform to the expectations of others, quiet reliable and well adjusted, high on self confidence, stable emotionally and high on stress tolerance, adaptable, flexible and relaxed, born leaders and sociable.

Comparison of Mean STENS of Boys vs. Girls

Table 2 and **Figure 2** show the comparison of personality traits of Boys and Girls. Boys significantly differ (P. 0001) in the personality traits of Girls. Boys are higher (P. 0001) on the personality traits of forthright, self--confidence and controlling feelings and emotions than the Girls.

On the other hand, Girls are higher (P. 0001) on intelligence, emotional stability and independence than the Boys.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are drawn from the present study:

1. School students are ambivert, socially bold, rule-bound, conservative, adequate on emotional stability, having control over feelings and behavior and free from tension, sensitive to their feelings as well as feelings of others. follow tried-and-true way of doing things rather than trying new ones
2. Boys are forthright, self--confident and controlling feelings and emotions easily
3. Girls are more intelligent, high on emotional stability and independent-minded

Personality Profile of School Students

Table 2 : Mean STENS of Boys Vs. Girls

| PERSONALITY FACTORS | BOYS (n=150) | | GIRLS (n=150) | | 't' - VALUE | P - VALUE |
|---------------------|--------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|-----------|
| | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD | | |
| Md | 4.92 | 1 | 4.88 | 2 | 0.13 | NS |
| A | 8.44 | 1 | 7.92 | 1 | 2.60 | 0.01 |
| B | 4.76 | 1 | 7.96 | 1 | 16.00 | 0.0001 |
| C | 6.64 | 1 | 8.28 | 1 | 6.20 | 0.0001 |
| E | 4.92 | 1 | 5.12 | 2 | 0.63 | NS |
| F | 8.04 | 2 | 8.04 | 1 | 0 | NS |
| G | 8.16 | 1 | 8.04 | 1 | 0.38 | NS |
| H | 7.84 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0.80 | NS |
| I | 5.92 | 1 | 5.2 | 2 | 6.84 | 0.01 |
| L | 4.96 | 2 | 4.68 | 2 | 0.95 | NS |
| M | 4.4 | 2 | 3.68 | 2 | 1.80 | NS |
| N | 4.4 | 2 | 3.36 | 2 | 2.60 | 0.01 |
| O | 3.84 | 2 | 4.8 | 2 | 2.40 | 0.01 |
| Q1 | 3.6 | 2 | 4.52 | 2 | 2.30 | 0.02 |
| Q2 | 3.96 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 12.78 | 0.0001 |
| Q3 | 8.08 | 2 | 3.08 | 1 | 15.81 | 0.0001 |
| Q4 | 3.56 | 1 | 3.6 | 2 | 0.20 | NS |
| SOF | | | | | | |
| I | 3.84 | 2 | 3.6 | 2 | 0.60 | NS |
| II | 7.92 | 2 | 7.92 | 1 | 0 | NS |
| III | 4.6 | 1 | 5.8 | 2 | 3.0 | 0.001 |
| IV | 7.8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0.63 | NS |
| V | 8.08 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0.25 | NS |
| VI | 8.16 | 1 | 8.04 | 1 | 0.38 | NS |
| VII | 8 | 1 | 7.96 | 1 | 0.13 | NS |
| VIII | 4.6 | 1 | 5.44 | 1 | 2.66 | 0.01 |

Personality Profile of School Students

16. P.F. TEST PROFILE

| FACTOR | FACTOR SCORE | | LOW SCORE DESCRIPTION | STANDARD TEN SCORE (STENO) | | | | | | | | | | HIGH SCORE DESCRIPTION | | | |
|----------------|--------------|-------|---|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|------------------------|---|---|---|
| | FORM | TOTAL | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | | | |
| A | | 8 | RESERVED, DETACHED, CRITICAL COOL (Scholastic) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | OUTBOUNDED, WARM-HEARTED, PASTY-GOING, PARTICIPATING (Heterocholymia, Nervosity, Circularity) |
| B | | 8 | LESS INTELLIGENT, CONCRETE THINKING (Lower Scholastic Mental Capacity) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | MORE INTELLIGENT, ABSTRACT-THINKING, BRIGHT (Higher scholastic mental capacity) |
| C | | 7 | AFFECTED BY FEELINGS, EMOTIONALLY LESS STABLE, EASILY UPSET (Lower ego strength) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | EMOTIONALLY STABLE, FINES REALITY, CALM, MATURE (higher ego strength) |
| E | | 5 | HUMBLE, MILD, ACCOMMODATING, CONFIRMING (Submissiveness) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | ASSERTIVE, INDEPENDENT, AGGRESSIVE, STUBBORN (Dominance) |
| F | | 8 | SOBER, PRUDENT, SERIOUS, FACTURIN (Disregard) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | HAPPY-GO-LUCKY, IMPULSIVELY LIVELY, GAY, ENTHUSIASTIC (Surprise) |
| G | | 8 | EXPEDIENT, EVASIVE RULES, FEELS FEW OBLIGATIONS (Weaker superego strength) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | CONSCIENTIOUS, PERSEVERING, STABLE, ROLE-BOUND (Stronger, superego strength) |
| H | | 8 | SHY, RESTRAINED, DIFFIDENT, TIMID (Therapist) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | VENTURESOME, SOCIALLY BOLD, UNINHIBITED, SPONTANEOUS (Parasol) |
| I | | 6 | TOUGH-MINDED, SELF-RELIANT, REALISTIC, NO-RESPONSE (Hemist) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | TENDER-MINDED, DEPENDENT, OVER-PROTECTED, SENSITIVE (Parasol) |
| L | | 5 | TRUSTING, ADAPTABLE, FREE OF JEALOUSY, EASY TO GET ON WITH (Alaxia) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | SUSPICIOUS, SELF-OPPINIONATED, HARD TO FOOL (Professional) |
| M | | 4 | PRACTICAL, CAREFUL, CONVENTIONAL, REGULATED BY EXTERNAL REALITIES, PROPER (Parasol) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | IMAGINATIVE, WRAPPED UP IN INNER URGENSSES, CARELESS OF PRACTICAL MATTERS, BOHEMIAN (Julist) |
| N | | 4 | FORTHRIGHT, NATURAL, ARTLESS, SENTIMENTAL (Affectness) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | SHARSH, CALCULATING, WORRLY, PENETRATING (Shrewdness) |
| O | | 4 | PLACID, SELF-ASSURED, COMBIDENT, SERENE (Intervener adequacy) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | APPREHENSIVE, WORRYING, DEPRESSIVE, TROUBLED (Skill processes) |
| D | | 4 | CONSERVATIVE, RESPECTING ESTABLISHED IDEAS, TOLERANT OF TRADITIONAL DIFFICULTIES (Conservative) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | EXPERIMENTING, CRITICAL, LIBERAL, ANALYTICAL, FREE-THINKING (Socialism) |
| Q ₁ | | 4 | GROUP-DEPENDENT, A "JUNIOR" AND SOUND FOLLOWER (Group adhesion) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | SELF-SUFFICIENT, PREFERS OWN DECISIONS, RESOURCEFUL (Self-sufficiency) |
| Q ₂ | | 3 | UNDISCIPLINED, SELF-CONTACT, FOLLOWS OWN URGES, CARELESS OF PROTOCOL (Low integration) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | CONTROLLED, SOCIALLY-PRECISE, FOLLOWING SELF-IMAGE (High self-concept control) |
| Q ₃ | | 4 | RELAXED, TRANQUIL, TORPID, UNFRUSTRATED (Low ego tension) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | TENSE, FRUSTRATED, DRIVEN, OVERSTIMULATED (High ego tension) |

Name: PERSONALITY PROFILE OF NORMAL BOYS VS. GIRLS Md: 5.5 (Sten)

A score of 5 is obtained by about 2.2% 4.4% 9.2% 15.0% 19.1% 19.1% 15.0% 9.2% 4.4% 2.2% of adults

REFERENCES

- Abubakkar Sithikh (2014). Personality trait associated with frequent Absenteeism. *Proceedings of the UGC Sponsored National Conference on Enhancing Psychological Wellbeing, Organised by the Department of Psychology, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 20-21 Feb.*
- Cattell, RB., Eber, HW (1962). *Manuals for Forms C and D 16 Personality questionnaire. "The 16 PF". Young adults and adults.* USA: Institute of Personality and Ability Testing
- Cattell, RB., Herbert, WE., Tatsuoka, MM (1970). *Handbook for the Sixteen Personality Factor Questionnaire (16 PF): Individual, Educational, Industrial and Research Psychology for use with all Forms of the test.* Illinois : Institute of Personality and Ability Testing
- Chandramohan, V., Air Cmde Sengupta, AK., Sunilkumar, Kandhasamy, KS (1997). Personality profile of Air Traffic Controller. *J. of Aerospace Medicine.* 1997; 41 (2): pp. 53-60
- Chandramohan, V (1998). Application of Behaviour therapy and Ground simulator in the Management of Airsickness. *Ph.D., Thesis.* Bangalore University.
- Chandramohan (1991). Computerization of 16 Personality Factor Test. *IAM/Project No. 139/1/89.*