

## A Study on Social Intelligence among UG and PG Adolescents

Dr. Hosamani Marilingappa<sup>1\*</sup>

### ABSTRACT

The present study attempts to measure the influence of social intelligence among and female. The sample was restricted 80 (N=80) selected randomly from various colleges from rural and urban background the data has been collected. UG College Gulbarga and PG Gulbarga University. The data subjected to statistical analysis like T-test results clearly indicates that responding of females are more social intelligence then the males.

**Keywords:** *Social Intelligence, UG, PG Adolescents*

In recent years our knowledge of primate behavior and intelligence has grown rapidly, giving new insights into the origins and nature of our own intelligence. It has been proposed that the richness and complexity of primate social interactions have been a forcing house for the growth of primate intelligence (Jolly 1966: Humphery 1976) primates social cognition is often approached by informal verbal descriptions (Byrne and whiten 1988. Dennett 1983, Cheney and Syfarch 1990).

There are good reasons to expect that primate social cognition, symbolic representation of social situations scripts are such a representation chosen to be as simple as possible.

A complete and consistent theory of social cognition unseen built using scripts and three basic operations of them. The theory gives simples, understandable accounts of many observations, such as primates, understanding of kind status relations in their groups, of alarm calls and attachment behavior.

The theory gives highly adaptable social intelligence with rapid learning of new social regularities in broad agreement with observed primates' behavior. A formal motivation to describe primate social knowledge and behavior has also proposed by me (1993) using a production role formalism. The script channels proposed here has features in common with tailored to the social domain.

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor of Psychology and P.G Co-ordinator Maharani Women's Arts, Commerce Management College Sheshadri Road, Bangalore, India

\*Responding Author

***The Need For Social Intelligence:***

Social intelligence in the primate Brain social interactions is more complete than those in other mammals. Some example-Kin recognition: (Judge 1982: Smis 1985) after a fight between two monkeys, relatives of one are likely to threaten relations of the other female from this protection other examples are described in section is, where they are compared with the theory. These examples shows that primates have detailed knowledge of other in their group of their skin, status and alliance relations of their current state and activities and of the cause effect regularities of their society: that they combine all this knowledge in flexible ways to achieve diverge goals, such as

- ⇒ Attachment to a parent
- ⇒ Feeding
- ⇒ Avoidance of predations
- ⇒ Maintaining status in the group care giving to offspring

Each one of these goals involves complexly co-ordinate patterns of behavior and can be studied as a behavioral system (Male 1982) at any one time, an animal is involved in typically one or at most system involves not just stereotyped reflexes but also goal directed behavior to achieve the goals of any behavioral system complete loco-motor, problems may need to be solved. For instance in order to feed a primate might have to negative to a food source negative social obstacles of in the fallen of dominant peers, and then a climb is there to pick fruit we in absence that there are common modules in the brain to hap go we these problems. As, we shall see, the social domain has enough complexity of its own, without mixing in those other challenges: maybe a letter theory will tackle the interactions how the skin itself may contribute to individuation categorization and so on.

***The Structure Of The Social Domain:***

A good strategy it many domains of cognition seems to be to form internal representation of situations in the domain running an internal simulation of external reality is a low cost way to check the consequence of possible actions, before doing them for real (for some relevant consideration see (vera & semon 1993) and the response to their article and (worden 1995). To apply the idea of internal representation to the social domain, we first list some important properties of social situations: the theory will use internal representation which matches the properties. I shall use examples from a hypothetical troop of monkeys with roman names: and will contrast the social domain with the spatial / physical domain represented in the LSM.

***Cognitive Models Of Social Intelligence:***

Conditioning models such as the Rescorla Wagner (1972) model don't capture the structured systematic and productive character of social situations (51-53) because they represent each casual relation by a single local coupling strength. There is no representation of the structure relation or systematic enumeration of possible relation. They can represent discrete values (54)

## **A Study on Social Intelligence among UG and PG Adolescents**

causal relations over introvert (55) chaining of cause and effect (57) but have no way of discovering of representing the generalizations across individuals (56) which are important in social cognition. 3 mental models (Ex: analogue representations of local space and motion such as the LSM : Johnson Larel are probably used by higher animals to predict the movements of objects around then and to plan to their own.

Symbolic processing (Chalniak & MC Dermoti 1988) has the structured systematic and productive character needed for the social domain (S3-53) it is also well suited to handle the discrete values involves in social situation against representing between feature of the social domain and these styles of computational model is summarized in table.

### ***Theory Of Social Intelligence:***

#### **Structure and meaning of scripts:**

I shall describe the theory at Marrs (1982) algorithmic level an description of information structures and operations of them note going to the implementation level to consider possible neural relations (that is probably) the level at which neural notes are relevant, as components, we look the simplest internal representation of social situation which captures their important properties which can be used to show that these script are an optional solution to the problem of social cognition giving the best possible fitness under defined conditions there is not space here to present the mathematical theory of scripts.

#### ***Factual Scripts And Rule Scripts:***

In the theory each primate continually form script representation of the social elements which male or female observers. These are called factual scripts and form a sort of historic record of primate or life (or recent part) the purpose of having the representation is to predict likely social outcomes before they happen and take appropriate actions to flexible and expressive way to represent both general and local social causal lows.

### ***Problem***

A Study on Social Intelligence among Male and Female Adolescent

#### ***Objective:***

1. To find out the differences between Male &Female college students in the following areas of social intelligence.
  - a) Patience
  - b) Cooperativeness
  - c) Confidence
  - d) Sensitivity
  - e) Recognition of Social Environment
  - f) Tactfulness
  - g) Sense of Humor
  - h) Memory

## A Study on Social Intelligence among UG and PG Adolescents

### *Hypothesis:*

2. There will be significant difference between male & female college students in social intelligence.

### *Variable:*

#### **Independent variable**

⇒ Sex

#### **Dependent Variable**

Social intelligence (Cooperativeness)

- a) Patience
- b) Cooperativeness
- c) Confidence
- d) Sensitivity
- e) Recognition of Social Environment
- f) Tactfulness
- g) Sense of Humor
- h) Memory

## **METHODOLOGY**

80 under graduate students 80 post graduate students were selected.

### *Sample design*

UG	PG	Total
80	80	160

### *Test Used*

Social intelligence scale by chada and Usha Ganesan (1986) was used in the study. For scoring the man made desertions are followed.

## **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

The results are presented in the following tables.

*Table No 1. Shows the results of UG & PG Students (N=160)*

	UG	PG
Mean	105.87	97.06
S.D	12.06	0.43
t Value	01.767	

Table No.1:- Shows the results of social intelligence among UG &PG Students. The higher means score of UG Students is 105.87 & S.D is 12.06. This clearly shows that the mean score of PG is slightly higher than the UG. There is a significant difference since the obtained t-Value of 1.767 indicates the same

## CONCLUSIONS

*The following are the conclusions of the study:*

1. Table No.1 shows the results of social intelligence among UG& PG, Post Graduate Students slightly higher than the UG Students.
2. PG students have more social intelligence than the UG students.

### *Acknowledgments*

The author appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

### *Conflict of Interests*

The author declared no conflict of interests.

## REFERENCES

- Allport, G.W., & Odbert, H.S. (1937). Trait-names: A psycho-lexical study. *Psychological Monographs*, 47 (Whole No. 211).
- American Psychiatric Association. (1994). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*, 4th ed. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association.
- Anderson, J.R. (1976). *Language, memory, and thought*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Asch, S.E. (1946). Forming impressions of personality. *Journal of Abnormal & Social Psychology*, 41, 258-290.
- Bandura, A. (1973). *Aggression: A social learning analysis*. Englewood cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.
- Bandura, A., & Walters, R.H. (1963). *Social learning and personality development*. New York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston.
- Bargh, J.A. (1994). The four horsemen of automaticity: Awareness, intention, efficiency, and control in social cognition. In R.S. Wyer & T.K. Srull (Eds.), *Handbook of social cognition*, 2nd ed. (vol. 1, pp. 1-40). Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Barnes, M.L., & Sternberg, R.J. (1989). Social intelligence and decoding of nonverbal cues. *Intelligence*, 13, 263-287.
- Baron-Cohen, S. (1995). *Mind blindness: An essay on autism and theory of mind*. Cambridge, Ma.: MIT Press.
- Baron-Cohen, S., Tager-Flusberg, H., & Cohen, D.J. (1993). Does the autistic child have a "theory of mind"? *cognition*, 21, 37-46.
- Broom, M.E. (1928). A note on the validity of a test of social intelligence. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 12, 426-428.
- Brown, L.T., & Anthony, R.G. (1990). Continuing the search for social intelligence. *Personality & Individual Differences*, 11, 463-470.

## A Study on Social Intelligence among UG and PG Adolescents

- Bruner, J.S. (1957). On perceptual readiness. *Psychological Review*, 64, 123-152.
- Bruner, J.S., & Feldman, C. (1993). Theories of mind and the problem of autism. In S. Baron-Cohen, H. Tager-Flusberg, & D.J. Cohen (Eds.), *Understanding other minds: Perspectives from autism* (pp. 267-291). Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press.
- Bruner, J.S., & Tagiuri, R. (1954). The perception of people. In G. Lindzey (Ed.), *Handbook of social psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. The 634-654). Reading, Ma.: Addison-Wesley.
- Byrne, R., & Whiten, A. (Eds.). (1988). *Machiavellian intelligence: Social expertise and the evolution of intellect in monkeys, apes, and humans*. Oxford, U.K.: Clarendon Press.
- Campbell, D.T., & Fiske, D.W. (1959). Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. *Psychological Bulletin*, 56, 81-105.
- Campbell, J.M., & McCord, D.M. (1996). The WAIS-R Comprehension and Picture Arrangement subtests as measures of social intelligence: Testing traditional interpretations. *Journal of Psycho educational Assessment*, 14, 240-249.
- Cantor, N., Acker, M., & Cook-Flanagan, C. (1992). Conflict and preoccupation in the intimacy life task. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 63, 644-655.
- Cantor, N., & Fleeson, W. (1991). Life tasks and self-regulatory processes. In M. Maehr & P. Pintrich (Eds.), *Advances in motivation and achievement* (vol. 7, pp. 327-369). Greenwich, Ct.: JAI Press.
- Cantor, N., & Fleeson, W. (1994). Social intelligence and intelligent goal pursuit: A cognitive slice of motivation. In W.D. Spaulding (Ed.), *Integrative views of motivation, cognition, and emotion. Nebraska Symposium on Motivation*, 41, 125-180.
- Cantor, N., & Harlow, R. (1994). Social intelligence and personality: Flexible life-task pursuit. In R.J. Sternberg & P. Ruzgis (Eds.), *Personality and intelligence* (pp. 137-168). Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Cantor, N., & Kihlstrom, J.F. (1982). Cognitive and social processes in personality. In G.T. Wilson & C.M. Franks (Eds.), *Contemporary behavior therapy: Conceptual and empirical foundations* (pp. 142-201). New York: Guilford.
- Cantor, N., & Kihlstrom, J.F. (1987). *Personality and social intelligence*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.
- Cantor, N., & Kihlstrom, J.F. (1989). Social intelligence and cognitive assessments of personality. In R.S. Wyer & T.K. Srull (Eds.),

**How to cite this article:** Marilingappa H (2016), A Study on Social Intelligence among UG and PG Adolescents, International Journal of Indian Psychology, Volume 4, Issue 1, No. 80, ISSN:2348-5396 (e), ISSN:2349-3429 (p), DIP:18.01.120/20160401, ISBN:978-1-365-57867-0