The International Journal of Indian Psychology
ISSN 2348-5396 (e) | ISSN: 2349-3429 (p)
Volume 6, Issue 2,DIP: 18.01.085/20180602
DOI: 10.25215/0602.085
http://www.ijip.in | April - June, 2018

Research Paper

Diagnosis of Binge Eating Disorder (BED) using DSM-IV-TR and DSM-5

Nishikant M. Thorat[1]*

ABSTRACT

DSM-5 may be the latest in the Diagnostic Manual of Mental Disorders posted by the American Psychiatric Association introduces changes that are important within the analysis system for eating disorders which gets better the capability for clinicians to reach a precise diagnosis and will hopefully result in better reimbursement from insurers. Probably the most significant enhancement together with the DSM 5 is the fact that Binge Eating Disorder (BED) is relocated from the obscurity of an appendix in the DSM IV to becoming specified in the DSM 5 as a full fledged diagnosis which parallels the various other primary eating disorders.

Keywords: *Eating Disorder, DSM-IV-TR, DSM-5.*

BED is probably the most frequent eating disorder, but it's one for which many don't seek treatment directly. Instead, those experiencing BED much more ordinarily seek treatment for the medical and psychological elements which are clearly connected with the condition. As will be assessed below, these elements include very poor public adjustment, functional impairment, psychiatric co morbidity and psychological distress, along with myriad healthcare sequelae because of morbid obesity and weight cycling. As a result, the BED patient's point of first exposure to the healthcare profession is almost certainly to be with the primary care doctor, with many roles in the healing of BED. There's a restricted evidence base for pharmacological treatment of BED, with a few prescription drugs yielding short term reductions in binge eating, though not one with support that is strong for long-range efficacy.

Binge-eating disorder (BED), initially discussed by Stunkard in the 1950s, is an unique design of binge eating, accompanied by a feeling of loss in influence over consuming without inappropriate compensatory behaviors. It wasn't until the publication of DSM-IV-TR that BED received systematic research as a separate analysis category, when it was incorporated in the appendix Criteria Sets and also Axes Provided for further Study. Until recently, people

[1] (Faculty, Grant Government Medical College & Sir JJ Group of Hospitals, Mumbai, India)
*Responding Author

Received: May 24, 2018; Revision Received: June 7, 2018; Accepted: June 28, 2018

reporting binge eating without recurrent compensatory behavior had been identified as having an eating disorder not otherwise specified.

Much more recently, the American Psychiatric Association approved BED for inclusion in DSM 5 as its unique category of eating disorder. In comparison to BED, bulimia nervosa is a longstanding diagnostic category which represents recurrent episodes of binge eating, accompanied by a feeling of loss in influence over eating and also recurrent inappropriate compensatory behaviors to avoid weight gain.

Objective and Subjective Binge-Eating Episodes

A difference was made between objective binge eating episodes (Subjective binge and OBE) eating episodes (SBE). OBEs are binge eating episodes which see the full standards which includes a huge amount of food & a very subjective loss of control. SBEs, in contrast, are binge eating episodes including a very subjective loss of command although not a significant amount of food. In case use of a significant amount of food is crucial to the underlying pathology of BED, one will assume that SBEs and OBEs would be connected with various clinical characteristics. Nevertheless, a few research studies have failed to locate difference that is significant between people reporting SBEs and OBEs with regard to age, era of BE beginning, generalized psychopathology, depressive symptoms, interpersonal problems, BE severity, and ED related psychopathology. Results regarding prognosis are blended, with some hinting SBE much more readily does respond to placebo, and while others claim SBEs are slow to remit than OBEs. With respect to primary care, this particular literature indicates that it's not essential for busy primary care doctors to devote some time to realizing the quantity of foods consumed by the individual; if the affected person perceives that her eating is out of hand and too much, that will typically be seen as legitimate details regarding interested in a BED diagnosis, especially when coupled with even moderately obese status.

DSM-IV AND DSM-5 CRITERION

In comparison to the controversy concerning quantity of meals, the bulk of research propose that BED binge eating episodes fall within the 2 hour duration specified by the DSM 5 criteria, though lengthier durations are found. The loss of command (LOC) criterion furthermore seems to be fairly well supported across studies. LOC is a crucial defining feature of any binge eating episode for people with and with no BED.

Moreover, the psychological distress regarding loss of management continues to be connected with depressive symptoms, appearance dissatisfaction, and also poorer mental health associated quality of life. In comparison, one study discovered that 18.6 % of self reported binges weren't connected with loss of command. Of note, there's a little concern that the emphasis on LOC in the diagnostic criteria might result in under investigation of BED among males, as females with BED had been much more likely compared to males to recognize LOC as a primary element of a binge eating episode.

The other DSM 5 criterion for BED needs that BE episodes be connected with three or even much more of the following:
(a) eating more quickly compared to normal;
(b) eating until uncomfortably full;
(c) eating volumes of food in the lack of hunger;
(d) eating solely due to shame about just how much you are eating; and (e) feeling disgusted with oneself, depressed, or even quite responsible after overeating. This criterion isn't as debatable as the very first, and also has correspondingly not experienced just as much interest in the BED literature. Nevertheless, results from a couple of studies offer plenty of support for the inclusion of theirs, especially in light of the reality that people are only forced to endorse three of the five symptoms.

The 3rd criteria for BED needs that people encounter marked distress about BE. One recognized study has exclusively examined the distress criterion, and its validity was established by results which suggested people with full threshold BED had considerably better ED related psychopathology and also depressive symptoms as than people that met almost all although distress requirements for a BED diagnosis.

The 4th criteria for BED stipulates that BE occurs an average of once per week for three weeks. Previously, DSM-IV-TR required even more regular episodes, a minimum of two times a week for six weeks, but this was criticized as missing in empirical basis. The present condition of the research indicates that, with regard to frequency of BE episodes, BED best suits a consistent type instead of a categorical model. That's, signs and associated impairment are available across a severity spectrum as a characteristic of how frequently BE attacks occur. For instance, in a crucial comment, Sysko and Wilson observed that people with sub threshold frequency of BE episodes had much less serious psychopathology than those meeting requirements for DSM IV BE frequency, but they were still considerably much more impaired than people who didn't binge eat. The authors asserted that there was clearly no empirical rationale for protecting the requirements of two binge times per week for six weeks, and indeed, DSM 5 adopted a more relaxed standard. As would be the situation with indications of several mental problems, there doesn't seem to be a concrete and definitive point at which binge eating becomes pathological. Fortu-nately, reliability just for the brand new criteria is great and appears better than the DSM IV criteria.

Lastly, the previous criteria for BED - that continues to be unchanged from the provisional criteria in DSM-IV-TR - is basically a rule out which says that BE shouldn't be accompanied by the normal utilization of inappropriate compensatory behaviors or perhaps exclusively appear during the course of bulimia or anorexia. These key elements are also criticized as being very subjective, especially in light of the reality that people with BED frequently report a record of infrequent purging behavior plus often do weight loss efforts. Nevertheless, the demand for a rule out is sharp since BE also happens during the course of anorexia and bulimia, binge eating/purging style, and also it's backed by the lower rates of crossover from BED to bulimia as well as anorexia.

Remission and also severity specifiers are brand new to DSM 5.With regard to the latter, a recently available analysis observed tiny but significant elevations in having pathology among all those with moderate severity BED, family member to the eating pathology encountered by all those with mild severity, but there have been absolutely no differences in amount of connected depression.

Surprisingly, a clear differentiator of seriousness of eating pathology plus depression among individuals with BED was overvaluation of shape/weight. As a result, the primary care physician may be much better advised focusing on signs of this significant variable by querying the degree to which the patient's condition plus weight have affected how he/she thinks about herself to be an individual, instead of employing the amount of BED symptoms by yourself as the sign of severity.

Table 1 DSM-IV TR and DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for binge-eating disorder

Criteria Set	Definitions of Criterion
Criterion 1	Recurrent episodes of binge eating. An episode of binge eating is recognized by both of the following: a. Eating, in a discrete period of your time (e.g., within any 2 hour time), an amount of food that's certainly bigger compared to just about all folks will consume in a comparable time period under the same circumstances b. The feeling of insufficient command over eating during the episode (e.g., a feeling that an individual can't stop eating or maybe control what or perhaps what you are eating)
Criterion 2	Binge-eating episodes are connected with 3 (or maybe more) of the following: a. Eating a lot more quickly than normal b. Eating until feeling uncomfortably full c. Eating volumes of food when not experiencing in physical terms hungry d. Eating by yourself due to being embarrassed by what you are eating e. Feeling disgusted with oneself, depressed, or even quite responsible after overeating
Criterion 3	Marked distress regarding binge eating is present.
Criterion 4	The binge eating happens, on average, a. at least two times a week for six months (DSM IV TR frequency and length criteria) b. at least one day a week for three months (DSM 5 frequency and duration criteria)
Criterion 5	The binge eating isn't linked to the normal utilization of inappropriate compensatory action and doesn't happen solely during the course of anorexia nervosa or maybe bulimia nervosa.
Severity	DSM-IV doesn't include a BED severity grading scale.

Criteria Set	Definitions of Criterion
Grading	Applicable to DSM 5 just, BED severity is graded as follows: • Mild: one to three episodes per week • Moderate: four to seven episodes per week • Severe: eight to thirteen episodes per week • Extreme: fourteen or even more episodes per week

CHANGES IN THE DSM-5 DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA

A crucial change in the innovative DSM 5 diagnostic criteria for BED is lowering the frequency of binge episodes from two times each week for six weeks necessary for the DSM-IV-TR to the DSM 5 standard of an average of a single episode weekly for three weeks. Reducing the threshold for binge eating episodes has necessary public policy ramifications to the degree that reimbursement for therapy is contingent on obtaining the proper eating disorder diagnosis.

Ideally, this particular change is going to allow patients to get interventions earlier in the course of the condition. Probably the most crucial implication of the changes within the diagnostic status of BED is the fact that it'll probably lead to increasing research on treatments that are effective.

CONCLUSIONS

Presently, there's proof for the usefulness of each outpatient Cognitive Behavioral Therapy as well as Interpersonal Therapy. There also were studies showing that some psychotropic medicines is useful in ameliorating symptoms. Nevertheless, there's the demand for more research and also the brand new DSM-5 must provide an impetus for enhanced understanding of BED, much better access to advancements and treatments in the quality of therapy offered.

REFERENCES

American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. (4th ed. Text revision.) Washington (DC): The Association.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. (5th ed.) Arlington: The Association.

Colles SL, Dixon JB, O'Brien PE. (2008). Loss of control is central to psychological disturbance associated with binge eating disorder. *Obesity (Silver Spring) 16*:608–14.

Fairburn CG, Cooper Z, Doll HA, et al. (2000). The natural course of bulimia nervosa and binge eating disorder in young women. *Arch Gen Psychiatry, 57*:659–65.

Fairburn CG, Cooper Z. (1993). The eating disorder examination. In: Fairburn CG, Cooper Z, (editors). *Binge eating: nature, assessment, and treatment* (p 317–60). New York: Guilford Press.

Grilo CM, Ivezaj V, White MA. (2015). Evaluation of the DSM-5 severity indicator for binge eating disorder in a community sample. *Behav Res Ther, 66*:72–6.

Grilo CM, White MA. (2011). A controlled evaluation of the distress criterion for binge eating disorder. *J Consult Clin Psychol, 79*:509–14.

Johnson WG, Boutelle KN, Torgrud L. (2000). What is a binge? The influence of amount, duration, and loss of control criterial on judgements of binge eating. *Int J Eat Disord, 27*:471–9

Keel PK, Mayer SA, Harnden-Fischer JH. (2001). Importance of size in defining binge eating episodes in bulimia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord , 29*:294–301.

Latner JD, Clyne C. (2008). The diagnostic validity of the criteria for binge eating disorder. *Int J Eat Disord , 41:*1–14.

Reas D, Grilo C. (2015). Pharmacological treatment of binge eating disorder:update review and synthesis. *Hum Psychopharmacol Clin Exp , 23:*1463–78

Reslan S, Saules KK. (2011). College students' definitions of an eating 'binge' differ as a function of gender and binge eating disorder status. *Eat Behav ,12:*225–7.

Rossiter EM, Agras WS, Telch CF, et al. (1992). The eating patterns of nonpurging bulimic subjects. *Int J Eat Disord , 11:*111–120.

Stein KF, Kenardy J, Wiseman C V, et al. (2007). What's driving the binge in binge eating disorder? A prospective examination of precursors and consequences. *Int J Eat Disord , 40:*195–203.

Stunkard AJ. (1959). Eating patterns and obesity. *Psychiatr Q , 33:* 284–95.

Sysko R, Roberto CA, Barnes RD, et al. (2012). Test-retest reliability of theproposed DSM-5 eating disorder diagnostic criteria. *Psychiatry Res , 196:*302–8.

Trace SE, Thornton LM, Root TL, et al. (2012). Effects of reducing the frequency and duration criteria for binge eating on lifetime prevalence of bulimia nervosa and binge eating disorder: implications for DSM-5. *Int J Eat Disord , 45:* 531–6.

Wilson GT, Sysko R. (2009). Frequency of binge eating episodes in bulimia nervosa and binge eating disorder: diagnostic considerations. *Int J Eat Disord , 42:*603–10.

Wolfe BE, Baker CW, Smith AT, et al. (2009). Validity and utility of the current definition of binge eating. *Int J Eat Disord , 42*:674–86.

Acknowledgements

The authors profoundly appreciate all the people who have successfully contributed in ensuring this paper is in place. Their contributions are acknowledged however their names cannot be able to be mentioned.

Conflict of Interest

The authors colorfully declare this paper to bear not conflict of interests

How to cite this article: Thorat, N M (2018). Diagnosis of Binge Eating Disorder (BED) using DSM-IV-TR and DSM-5. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *6*(2), 44-49. DIP:18.01.085/20180602, DOI:10.25215/0602.085